Subnational Business Ready in the European Union 2024: BULGARIA





With funding by the European Commission

© 2024 International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank 1818 H Street NW, Washington, DC 20433 Telephone: 202-473-1000; Internet: www.worldbank.org

Some rights reserved 1 2 3 4 19 18 17 16

This work is a product of the staff of The World Bank with external contributions. The findings, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in this work do not necessarily reflect the views of The World Bank, its Board of Executive Directors, or the governments they represent. The World Bank does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this work. The boundaries, colors, denominations, and other information shown on any map in this work do not imply any judgment on the part of The World Bank concerning the legal status of any territory or the endorsement or acceptance of such boundaries. All maps in this report were cleared by the Cartography Unit of the World Bank Group.

Nothing herein shall constitute or be considered to be a limitation upon or waiver of the privileges and immunities of The World Bank, all of which are specifically reserved.

The European Commission support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of its content, which reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

Rights and Permissions



This work is available under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 IGO license (CC BY 3.0 IGO) <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/igo/</u>. Under the Creative Commons Attribution license, you are free to copy, distribute, transmit, and adapt this work, including for commercial purposes, under the following conditions:

Attribution—Please cite the work as follows: World Bank. 2024. *Subnational Business Ready in the European Union 2024: BULGARIA*. Washington, DC: World Bank. License: Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 3.0 IGO

Translations—If you create a translation of this work, please add the following disclaimer along with the attribution: *This translation was not created by The World Bank and should not be considered an official World Bank translation. The World Bank shall not be liable for any content or error in this translation.*

Adaptations—If you create an adaptation of this work, please add the following disclaimer along with the attribution: *This is an adaptation of an original work by The World Bank. Views and opinions expressed in the adaptation are the sole responsibility of the author or authors of the adaptation and are not endorsed by The World Bank.*

Third-party content—The World Bank does not necessarily own each component of the content contained within the work. The World Bank therefore does not warrant that the use of any third party-owned individual component or part contained in the work will not infringe on the rights of those third parties. The risk of claims resulting from such infringement rests solely with you. If you wish to re-use a component of the work, it is your responsibility to determine whether permission is needed for that re-use and to obtain permission from the copyright owner. Examples of components can include, but are not limited to, tables, figures, or images.

All queries on rights and licenses should be addressed to World Bank Publications, The World Bank Group, 1818 H Street NW, Washington, DC 20433, USA; e-mail: pubrights@worldbank.org



Contents

Foreword	2
Acknowledgments	4
Executive Summary	6
Methodology	12
1. Overview	16
1.1 Overall Results	17
1.2 Findings from the Enterprise Surveys Data	22
1.3 Business Entry	25
1.4 Business Location	28
1.5 Utility Services	35
1.6 Dispute Resolution	43
1.7 Business Insolvency	46
2. Business Entry in Detail	50
3. Business Location in Detail	60
3.1 Building Permitting	61
3.2 Environmental Permitting	74
3.3 Property Transfer	82
4. Utility Services in Detail	
4.1 Electricity	95
4.2 Water	108
4.3 Internet	118
5. Dispute Resolution in Detail	128
6. Business Insolvency in Detail	143
References	156

Foreword

In a world of stifled business growth, unemployment, and multiple socioeconomic crises, the significance of understanding and enhancing the business climate cannot be overstated. The launch of the *Subnational Business Ready* (B-READY) studies occurs at a pivotal moment in the context of Europe's economic landscape—they provide a rigorous and comprehensive examination of the business environments across diverse regions within six European Union Member States: Bulgaria, Croatia, Hungary, Portugal, Romania, and the Slovak Republic. This initiative is not solely analytical—it is fundamentally transformative, aiming to catalyze policy reforms and invigorate the private sector by leveraging diverse regional strengths within the European Union.

The effective cooperation between the World Bank and the European Commission, particularly the Directorate-General for Regional and Urban Policy (DG REGIO), has been instrumental in supporting Member States in achieving cohesive policy objectives. This collaboration has also generated globally relevant analytics and knowledge spillovers. The launch of these Subnational B-READY studies builds on previous studies, funded by DG REGIO, in which 115 locations from 16 Member States were benchmarked between 2017 and 2022.

The World Bank's commitment to promoting economic development and mitigating barriers that hinder private sector growth is closely aligned with its goal of eliminating poverty on a livable planet. This is reflected in the methodical approach of the Subnational B-READY team—analyzing and comparing business environments at the local level to foster sustainable and inclusive economic growth. By incorporating aspects of environmental sustainability

into its assessments, the Subnational project directly supports the World Bank Group's livable planet mandate. With the continuous support of the European Commission, the project provides an overview of countries' regulatory processes, highlighting regional variations in business regulations and their practical implementation. The Subnational studies provide pathways to developing effective regulatory frameworks and enhanced administrative processes that are pivotal for economic resilience and growth.

By focusing on a range of topics, including Business Entry, Business Location, Utility Services, Dispute Resolution, and Business Insolvency, the Subnational project ensures a comprehensive evaluation of factors that influence business climates. Facilitating business entry is key for job creation and economic growth, with simple registration processes and transparency safeguarding business integrity. Secure property rights and effective land administration promote investment and market efficiency, while a robust environmental framework for construction protects the public and ensures sustainability. Reliable utility services, especially electricity and water, are critical for operations and profitability. Efficient dispute resolution and strong judicial systems encourage investment by providing timely and cost-effective processes. Finally, robust business insolvency frameworks are essential for economic stability, resilience, and job preservation. Understanding and optimizing these areas is crucial for crafting environments conducive to sustainable and inclusive business operations.

Moreover, the collaborative nature of the Subnational B-READY studies—conducted in alignment with the priorities of the national and local governments—guarantees that insights from the studies are both relevant and action-

2

able. This engagement is a testament to a shared commitment from various governmental levels to refine business practices for amplified economic impact.

As these assessments unfold, the objective extends beyond identifying discrepancies; the aim is to guide policy makers and foster a dialogue between local and national governments and the private sector. The exchange of best practices and success stories is intended to spark innovative and effective reforms across regions, setting a precedent for future economic enhancements.

In essence, the Subnational B-READY studies for these six nations represent more than mere reports—they are a guide toward smarter, more efficient policies that empower businesses and foster substantive economic growth. We are confident that the insights from these assessments will catalyze significant strides in private sector development and economic policy making at both regional and national levels.

We extend our deepest gratitude to all contributors, partners, and stakeholders, whose expertise and unwavering dedication have been instrumental in sculpting these comprehensive studies. Your continued engagement and insightful feedback are crucial as we advance our mission to enhance business environments globally, paving the way for an era of renewed growth and prosperity.

Norman doayza

Norman V. Loayza Director, Development Economics Global Indicators Group, World Bank

Acknowledgments

The Subnational Business Ready (B-READY) in Bulgaria study was undertaken under the auspices of the Council of Ministers of the Republic of Bulgaria and funded by the European Commission's Directorate-General for Regional and Urban Policy.

This report was produced by a World Bank team led by Trimor Mici. The team comprised Razvan Antonescu, Kalina Bay, Gina Cardenas Varon, Edgar Chavez, Antonia Doncheva, Ana Santillana Farakos, Lilla Fordos, Boyan Griffen, Marko Grujicic, Maksym Javorskyi, Mihir Nikhil Madhekar, Andrei Moarcăs, Mădălina Papahagi, Alberto Pellicano, Damyan Pilkov, Tommaso Rooms, Aldo Sanchez, Ben Solis, Predrag Sutanovac, Burak Turkgulu, Mariya Stoyanova, Julien Vilguin, and Magdalena Zlatkova. The team is grateful for valuable comments provided by peer reviews from across the World Bank Group. Marcel Ionescu-Heroiu, Arvind Jain, Klaus Adolfo Koch-Saldarriaga, Andres Federico Martinez, Nina Pavlova Mocheva, Sergio Ariel Muro, and Pilar Salgado Otonel reviewed the full text. Norman Loayza, Marina Wes, Goran Tinjic, Anna Akhalkatsi, Lasse Melgaard, Jehan Arulpragasam, and Reena Badiani-Magnusson provided guidance and leadership. Giovanni Bo, Adela Delcheva, Alina Gres, Corina Grigore, Irina Koval, Gabriela Momchilova, Monique Pelloux, Julie Biau, Sylvia Stovnova, and Serge Randriamiharisoa provided valuable assistance and inputs at various stages of the project.

The report was edited by Matthew Robert Zoller, Deviah Machimanda Appaiah, Charles Hagner, and Susan Boulanger; the layout was produced by Luis Liceaga.

The Subnational B-READY team extends special thanks for project support to the six Bulgarian municipal author-

ities, the Ministry of Finance, the Ministry of Environment and Water, the Ministry of Justice, the Communications Regulation Commission, the Directorate for National Construction Supervision, the Energy and Water Regulatory Commission, the Geodesy, Cartography and Cadaster Agency, the National Association of the Municipalities in the Republic of Bulgaria, the National Revenue Agency, the Regional Environment and Water Inspectorates across the six benchmarked cities, the Registry Agency, the Supreme Judicial Council, as well as local utilities and district courts.

Data collection was carried out in collaboration with Tsvetkova Bebov & Partners (member firm of Eversheds Sutherland), HESPA Ltd., and the Bulgarian Fintech Association. More than 220 business consultants, engineers, lawyers, electricians, architects, construction experts, information technology experts, utility providers, public officials, judges, and enforcement agents contributed to the study. The team would like to express its special gratitude to the national and local public officials and members of the judiciary who participated in the project and who provided comments during the consultation and data review period.

Subnational B-READY is a product of the Development Economics Vice-Presidency (DECVP), led by Indermit Gill, Senior Vice President and Chief Economist of the World Bank Group. B-READY is housed in the Global Indicators Group, Development Economics (DECIG), and is supervised by Norman Loayza (DECIG Director). The Subnational B-READY projects are implemented by a team led by Mădălina Papahagi (Senior Private Sector Specialist, DECSN) and Valentina Saltane (Manager, DECSN), in collaboration with other DECIG units (Business Ready, led

4

by Valeria Perotti, and Enterprise Analysis, led by Jorge Rodriguez Meza).

The Enterprise Analysis team collected all the B-READY firm-level data through the implementation of the expanded Enterprise Surveys and provided invaluable advice on questionnaire design and indicator development. This team is led by Jorge Rodriguez Meza (Manager) and consists of Gemechu Aga, Nesma Ali, David C. Francis, Norma Janeth Gomez Caceres, Caroline Gomes Nogueira, Arvind Jain, Filip Jolevski, Nona Karalashvili, Hibret Maemir, Eugenia Aurora Rodriguez Cuniolo, Davide Salvatore Mare, William Soh, Nazim Tamkoc, Kohei Ueda, Domenico Viganola, Rose Wairimu Gachina, and Joshua Wimpey.

The team extends its apologies to any individuals or organizations inadvertently omitted from this list and conveys its appreciation to all contributors to the Subnational B-READY in the European Union, including those whose names may not be listed here.

Executive Summary

Subnational Business-Ready (B-READY) in the European Union: A Comprehensive Assessment of Regional Business Climate

The Subnational B-READY in the European Union (EU) series is a project led by the World Bank in partnership with the European Commission's Directorate-General for Regional and Urban Policy (DG REGIO) aimed at assessing and enhancing the business environment across different regions within the EU. This year, the Subnational B-READY series cover 40 cities in six EU Member States—Bulgaria, Croatia, Hungary, Portugal, Romania, and the Slovak Republic—covering 36 European regions. This phase builds upon the World Bank's previous Subnational studies conducted in these countries between 2017 and 2022. More broadly, the former Subnational in the EU reports assessed business environments in Bulgaria, Hungary, and Romania (2017); Croatia, the Czech Republic, Portugal, and the Slovak Republic (2018); Greece, Ireland, and Italy (2020); Austria, Belgium, and the Netherlands (2021); and Denmark, Finland, and Sweden (2022), covering 115 locations across 16 EU Member States. These studies have laid the groundwork for identifying regulatory gaps and sharing best practices to strengthen the EU's regional economic cohesion. As part of an ongoing effort, the team is launching the second round of measurements, which will cover over 60 cities from the Czech Republic, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Poland, and Spain. A third round is set to begin in 2025, expanding the assessment to more EU Member States.

Objective

The primary objective of the Subnational B-READY studies is to identify and address regional disparities in regulatory environments and to promote reforms that foster private sector growth, job creation, and sustainability. The Subnational B-READY series delivers a rigorous, data-driven analysis of business climates at the local level, offering actionable insights for policy makers. By examining key areas of the life cycle of the firm—Business Entry, Business Location (including Building Permitting, Environmental Permitting, and Property Transfer), Utility Services (Electricity, Water, and Internet), Dispute Resolution, and Business Insolvency—this report offers a road map for improving administrative processes and regulatory frameworks that directly affect businesses at the local level in six Bulgarian cities: Burgas, Pleven, Plovdiv, Ruse, Sofia, and Varna.

Intended Audience

This Subnational B-READY report series targets a wide audience, from national to local government officials, and from private sector stakeholders to development agencies, policy makers, and researchers. The findings are meant to help these groups identify best practices, reduce regulatory bottlenecks, and foster a more unified and efficient business environment across regions. Additionally, the collected data serve as an effective tool for local governments, enabling them to benchmark and track performance over time vis-à-vis not only national standards but also international benchmarks. The comprehensive underlying country-specific datasets provide ample opportunities for further research in the area of private sector development and growth.

The Importance of Regional Data

An insight into regional dynamics allows an economy to be more inclusive and sustainable in its economic growth. The Subnational B-READY reports offer governments the evidence needed to design targeted reforms, allowing regions to enhance their business climates and bridge performance gaps. It is hoped that the key findings will encourage peer learning across regions by disseminating good practices observed in high-performing cities. It is expected that such a sharing of best practices would lead to cross-regional improvements and eventually spur competitiveness across the EU.

By highlighting both achievements and areas for improvement, these assessments aim to support national and regional policy makers in driving meaningful reforms. In this way, the project exemplifies the shared commitment of the World Bank and DG REGIO to enhancing economic cohesion and resilience within the EU through rigorous analysis and evidence-based policy recommendations.

6

Key Findings

- The regulatory framework is harmonized across all six assessed Bulgarian cities and all topics measured in this report. Implementation of business regulations and associated public services can vary substantially, however, most notably in the areas of Business Insolvency and Dispute Resolution, where the largest performance gap among Bulgarian cities is observed.
- All Bulgarian cities perform best in Business Entry, at 92.8 out of 100 points—featuring adherence to international regulatory good practices, high adoption of digital technologies for public services, and a highly efficient business registration process.
- The lowest score for the measured cities is recorded in Dispute Resolution, at 71.3 points—mainly due to weaker performance related to Public Services (Pillar II).
- All Bulgarian cities have something to share with and learn from each other. Pleven, for example, scores high on Business Entry but lags in Utility Services and Dispute Resolution. Varna is among top performers in Dispute Resolution, but it has a weaker performance in Business Insolvency. Sofia does well across most areas, but it also has room for improvement in Business Insolvency.
- There is no apparent relationship between city size and performance. For instance, a comparatively smaller city, Ruse, shares the lead in Utility Services with the much larger city of Sofia. On the other hand, a large city like Plovdiv leads in both Dispute Resolution and Business Insolvency, while it lags Ruse in Utility Services. These findings also indicate that larger cities can still perform effectively despite the challenges posed by higher caseloads.
- Bulgarian cities tend to perform better on Operational Efficiency (Pillar III) than on the strength of the Regulatory Framework (Pillar I) and the quality and reliability of Public Services (Pillar II)—implying that they are efficient despite any regulatory framework and provision of public services challenges.
- Except for Business Entry, no consistent implementation of national legislation appears in practice across all topics—an intuitive result in the context of the European Union, where regulatory frameworks and delivery of many public services tend to be uniform across regions within a country, while implementation and efficiency do not.
- Entrepreneurs can register a new LLC in the six Bulgarian cities within 12 days, with the option to complete the process online. Since its introduction in 2009, the use of online registration has steadily grown. In 2023, 83 percent of all new LLCs in Bulgaria were registered online.
- The time required to obtain building permits ranges from 92 days in Plovdiv to 103 days in Sofia, while the cost of obtaining building-related permits varies from 32 percent of income per capita in Pleven to 141 percent in Sofia. Differences in waiting times and costs across cities are largely driven by municipal permits. For Environmental Permitting, the time to obtain an environmental impact assessment (EIA) varies from 44 days in Pleven to twice as long in Sofia. In terms of Property Transfer, 8 percent of firms in the Southeastern region (including Burgas) report access to land as an obstacle, while the lowest reported rates are in the Northwestern and South Central regions (including Pleven and Plovdiv), with only 3 percent.
- Time to obtain utility connections varies significantly for electricity, from 229 days in Pleven to 270 days in Plovdiv, and water, between 121 days in Sofia to 150 days in Varna. The disparity for electricity is driven primarily by the time required to issue local clearances and obtain construction permits, while the variation for water connection is largely due to the speed at which municipalities issue excavation permits and approve project designs. Similarly, service disruption varies across utilities. For electricity, utilities in Burgas and Plovdiv report the least frequent interruptions, averaging 2.6 per year, each lasting on average 2.6 hours.

Nearly 1 out of 10 firms report water insufficiencies in the Northeastern region (including Varna), and 27 percent of firms report internet service disruptions in the Northwestern region (including Pleven), both the highest levels in the country.

- In Dispute Resolution, the greatest disparity among Bulgarian cities is in the total duration of commercial litigation proceedings, from the court of first instance through appeals. Ruse and Pleven resolve cases in ten months, the fastest in the country, while the courts in Sofia require more than two years.
- For Business Insolvency, courts in Plovdiv are more efficient—liquidation proceedings last 24 months, 18 months faster than in Varna, while reorganization proceedings take 6 months, half the time required in most Bulgarian cities. Similarly, Plovdiv courts—along with those in Burgas and Pleven—are also the cheapest in the country for both liquidation and reorganization proceedings.

Areas of Improvement

Business Entry



The introduction of voluntary VAT registration at the time of incorporation for newly established companies is a step forward in simplifying the opening of new businesses. To further facilitate the process, Bulgaria can consider stream-

lining the risk screening procedure to shorten the time for VAT registration, which according to experts currently takes eight days. In Romania, for instance, voluntary VAT registration happens immediately, and authorities conduct a risk analysis post-registration; if authorities find issues with the application and information submitted, they can revoke the registration. Other areas of improvement for business entry in Bulgaria include eliminating the start-up capital requirement for limited liability companies. Several EU Member States, including Belgium, Finland, Ireland, and the Netherlands have eliminated this requirement.

Business Location



Areas of improvement in Building Permitting include improving coordination between agencies by digitalizing the permitting process. Bulgarian authorities could gain valuable insights by learning from best practices in other

EU countries, such as the platforms developed in Croatia, Hungary, and some cities in Portugal. Furthermore, clarifying and better communicating the requirements and fees for obtaining building permits would enhance efficiency. Lastly, integrating spatial data platforms among agencies will allow builders to find all necessary information online at a central location, eliminating the need for multiple pre-approvals.

To improve environmental permitting in Bulgaria, authorities could develop and deploy an integrated online environmental permitting platform. This platform could introduce efficiencies in permit processing by securing online payments, providing interactive communication, automating notifications, and providing an online filing system for disputes. Further integration and digital communication between authorizing agencies such as the Regional Inspectorate of Environment and Water, the Water Directorate, the Health Inspectorate, and the municipalities could streamline the approval process and improve visibility of the application status for all parties involved. Bulgarian authorities could further streamline the process and eliminate unnecessary checks by fully adopting a risk-based approach to environmental approvals, similar to practices in Belgium and Denmark, where simpler projects are exempt from extensive environmental assessments. This approach would involve sharing criteria with permitting authorities and developing more accurate GIS-based maps for municipalities to consult when reviewing a building permit application.

To further enhance land administration and improve property transfer, Bulgarian authorities could focus on completing the digitalization and automation of processes at the Land Registry and Cadaster. Full digitalization, along with enabling seamless data exchange between the Land Registry and Cadaster databases and establishing interoperability with other key agencies involved, would improve the efficiency and security of the entire property transfer process. Additionally, ensuring that all private properties in the country are properly mapped would strengthen legal assurances and provide greater certainty regarding property rights. Finally, increasing the transparency of the land administration system by making all relevant property transaction information available online—including lists of required documents—and maintaining statistics on property-related disputes and their resolution times would be highly beneficial.

Utility Services



Potential areas for improvement in utility service provision and efficiency within the electricity sector include implementing and enhancing online platforms for electricity connection applications across all cities, supported by online

customer assistance, clear guidelines, and awareness campaigns. Increasing transparency and accountability by collecting and publishing statistics on processing times, connection costs, and service reliability would help set clear expectations and drive performance improvements. Additionally, introducing a platform that streamlines the application process and facilitates collaboration between agencies for tasks such as excavation permit approvals would be beneficial. Establishing a shared database for network lines among multiple utility providers would further enhance coordination. Finally, adopting a one-stop-shop approach for obtaining construction or excavation permits and other pre-approvals would significantly reduce delays and boost efficiency.

In the water utility services area, several opportunities for improvement can be explored. Implementing comprehensive online application platforms for water connections would streamline the process and reduce the need for in-person visits. Publishing stipulated connection time standards online and providing clear, accessible information about the process and costs would enhance transparency and improve the customer experience. Coordination among utilities could be improved by introducing an online system for excavation permit approvals and by adopting GIS-based databases for existing water networks, like those used in Sofia and Varna. Furthermore, introducing requirements and incentives for businesses to adopt water-saving practices, such as installing water-efficient appliances and adhering to water-saving targets, could promote sustainability.

Dispute Resolution



Improving dispute resolution in Bulgaria may be achieved through several key steps. First, enhancing the current pretrial phase to include improved case management and pretrial conferences with all parties involved

would be useful. Second, setting clear rules on the maximum number of adjournments in commercial disputes, specifying exceptions, would improve the effectiveness of commercial litigation. Finally, creating specialized courts for small claims or introducing small claims procedures within the current system would streamline litigation for smaller businesses with lower claim values.

Business Insolvency



Suggested improvements in Bulgaria's insolvency proceedings address several key areas. First, to ensure fair treatment of creditors, greater scrutiny is required on asset transfers occurring shortly before the commencement of insolvency pro-

ceedings. Second, complete and homogeneous adoption of technological tools across all courts would be beneficial. Finally, enhancing transparency around the appointment of insolvency practitioners and strengthening their capacity through initial and ongoing training would be important.



Торіс	Areas for Improvement	Relevant Stakeholders
Business	Eliminate the start-up capital requirement for limited liability companies	Ministry of JusticeRegistry Agency
Entry	Conduct risk analysis post-registration for voluntary VAT registration	Ministry of JusticeRegistry AgencyNational Revenue Agency
	Building Permitting	
	Review the cost structure for building permits	Directorate for National Construction Supervision (DNSIO)
	Clarify and better communicate the requirements and fees for the building permitting process	(DNSK) • Municipalities
	Improve coordination between agencies by digitalizing the building permitting process	
	Environmental Permitting	
	Develop and deploy an integrated online environmental permitting platform	 Ministry of Environment and Water Regional Inspectorate of Environment and Water
Business	Further streamline communication between authorizing agencies	
Location	Fully adopt a risk-based approach to environmental approvals	
	Property Transfer	
	Complete digitalization and automation of processes at the Land Registry and Cadaster	 Registry Agency (Property Register) Agency of Geodesy, Cartography, and Cadaster Ministry of Justice Ministry of Regional Development and Public Works
	Ensure that all private properties are mapped	Agency of Geodesy, Cartography, and Cadaster
	Increase transparency by making all relevant information for property transactions available online	Registry Agency (Property Register)Ministry of Justice
	Publish annual statistics on land disputes and gender- disaggregated data on property ownership	
	Electricity	
	Strengthen the online application platforms and increase awareness of online services	 Energy and Water Regulatory Commission (EWRC) Distribution utilities Municipalities
Utility Services	Improve the reliability of electricity supply and increase transparency by collecting and publishing relevant KPIs on reliability of electricity supply	 Energy and Water Regulatory Commission (EWRC) Distribution utilities
	Increase transparency and accountability by collecting and publishing statistics	
	Streamline the process of getting a construction permit and other pre-approvals	 Energy and Water Regulatory Commission (EWRC) Distribution utilities Municipalities Other relevant utility service providers
	Replace site inspection with self-certification of compliance	 Directorate for National Construction Control (DNCC) Distribution utilities Municipalities Other relevant utility service providers

Table 1. Summary of Potential Opportunities for Regulatory Improvement in Bulgaria

Торіс	Areas for Improvement	Relevant Stakeholders
	Water	
	Enable online application for new water connections	Energy and Water Regulatory Commission (EWRC)Water utilities
Utility Services	Publish stipulated water connection time standards online	Water utilities
	Implement a GIS-based database for the identification of existing utility networks	
	Streamline clearances across utilities and local authorities	Water utilitiesMunicipalities
	Introduce requirements and incentives for businesses to adopt water-saving practices	Energy and Water Regulatory Commission (EWRC)
Dispute	Establish small claims court or fast-track procedures for small claims	Ministry of JusticeSupreme Judicial Council
Resolution	Introduce pretrial hearings as a case management technique	
	Regulate the maximum number of adjournments	
	Enhance the transparency of asset transfers by considering the implementation of more rigorous oversight for debtors in the process of selling or donating assets	Ministry of JusticeTrade Registry
Business Insolvency	Ensure complete and homogeneous adoption of technological tools across Bulgarian courts	Ministry of JusticeSupreme Judicial Council
	Strengthen the capacity of insolvency administrators and practitioners, including enhanced transparency in the appointment process	
	Adopt tailored training programs for judges who are dealing with insolvency proceedings	

Source: Subnational Business Ready

Methodology

As part of the World Bank's overarching effort to promote private sector development, the Subnational B-READY provides assessments of the business environment in select cities within measured economies with the aim of delineating the geographic variation. The assessments adopt a holistic view of the private sector as they consider all the stakeholders in private sector development—including existing firms, potential entrants, and the citizens at large—by evaluating aspects such as transparency and environmental requirements. The assessments are based on original data collected by the Subnational B-READY team and are published through reports and online.

As a new product, the Subnational B-READY is using the methodology of the Global B-READY report, adapting it to project-specific contexts based on client needs. Over time, the project will grow in geographic coverage, and its methodology will be refined. In the first phase of the Subnational European Union (EU) project, the Subnational B-READY assessments have been prepared for 40 cities in six EU economies—namely, Bulgaria, Croatia, Hungary, Portugal, Romania, and the Slovak Republic.

The selection of cities for Subnational B-READY assessments in the EU is based on geographical coverage and size in consultations with the European Commission and the national governments. In Bulgaria, the Subnational B-READY covers six cities in six regions at the NUTS2¹ level: Burgas (Southeastern), Pleven (Northwestern), Plovdiv (Southern

Map 1. Cities in Bulgaria Covered by Subnational B-READY



Source: Subnational Business Ready

Central), Ruse (Northern Central), Sofia (Southwestern), and Varna (Northeastern) (map 1).

Subnational B-READY assessments in the EU are organized into five topics that follow the life cycle of the firm: Business Entry, Business Location, Utility Services, Dispute Resolution, and Business Insolvency (figure 1). Across the five topics, assessments include crosscutting areas of digital adoption, environmental sustainability, and gender.

Each of the five Subnational B-READY topics rests on three pillars: Regulatory Framework, Public Services,

¹ Nomenclature of Territorial Units for Statistics (NUTS) is a geocode standard for referencing the administrative divisions of countries for statistical purposes developed and regulated by the European Union. There are three major categories of administrative divisions: NUTS1 (major socio-economic regions), NUTS2 (basic regions for regional policies), and NUTS3 (small regions for specific diagnoses). For more details, see https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/nuts.



Source: Business Ready

and Operational Efficiency (figure 2). The Regulatory Framework pillar comprises the rules and regulations that firms must follow as they open, operate, and close a business. Public Services refers to both the facilities that governments provide to support compliance with regulations and the institutions and infrastructure that enable business activities. In the project, public services are limited to the business environment areas related to the life cycle of the firm. Operational Efficiency refers to both the ease of compliance with the regulatory framework and the effective use of public services directly relevant to firms.

The Subnational B-READY methodology compiles a large set of indicators for each pillar within each topic following the Global B-READY categorizations.² The selection of indi-

cators is based on their relevance, value added, and complementarity. These indicators have five major characteristics: they are indicative of established good practices; they are quantifiable and actionable through policy reforms; they seek to balance *de jure* and *de facto* measures within topics; they are comparable across economies and representative within each economy; and they span the most relevant aspects of each topic.

In the Regulatory Framework pillar, the indicators address the quality of rules and regulations, distinguishing between those that lead to clarity, fairness, and sustainability of the business environment and those that impose unnecessary restrictions on entrepreneurial activity. In the Public Services pillar, the indicators emphasize digi-



Source: Business Ready

² Adjustments have been made to the Global B-READY indicators to make them more suitable for Subnational B-READY assessments: two indicators in the Operational Efficiency pillar of Business Entry have been excluded due to not being relevant at the regional level, and one indicator in the Operational Efficiency pillar of Business Location has been excluded due to insufficient regional coverage.

talization, interoperability, transparency, and adequacy of services directed at easing regulatory compliance and enabling business activities. In the Operational Efficiency pillar, the indicators across topics assess a firm's experience in practice with respect to the business environment.

The Subnational B-READY combines primary data from expert questionnaires with data collected through Enterprise Surveys following the Global B-READY methodology (figure 3). In the EU context, data from the Enterprise Surveys aggregated at the NUTS2 region level were used for each city. Detailed data to help produce the Regulatory Framework and Public Services indicators were collected exclusively through expert questionnaires. Data for the Operational Efficiency indicators were collected through a combination of expert questionnaires and Enterprise Surveys for Business Location, Utility Services, and Dispute Resolution.³ For topics related to issues that are not faced routinely by firms, such as Business Entry or Business Insolvency, the data-collection process relied solely on expert questionnaires.

Similar to the Global B-READY methodology, in the Subnational B-READY, data collected through expert surveys are validated against surveys received from the public entities. All responses that result in contradictory or inconclusive data points are followed up on with the experts. Moreover, in the case of the Subnational B-READY methodology, the reconciliation process is pursued until the data point is firmly established through hard evidence based on additional research, in-depth interviews with contributors, or data validation with public entities.

The Subnational B-READY implements a scoring methodology that aggregates individual indicators to subcategories, categories, and pillars following the Global B-READY methodology (figure 4). The methodology allows comparisons across pillars and economies by weighting each subcategory accordingly. From indicators to pillars, scores are aggregated through summation of the weighted scores. Each pillar is scored out of 100, and the topic score is obtained by averaging the pillar scores.

The Subnational B-READY is governed by the highest data-integrity standards, including sound data-gathering processes, robust data safeguards, and clear approval protocols, which are detailed in the Subnational Business Ready (B-READY) Manual and Guide, publicly available on the Subnational B-READY website. Additionally, the B-READY Methodology Handbook details both the B-READY indicators and the scoring approach. Any deviations from the B-READY Methodology Handbook are detailed in the Subnational B-READY Manual and Guide. The project governance documents will be updated and improved as the project progresses through the initial phases. The cornerstone of B-READY governance is transparency and replicability; as such, all data at the individual city level used to calculate scores will be made publicly available on the project's website.

Figure 3. Subnational B-READY Data Sources

Expert Questionnaires

- Collect data from experts who regularly deal with business regulations and related public services and institutions.
- Provide mainly *de jure*, but also *de facto*, information.
- Data collection through topic-specific questionnaires, administered to three to five experts per questionnaire and city.
- From experts in the private sector and public agencies.

Enterprise Surveys

- Collect data from the owners or managers of a representative sample of registered firms.
- Provide de facto information.
- Data collection embedded in the <u>World Bank</u> <u>Enterprise Surveys</u> (expanded from 15 to 65 Enterprise Surveys a year).
- Updated every three years for each economy.

Source: Subnational Business Ready

³ For one indicator in the Operational Efficiency pillar of the Utility Services topic, data from expert surveys, rather than Enterprise Surveys, have been used, in contrast to the Global B-READY, because of limitations of the Enterprise Surveys data at the regional level.



Source: Business Ready

Subnational Business Ready in the European Union 2024: BULGARIA



1. Overview



16

1.1 Overall Results

Implementation of business regulations and associated public services can vary substantially across Bulgarian cities, depending on the topic (figure 5). Business Insolvency and Dispute Resolution are the areas in which the largest performance gap among cities is observed. Scores in Business Insolvency vary from 69.4 points in Varna to 76.4 points in Plovdiv. The variation in insolvency is driven by local court efficiency. Plovdiv stands out as the most efficient city both in terms of time and cost associated with liquidation⁴ and reorganization⁵ proceedings. In Varna, the liquidation and reorganization proceedings take twice as long as in Plovdiv. In dispute resolution, the scores vary from 68.9 points in Pleven to 72.8 in Plovdiv. The variation is driven both by the available services as well as efficiency.

The Utility Services area also shows significant variation across Bulgarian cities, ranging from 76.6 points in Pleven to 79 points in Sofia. The variation is evident across all three subtopics of this area, namely Electricity, Internet, and Water. This is unsurprising given the diversity of utility providers across regions. In terms of the aggregate topic score, Business Location shows minor variation over its three subtopics: Building Permitting, Environmental Permitting, and Property Transfer. While barely any variation occurs in Environmental Permitting or Property Transfer, variation is also minimal within the Building Permitting subtopic, where implementation is typically under the purview of local authorities. The results in Business Location show a strong degree of convergence across Bulgarian cities, both in terms of Regulatory Framework and Public Services, where all cities have identical scores, except for Sofia, which performs better on Public Services, as well as in terms of the efficiency of implementation of regulations at the local level, where all cities score similarly. Overall, the scores for the topic range from 76.2 out of a 100 in Burgas to 77.7 in Sofia.

Across the five topics benchmarked in this study, Bulgarian cities have the highest average score in the topic of Business Entry, at 92.8 points (figure 5). No variability emerges across cities, indicating that company incorporation processes are implemented with equal effectiveness across the country. The topic is also characterized by a high degree of centralization of processes and requirements. The regulatory framework in the country follows international good practices. Public services for incorporation and start of business operations offer online tools and exchange of data between relevant agencies. Discounted costs encourage entrepreneurs to use online platforms for registration. Lastly, the business entry process in Bulgarian cities is highly efficient, taking only 12 days at a negligible cost.

The lowest average score across Bulgarian cities is recorded in the topic of Dispute Resolution, at 71.3 out of 100

⁴ Liquidation is the process of assembling and selling the assets of an insolvent debtor to dissolve the company and distribute the proceeds to its creditors. Liquidation may include the piecemeal sale of the debtor's assets or the sale of all or most of the debtor's assets as a going concern. The term *liquidation* refers only to formal in-court insolvency proceedings and does not include the voluntary winding up of a company. 5 Reorganization refers to the collective proceedings through which the financial well-being and viability of a debtor's business may be restored based on a reorganization plan, so that the business can continue to operate as a going concern, including debt forgiveness, debt rescheduling, debt equity conversions, and sale of the business (or parts of it). The term *reorganization* refers exclusively to formal in-court proceedings available to all commercial debtors and does not include schemes of arrangement and out-of-court agreements with creditors.



Source: Subnational Business Ready

points. This is mainly due to weaker performance under Pillar II, Public Services, where all Bulgarian cities have room for improvement. For instance, no city in Bulgaria has a small claims court or offers any fast-track procedures for small claims. Additionally, Bulgarian courts lag in digitalization, particularly as it pertains to issuing judgments in electronic format, conducting online auctions, or making comprehensive statistics available online for courts, arbitration, and mediation.

The report findings show that most Bulgarian cities have something to share with and learn from each other. For example, Pleven does relatively well in some areas, but lags in Utility Services and Dispute Resolution. Varna is among top performers in Dispute Resolution, but it shows weaker performance in Business Insolvency. Plovdiv leads in both Dispute Resolution and Business Insolvency, while it lags Ruse and Sofia in Utility Services.

There is no apparent relationship between city size and performance. A smaller city like Ruse shares the lead with the much larger city of Sofia in Utility Services, while a large city like Plovdiv leads in both Dispute Resolution and Business Insolvency. These findings suggest that bigger cities can still perform well despite the challenges they may face from higher caseloads.

Pleven has the largest gap between the highest (Business Entry) and the lowest (Dispute Resolution) topic scores, with about 24 points. On the other hand, Plovdiv shows the highest cross-topic convergence in terms of results with the highest-versus-lowest score gap of 20 points. Lastly, while all cities score best in the areas of Business Entry and Utility Services, the bottom two topic scores vary mainly between Dispute Resolution and Business Insolvency. More specifically, the lowest scores for Burgas, Pleven, Plovdiv, and Ruse are on Dispute Resolution. Unlike other cities measured in this report, local courts in Burgas, Pleven, and Ruse do not have separate commercial divisions in which judges adjudicate on commercial cases exclusively.⁶ This factor impacts their score under the Public Services pillar for the topic. Sofia and Varna score the lowest on Business Insolvency because their local courts are less efficient than those of other cities in handling both liquidation and reorganization proceedings.

⁶ The district court in Ruse has established a separate commercial division; however, according to the public sector contributors interviewed for this study, four judges in Ruse's commercial division continue to hear both commercial and civil cases.

Bulgarian cities tend to perform better on Operational Efficiency (Pillar III) in four out of the five measured areas the exception being Utility Services—than on the strength of the Regulatory Framework (Pillar I) and the guality and reliability of Public Services delivery (Pillar II), respectively (figure 6). One can infer that Bulgarian cities can be efficient despite challenges in the regulatory framework and public service delivery. Lastly, the Public Services provision generally lags the other two pillars, most notably in the areas of Business Location, Dispute Resolution, and Business Entry. The most vivid example is in Business Location, where Bulgarian cities on average score only 50 points out of 100 on the Public Services pillar, while scoring on average 84 and 97 points in the quality of the Regulatory Framework and Operational Efficiency pillars, respectively. The low scores on the Public Services pillar are driven by the lack of availability and reliability of digital services, such as online platforms for building permit applications or environmental permit applications. Overall, Bulgarian cities have room to improve their public service delivery methods across the various topics.

City score breakdown by pillar shows that, except for Business Entry, variation is consistent in implementing national legislation across all topics (Pillar III) (figure 7). This result is intuitive, especially in the context of the European Union, where regulatory frameworks and delivery of many public services tend to be uniform at the national and subnational levels, while implementation is not. In the score of Pillar III (Operational Efficiency) for Business Insolvency, a greater than 20-point gap exists between Plovdiv (94.5 points) and Varna (73.5 points)—the largest across all topics. Similarly, in Dispute Resolution, Burgas receives the highest score (83.1 points), while Pleven scores almost 10 points less (73.4 points). The Operational Efficiency pillar features variation on the time and cost to obtain services across most topics. Utility Services also shows variation on the level of service disruptions based on SAIDI and SAIFI statistics for Electricity and, as reported by firms, for Internet and Water. Firms in the Northwestern and Southeastern regions (including Pleven and Burgas, respectively) do not experience water insufficiencies, but nearly one in ten firms in the Northeastern region (including Varna) does. Lastly, the Operational Efficiency pillar under Dispute Resolution, as reported by firms, shows differences across Bulgarian regions for both courts and the reliability and impartiality of alternative dispute processes. The Northwestern region (including Pleven) has the greatest share of firms (at 62 percent) that do not find courts to be independent and impartial and the largest share of firms (at 25 percent) that do not find arbitration to be a reliable alternative to courts. On the other hand, 30 percent of firms do not find courts to be independent and impartial in the Southeastern region (including Burgas) and 13 percent of firms do not find arbitration to be a reliable alternative to courts in the Northeastern region (including Varna).

Although the effect is less pronounced than in Operational Efficiency (Pillar III), the variation across Bulgarian cities is also affected by the provision of Public Services (Pillar II) in three areas: Business Location, Utility Services, and Dispute Resolution. Certain cities stand out here, with Sofia leading the scoring in Pillar II in all three topics joined by Plovdiv in two topics (Utility Services and Dispute Resolution). In



Source: Subnational Business Ready

Figure 7. Topic Scores, by City and Pillar



Source: Subnational Business Ready

Business Location, Sofia is the only city where spatial plans and zoning requirements are available in the form of a Geographic Information System (GIS) or other spatial data platforms open to all stakeholders. This shows that bigger cities with much heavier caseloads can match or surpass cities with smaller caseloads in providing public services. The largest scoring gap for Pillar II is observed in Dispute Resolution, where Plovdiv and Sofia lead with 64.6 out of 100 points, while Burgas scores about 8 points less (56.3 points). The variation is driven exclusively by this topic's court litigation category, which measures (1) organizational structure, (2) digitization, and (3) transparency (including gender equality). Both Plovdiv and Sofia have specialized divisions of courts dedicated solely to hearing commercial cases at the first instance level. These courts also conduct virtual hearings on urgent matters when requested by a party. In terms of court transparency, no courts in Bulgaria make publicly available information on appointment and promotion of judges or statistics on disposition rates, clearance rates, number of judges disaggregated by sex, or efficiency of enforcement proceedings.

The best performing topic on Pillar I is Business Entry (95 points), followed by Utility Services (88.5 points), while Dispute Resolution and Business Insolvency lag. Dispute Resolution provides room for improvement in areas affect-

ing procedural certainty, where legal standards are lacking for serving complaints and for setting the maximum number of adjournments. Additionally, the regulations on legal safeguards for arbitration and mediation can be significantly improved. The lower score in Business Insolvency is affected, among other factors, by lack of (1) out-of-court restructuring mechanisms, (2) electronic voting on reorganization plans, (3) exceptions or relief for automatic stays of proceedings, and (4) specialized insolvency proceedings for micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs).

1.2 Findings from the Enterprise Surveys Data

Results from the World Bank Enterprise Surveys⁷ implemented in Bulgaria in 2023 show that the top three business environment obstacles faced by firms in the country are tax rates, lack of skilled workers, and the informal sector (figure 8). Among the responses directly related to the areas measured by *Subnational Business Ready*, electricity is ranked highest as sixth, with around three percent of the firms considering it as the biggest obstacle, whereas business licensing, courts, and access to land are ranked within the bottom four.



Figure 8. Biggest Business-Environment Obstacles Reported by Firms

Source: World Bank Enterprise Surveys 2023

Note: Respondents were asked to choose the biggest obstacle from a list of 15 obstacles. Yellow bars show responses directly related to the areas studied by Subnational Business Ready.

7 For more information, visit the Enterprise Surveys website at https://www.enterprisesurveys.org/

On average, companies' senior managements spend 7.1 percent of their time dealing with regulatory requirements (figure 9). This is below the regional average for Europe and Central Asia, which stands at 8.5 percent. The survey results cover each of the NUTS2 regions within Bulgaria, most of which fare similarly in this metric, at around 7 to 8 percent. One exception is the Southeastern region (including Burgas), where firms reported that, on average, senior management of companies spends less than 4 percent of their time dealing with regulatory requirements. While it is difficult to conclude why firms in the Southeastern region report such results, it should be noted that a similar trend is observed on another indicator collected by the Enterprise Surveys: percent of firms that visited or were required to meet with tax officials. Only 17.9 percent of the firms in the Southeastern region reported doing so, while most other regions across the country reported numbers close to 40 percent or more.

About 4 percent of firms in Bulgaria identify business licensing and permits as a major constraint—less than half the regional average for Europe and Central Asia. Analysis of the data across country regions shows mixed results, however. In the Northwestern region (including Pleven) the number stands at 9.2 percent, the highest in the country and very close to the Europe and Central Asia regional average. The Northern Central region (including Ruse) on the other hand, stands out with hardly any firms identifying business licensing and permits as a major constraint. Another aspect of the business environment analyzed by the World Bank Enterprise Surveys is infrastructure. Electricity is a good proxy, and it happens to be an area that a significant number of Bulgarian firms identify as a major constraint—at almost 27 percent. That number is close to the average in Europe and Central Asia (25.8 percent) as well (figure 10). Within Bulgaria, the results vary greatly by region; for example, only 10 percent of firms identify electricity as a major constraint in the Southern Central region (including Plovdiv), while 40 percent of firms do so in the Northwestern and Northern Central regions (including Pleven and Ruse, respectively). Countrywide, about 34 percent of large firms identify electricity as a major constraint, followed by medium firms with 28.5 percent and small firms with 25 percent.

About 20 percent of firms report experiencing electrical outages countrywide, less than the regional average for Europe and Central Asia (27.5 percent). Information from across the Bulgarian regions shows a strong correlation between the number of firms identifying electricity as a major constraint and the number of firms reporting experiencing electrical outages. Firms in the Southeastern and Southern Central regions (including Burgas and Plovdiv, respectively), for example, report the lowest numbers in both indicators across the country. Conversely, firms in the Northwestern region (including Pleven) report the country's highest numbers. It is challenging to assess the extent to which these indicator

Figure 9. Percentage of Firms that Identify Licensing and Permits as a Constraint and Percentage of Time Spent on Regulatory Compliance, by Region



Source: World Bank Enterprise Surveys 2023

Note: Vertical lines indicate the countrywide and region-wide averages in the measures. BG = Bulgaria. ECA = Europe and Central Asia).

results are influenced by the performance of each region's electricity distribution system operators. Three distributors cover two cities each. Burgas and Plovdiv, located in the regions with the lowest reported numbers, share the same electricity provider: EVN group.

The reported average losses due to electrical outages range from 0.4 percent of annual sales for medium firms

to 1.7 percent of annual sales for large firms. Small firms reported average losses of 0.9 percent of annual sales. Despite electrical outages being quite rare, about 22.5 percent of large, 17.4 percent of medium, and 4.6 percent of small firms own or share a generator. When used, generators on average produce 13 percent of electricity.

Figure 10. Percentage of Firms that Experience Electricity Outages and That Identify Electricity as a Constraint, by Region



Source: World Bank Enterprise Surveys 2023

Note: Vertical lines indicate the countrywide and region-wide averages in the measures. BG = Bulgaria. ECA = Europe and Central Asia).



1.3 Business Entry⁸

Entrepreneurs in Bulgaria benefit from business entry regulations that follow good international practices in registration requirements and simplified processes. These include requirements to register complete information on new businesses as well as any subsequent changes in this information. To improve financial transparency and combat illicit activities, a 2018 reform to the regulatory framework introduced the added obligation to register beneficial ownership information⁹ in the Commercial Register. Similarly, regulation allows simplified company registration and provides a risk-based approach for business licensing. Bulgaria also follows good international practices regarding restrictions for business entry. Nonetheless, national regulations maintain a negligible paid-in minimum capital requirement to open a new Limited Liability Company (LLC), applicable to both domestic and foreign investors.

Availability of electronic services and infrastructure in Bulgaria facilitates the business entry process. Company records are digitized and stored in a fully electronic database with national coverage, and entrepreneurs can register their companies using standard registration forms in-person or online. This process registers new companies with the tax authority and social security in the same step, as the Registry Agency and the National Revenue Agency automatically exchange information on new business registrations as well as updates to company information. Additionally, companies are assigned a Unified Identification Code (UIC) that is used by other relevant agencies to identify the company and that serves as the basis for the VAT number issued by the National Revenue Agency. Although electronic signature and authentication options are available, Bulgaria lacks a fully automated identity document verification process.

Available and transparent online information includes official websites offering details on documents necessary to establish a new business, associated fees, and service standards. Electronic searches provide public access to company records and information on public programs to support small and medium enterprises (SMEs). Information on environmental permit requirements for low-risk businesses and programs aiding women-led SMEs is not publicly accessible, however. Statistics on newly registered companies are also published online but they do not include sex-disaggregated data.

Entrepreneurs in the six Bulgarian cities can register a new LLC in 12 days. The steps to open a new business and complete all formalities include notarizing company documents, opening an escrow account, registering with the Registry Agency, and enrolling employees with the National Revenue Agency. In 2023, 83 percent of new LLCs in Bulgaria were registered online. The take-up of the online registration option has steadily increased since it became available in 2009 and since the last subnational assessment conducted in the country in 2017. Differences remain among the six cities, however, as the use of electronic signatures is more limited in some of them. Just over half of entrepreneurs in Pleven chose online registra-

⁸ See section 2, "Business Entry in Detail," for more information on the topic, the country-specific context, and a detailed assessment of the data. 9 A beneficial owner is considered as the natural person who ultimately owns or controls a company, even if the title to the property is under another name (that is, the ownership or control is exercised through a chain of ownership or by means of control other than direct shareholding).

tion, compared to 87 percent in Plovdiv (figure 11). Yet the method of registration has no impact on the time required to complete it, as the regulations setting time limits for the Registry Agency to complete the process are respected in practice. In 2019, voluntary VAT registration became available for new businesses, allowing companies to register for VAT when they file for incorporation with the Registry Agency—by selecting a box in the incorporation forms— and before they reach the threshold for mandatory VAT registration. Costs associated with opening a new LLC are equivalent to 0.6 percent of income per capita.¹⁰

Table 2 provides a detailed overview—by pillar, category, and subcategory—of the Bulgarian cities' performance on

the business entry topic. The column with the re-scaled points indicates the total maximum points a city can get on each of the measured areas. For example, under Pillar I (Quality of Regulations for Business Entry), category 1.2 (Restrictions on Registering a Business), subcategory 1.2.1 (Domestic Firms), cities received 22.5 points (out of a possible 25 points) due to the existence of a paid-in minimum capital requirement to open a new LLC. Conversely, all cities receive the maximum number of points on some of the other subcategories, such as Company Information Filing Requirements (15 out of 15) and Risk-based Assessment for Operating Business and Environmental Licenses¹¹ (10 out of 10).



Figure 11. Registration of New LLCs, by City

Source: Registry Agency

Note: LLC = Limited Liability Company

¹⁰ Bulgaria's 2021 Gross National Income (GNI) per capita is BGN 18,523.

¹¹ A risk-based approach for business and environmental licensing prioritizes resources and oversight based on the level of risk associated with specific business activities or sectors.

Table 2. Business Entry Scores

Dillord		No. of indicators	Re-scaled points	Burgas	Pleven	Plovdiv	Ruse	Sofia	Varna
Pillar I –	- Quality of Regulations for Business Entry								
1.1	Information and Procedural Standards	18	50	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
1.1.1	Company Information Filing Requirements	7	15	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
1.1.2	Beneficial Ownership Filing Requirements	6	15	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
1.1.3	Availability of Simplified Registration	3	10	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
114	Risk-based Assessment for Operating Business and Environmental Licenses	2	10	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
1.2	Restrictions on Registering a Business	19	50	45.0	45.0	45.0	45.0	45.0	45.0
1.2.1	Domestic Firms	9	25	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5
1.2.2	Foreign Firms	10	25	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5
	Total	37	100	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0
Pillar II -	 Digital Public Services and Transparency of Information for E 	Business	s Entry						
2.1	Digital Services	11	40	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0
2.1.1	Business Start-Up Process	6	20	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
2.1.2	Storage of Company and Beneficial Ownership Information	3	10	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
2.1.3	Identity Verification	2	10	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
2.2	Interoperability of Services	4	20	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
2.2.1	Exchange of Company Information	2	10	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
2.2.2	Unique Business Identification	2	10	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
2.3	Transparency of Online Information	9	40	29.0	29.0	29.0	29.0	29.0	29.0
2.3.1	Business Start-Up (includes gender and environment)	5	20	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0
2.3.2	Availability of General Company Information	2	10	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
2.3.3	General and Sex-Disaggregated Statistics on Newly Registered Firms	2	10	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
	Total	24	100	84.0	84.0	84.0	84.0	84.0	84.0
Pillar III	– Operational Efficiency of Business Entry								
3.1	Domestic Firms	2	100	99.5	99.5	99.5	99.5	99.5	99.5
3.1.1	Total Time to Register a New Domestic Firm	1	50	49.5	49.5	49.5	49.5	49.5	49.5
3.1.2	Total Cost to Register a New Domestic Firm	1	50	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
	Total	2	100	99.5	99.5	99.5	99.5	99.5	99.5

Source: Subnational Business Ready

Note: The reported individual scores were rounded off; therefore, the sum of individual scores may not add up to the totals.



1.4 Business Location

Building Permitting¹²

Building regulations in Bulgaria include clear provisions regarding safety standards; for example, a regulation addresses construction materials that pose health risks. Certified engineers or architects, from either public agencies or private entities, are assigned responsibility by law for ensuring building plans comply with existing building regulations. Legislation mandates risk-based or phased structural safety inspections as well as a final inspection. Liability for structural flaws is defined by law. Professionals in charge of supervising construction must have a university degree (architect or engineer), the specified years of practical experience, and registration with the relevant professional association; passing a certification exam is not required. Additionally, decisions on building permits can be disputed with the issuing authority.

Bulgaria's energy code standards meet international best practices, with minimum energy efficiency performance standards mandated by law. Proof of compliance with these standards is required when applying for a building permit. Incentives are in place to promote green building standards.

Land use and zoning regulations in Bulgaria include requirements for trunk infrastructure services such as water, electricity, and sanitation. Maps identifying areas allocated for uses such as residential, commercial, agricultural, and public/institutional purposes are available. Hazard maps outline zones in which building is prohibited due to natural hazards or resource considerations.

Currently, no online system exists for building permitting or for filing disputes on building permits, which results in a low overall score on digital public services and transparency of information across Bulgarian cities. Sofia is the only city that makes spatial plans and zoning requirements in the form of a Geographic Information System (GIS) or other spatial data platforms available to all stakeholders. Sofia is thus the only city that scores well in the category of interoperability of services specifically related to building permits.

The time needed to obtain a building permit varies slightly across the six Bulgarian cities, ranging from 92 days in Plovdiv to 103 days in Sofia (figure 12). Municipal permits drive differences in waiting times across cities. Obtaining project approvals from utility providers and getting building permits take the most time. The cost of obtaining building-related permits varies between 32 percent of income per capita¹³ in Pleven (BGN 6,017) to 141 percent in Sofia (BGN 26,065) (figure 13(a)). On average, the building permit fee accounts for over two-thirds of the total cost to obtain building-related permits (figure 13(b)).

¹² See section 3.1, "Building Location in Detail—Building Permitting," for more information on the topic, the country-specific context, and a detailed assessment of the data.

¹³ Bulgaria's 2021 GNI per capita is BGN 18,523.



Obtain investment project approval and receive building permit from municipality

Source: Subnational Business Ready

Figure 13. Cost of Obtaining a Building Permit, by City and Phase



b. Average cost as percentage of total



Current cadastral extract

Source: Subnational Business Ready Note: BGN = Bulgarian lev

Environmental Permitting¹⁴

Environmental permitting regulations, transparency of information, and availability of digital public services are consistent across the six benchmarked cities in Bulgaria. National environmental regulations, enforced during construction activities, are regularly updated to incorporate recent environmental and technological advances in the construction sector. Penalties or fines are imposed for noncompliance with the regulations, and environmental risks are clearly defined within the legal framework.

The use of qualified professionals or agencies to conduct environmental impact assessments (EIAs) is mandated by law, along with specific criteria to trigger an EIA. However, the legal framework does not define activities and approaches (such as surveys and polls to capture inputs and feedback from concerned stakeholders, training, resources, and technical assistance to project-affected parties) that facilitate contributions to the decision-making process by interested parties. While the regulatory framework allows for disputing environmental clearances and permits with the permit-issuing authority, no outof-court resolution mechanisms address environmental disputes.

Information regarding environmental permitting is transparent, including requirements for obtaining environmental licensing for construction projects and an up-to-date fee schedule for obtaining clearances electronically. But Bulgaria lacks a comprehensive online system for environmental permitting with several functionalities and an online system for filing disputes. The country is currently in transition toward online submissions for EIAs, but entrepreneurs in Bulgaria still submit documentation required for the EIA needs assessment on paper or by email.

Entrepreneurs pay a national fixed fee to assess the need to carry out an EIA, but subnational variations remain in the time needed to complete the steps for EIA clearance. Entrepreneurs spend twice as long in Sofia (90 days) to obtain an EIA clearance as in Pleven (44 days) (figure 14).

Property Transfer¹⁵

Since the last subnational assessment conducted in Bulgaria in 2017, the focus of land administration and property registration improvements has been on dig-



Figure 14. Obtaining an EIA, by City

Source: Subnational Business Ready

Note: EIA = environmental impact assessment

¹⁴ See section 3.2, "Building Location in Detail—Environmental Permitting," for more information on the topic, the country-specific context, and a detailed assessment of the data.

¹⁵ See section 3.3, "Building Location in Detail—Property Transfer," for more information on the topic, the country-specific context, and a detailed assessment of the data.

italization. Both the Registry Agency and the Cadastral Administrative Information System (KAIS) now offer users the possibility to log into their respective online portals and request electronic administrative services or to interrogate their databases. Citizens in possession of an electronic signature can now obtain non-encumbrance certificates electronically. Similarly, notaries no longer need to obtain a tax clearance certificate from the National Revenue Agency and can obtain parties' certificates of good standing from the Commercial Register online and free of charge. These improvements have streamlined the property transfer process in Bulgaria, and the Ministry of Finance shortened the legal deadline for municipalities to issue tax assessment certificates from 14 days to 5 days. The cost for transferring a property has increased in most of the assessed cities, however.

The regulatory framework¹⁶ for property transfer applies uniformly across the country and performs on par with good international practices in terms of property transfer standards. The law mandates verifying the legality of property transaction documents, confirming identities of involved parties, and completing property registration at the Land Registry,¹⁷ although electronic and paper documents do not hold equal legal standing in transactions. Domestic and foreign firms face no restrictions on leasing or owning property except for agricultural land ownership. The law also provides for alternative dispute resolution (ADR) mechanisms between private parties regarding registered property rights through mediation and conciliation. Arbitration does not exist, however, and the land administration system also lacks legal provisions for the security of rights, as registered property rights are not subject to a guarantee and no out-of-court compensation mechanism for land registry errors currently exists.

All six assessed cities in Bulgaria share similar features regarding the quality of public services for property transfer and the related transparency of information. Some digital public services for property transfers are accessible, such as the electronic platforms for due diligence and encumbrance checks, and the online complaint mechanism at the Cadaster. No online complaint mechanism is available at the Land Registry, however, nor is there an electronic platform to register property. A GIS is in place and the Land Registry and Cadaster use a Unique Identifier for properties, but the databases of these institutions are separate and not linked. Service standards and fee schedules are available online at the Land Registry and Cadaster websites, along with the statistics on the number and types of property-related transactions. The websites of these institutions have not published the list of requirements for property transfers, however, nor have statistics been published on land disputes, time needed to resolve them, and sex-disaggregated data on property ownership.

Data from World Bank Enterprise Surveys indicate that the share of firms reporting access to land as an obstacle is highest in the Southeastern region (including Burgas), with 8 percent, and lowest in the Northwestern and Southern Central regions (including Pleven and Plovdiv, respectively), with 3 percent (map 2). Across the country, an average of 5 percent of Bulgarian firms reported access to land as a constraint, the lowest percentage among the six countries benchmarked in the EU.

The time to transfer a property varies from 9 days in Burgas and Sofia to 11 days in Pleven and Varna (figure 15). Costs to register a property transfer vary from 2.5 percent of the property value (BGN 45,575) in Ruse to 3.3 percent of property value (BGN 60,407) in Sofia.¹⁸ The bulk of the property transfer cost consists of the property transfer tax, which accounts for 89 percent of the total cost in Ruse and 92 percent of the total cost in Varna. The transfer tax varies by municipality, ranging from 2.2 percent of the property value in Ruse to 3 percent in Burgas, Plovdiv, Sofia, and Varna.

Table 3 provides a detailed overview—by pillar, category, and subcategory—of the Bulgarian cities' performance on the Business Location topic. The topic includes three subtopics: Property Transfer, Building Permits, and Environmental Permits, detailed below. The column with the re-scaled points indicates the total maximum points a city can get on each of the measured areas. For example, under Pillar I (Quality of Regulations for Business Location), category 1.1 (Property Transfer and Land Administration), subcategory 1.1.2 (Land Dispute Mechanism), none of the cities receive the total possible maximum of 15 points. Conversely, on subcategory 1.1.3 (Land Administration System), all cities receive the maximum points—10 out of 10. Most cross-city variability is observed under Pillar III.

¹⁶ Regulatory Framework includes Law on Cadaster and Property Register, Law on Notaries and Notarial Practice, Law on Obligations and Contracts. 17 The Land Registry is an official public inventory that documents and maintains information on land ownership through recording titles (rights on land) or deeds (documents concerning changes in the legal situation of land).

¹⁸ For a property value of BGN 1,852,256, equal to 100 times the 2021 GNI per capita. Bulgaria's 2021 GNI per capita is BGN 18,523.

Map 2. Share of Firms Reporting Access to Land as an Obstacle, by Region



Source: World Bank Enterprise Surveys 2023



Source: Subnational Business Ready

Table 3. Business Location Scores

		No. of indicators	Re-scaled points						
		lo. of	le-sca	Burgas	Pleven	Plovdiv	Ruse	Sofia	Varna
Pillar I	– Quality of Regulations for Business Location							05	-
1.1	Property Transfer and Land Administration	11	40	27.3	27.3	27.3	27.3	27.3	27.3
1.1.1	Property Transfer Standards	4	15	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5
1.1.2	Land Dispute Mechanism	4	15	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8
1.1.3	Land Administration System	3	10	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
1.2	Building, Zoning and Land Use	20	40	39.6	39.6	39.6	39.6	39.6	39.6
1.2.1	Building Standards	11	15	14.6	14.6	14.6	14.6	14.6	14.6
1.2.2	Building Energy Standards	4	15	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
1.2.3	Zoning and Land Use Regulations	5	10	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
1.3	Restrictions on Owning and Leasing Property	19	10	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.5
1.3.1	Domestic firms—Ownership	4	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
1.3.2	Domestic firms—Leasehold	5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
1.3.3	Foreign firms—Ownership	5	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
1.3.4	Foreign firms—Leasehold	5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
1.4	Environmental Permits	12	10	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3
1.4.1	Environmental Permits for Construction	10	5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
1.4.2	Dispute Mechanisms for Construction-Related Environmental Permits	2	5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
	Total	62	100	83.6	83.6	83.6	83.6	83.6	83.6
Pillar I	I – Quality of Public Services and Transparency of Information f	or Busin	ess Loc	ation					
2.1	Availability and Reliability of Digital Services	21	40	15.1	15.1	15.1	15.1	15.1	15.1
2.1.1	Property Transfer—Digital Public Services	6	8	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7
2.1.2	Property Transfer—Digital Land Management and Identification System	5	8	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4
2.1.3	Property Transfer—Coverage of the Land Registry and Mapping Agency	4	8	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
2.1.4	Building Permits—Digital Public Services	4	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2.1.5	Environmental Permits—Digital Public Services	2	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2.2	Interoperability of Services	6	20	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	10.0	5.0
2.2.1	Interoperability of Services for Property Transfer	4	10	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
2.2.2	Interoperability of Services for Building Permits	2	10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0
2.3	Transparency of Information	19	40	28.6	28.6	28.6	28.6	28.6	28.6
2.3.1	Immovable Property (includes gender)	9	20	11.1	11.1	11.1	11.1	11.1	11.1
2.3.2	Building, Zoning and Land Use	8	15	12.5	12.5	12.5	12.5	12.5	12.5
2.3.3	Environmental Permits	2	5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
	Total	46	100	48.7	48.7	48.7	48.7	53.7	48.7

Table 3. Business Location Scores

		No. of indicators	Re-scaled points	Burgas	Pleven	Plovdiv	Ruse	Sofia	Varna
	II – Operational Efficiency of Establishing a Business Location	3	40	20.0	38.3	20.1	20.0	20.0	20.0
3.1	Property Transfer and Land Administration	-		38.0		38.1	38.9	38.0	38.0
3.1.1	Major Constraints on Access to Land	1	13.3	13.2	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.2	13.2
3.1.2	Time to Obtain a Property Transfer	1	13.3	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2
3.1.3	Cost to Obtain a Property Transfer	1	13.3	11.6	11.7	11.6	12.4	11.6	11.6
3.2	Construction Permits	2	40	38.4	38.4	38.6	38.4	38.0	38.6
3.2.1	Time to Obtain a Building Permit	1	20	18.6	18.6	18.8	18.6	18.4	18.8
3.2.2	Cost to Obtain a Building Permit	1	20	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.6	19.8
3.3	Environmental Permits	2	20	19.9	20.0	19.9	20.0	19.9	20.0
3.3.1	Time to Obtain an Environmental Permit	1	10	9.9	10.0	9.9	10.0	9.9	10.0
3.3.2	Cost to Obtain an Environmental Permit	1	10	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
	Total	7	100	96.3	96.7	96.6	97.3	95.9	96.6

Source: Subnational Business Ready

Note: The reported individual scores were rounded off; therefore, the sum of individual scores may not add up to the totals.


1.5 Utility Services

Electricity¹⁹

Authorities in Bulgaria have implemented recent reforms aimed at streamlining the electricity connection process and enhancing service quality. Notably, the process of obtaining an electricity connection has been simplified by eliminating the requirement that customers sign a preliminary contract with the utility. Additionally, amendments to the Energy from Renewable Sources Act in 2022 simplified the construction process for new energy facilities producing energy from renewable sources. All electricity utilities in Bulgaria have made some progress toward digitalization since 2017, introducing online application platforms for new connections. The degree of functionality of the online application platforms varies by utility, however.

The electricity regulatory framework applies uniformly across all Bulgarian cities.²⁰ It sets clear guidelines for the efficient deployment of electricity connections and quality of supply. These regulations aim to ensure that electricity connections are made efficiently and that the supply is reliable and of high quality. The framework mandates specific requirements for the technical standards that must be met during the installation and operation of electrical connections, and it includes stringent requirements for

professional certifications, inspection regimes, and liability standards, thus maintaining high safety levels.

Environmental regulations mandate sustainable practices in electricity generation, transmission, and distribution. There are legally mandated environmental standards that aim to reduce the ecological impact of electricity infrastructure. The Energy Efficiency Act and the Energy from Renewable Sources Act provide a framework for promoting energy efficiency and the use of renewable energy sources, respectively.

Financial deterrence and incentive mechanisms aimed at limiting electricity supply interruptions, businesses' compliance with energy-saving targets, and nonfinancial incentives to adopt energy efficiency practices are lacking from the regulatory framework, however. Across Bulgarian cities, significant differences exist in the quality of public services. The quality of governance and transparency of electricity services ensures the monitoring of electricity supply reliability and quality through key performance indicators (KPIs). Online availability of these KPIs is inconsistent across cities. Burgas and Plovdiv lead in transparency by providing electronic applications where entrepreneurs can complete all connection steps. Other cities lag in this area, requiring in-person visits to utility offices for parts of the application process.

¹⁹ See section 4.1, "Utility Services in Detail—Electricity," for more information on the topic, the country-specific context, and a detailed assessment of the data.

²⁰ The Energy Act and Ordinance No. 6 of February 24, 2014, stipulate the process of getting a new electrical connection, including the connection of electricity producers and customers in the transmission and distribution networks. The Energy Efficiency Act and the Energy from Renewable Sources Act regulate state policy and the implementation of measures aimed at improving energy efficiency across energy production, transmission, distribution, and consumption, as well as promoting environmental sustainability in energy use. The Spatial Development Act defines regulations and processes for territorial planning, investment planning, construction activities, and infrastructure deployment.

Although complaint mechanisms and connection requirements are published online, gaps remain in the transparency of planned outages and environmental sustainability metrics. A shared database for network lines of various utilities and an online system for coordinating excavation permit approvals across agencies are also lacking.

The efficiency of the electricity connection process in Bulgaria varies significantly across cities, affecting the overall time and cost required to obtain a new connection. The regulatory framework standardizes the process nationally, but local administrative practices lead to differences in implementation.

Obtaining a new electricity connection takes from 229 days in Pleven to 270 days in Plovdiv (figure 16). The average time to obtain a new connection across the country is 251 days. The variations in time are primarily due to the issuance of local clearances and construction permits. For instance, the time associated with obtaining clearances from other utility providers and construction permits ranges from 47 days in Pleven to 79 days in Sofia. The cost of obtaining a new electricity connection also varies from 62.2 percent of income per capita (BGN 11,516) in Burgas and

Plovdiv to 66.8 percent of income per capita (BGN 12,378) in Sofia.²¹

In terms of the reliability of electricity supply, Burgas and Plovdiv report the least frequent interruptions, averaging 2.6 per year, each lasting on average 2.6 hours.²² In contrast, Ruse and Varna experience about 4 interruptions annually, each lasting nearly 6.5 hours. According to World Bank Enterprise Surveys data, countrywide, only 10 percent of Bulgarian firms own or share a generator. Twenty-four percent of firms own or share generators in the Northwestern region (including Pleven), while only 4 percent do so in the Southeastern region (including Burgas) (map 3). Notably, Bulgarian firms report no losses in annual sales due to electrical outages, indicating resilient business operations despite any supply issues.

Figure 16. Time to Obtain a New Electricity Connection, by City and Stage



Source: Subnational Business Ready

Note: The vertical line indicates the average total time to obtain a new electricity connection.

²¹ Bulgaria's 2021 GNI per capita is BGN 18,523.

²² The numbers are based on SAIDI (System Average Interruption Duration Index) and SAIFI (System Average Interruption Frequency Index) obtained from each electricity utility in Bulgaria.

Map 3. Share of Firms Owning or Sharing a Generator, by Region



Source: World Bank Enterprise Surveys 2023

Water²³

Bulgaria's regulatory framework mandates clear guidelines for infrastructure sharing and joint planning, such as "dig once" policies, to optimize resource use and minimize disruptions. It includes stringent safety regulations, requiring professional certifications for installers and mandated inspections for internal and external installations, supported by regulated liability regimes to ensure accountability. The framework enforces standards for water provision and use, sustainable wastewater practices, and the reuse of wastewater, although there is room for improvement in incentivizing businesses to adopt these practices. Currently, businesses are offered no specific financial or nonfinancial incentives to adhere to water-saving targets or to install water-efficient appliances. The Energy and Water Regulatory Commission (EWRC) oversees monitoring of tariffs and service quality, ensuring compliance and reliability through financial deterrence mechanisms that encourage an uninterrupted water supply.

The quality of governance and transparency of water services features slight variation across Bulgarian cities. KPIs

monitor quality, reliability, and environmental sustainability across the country, and all Bulgarian cities adhere to internationally recognized good practices in terms of the availability of information and transparency of water utility services. Digital services and interoperability across utilities vary by city, however. The utilities in Sofia and Varna possess online databases allowing the identification of existing water networks, while the rest of the cities do not. Transparency is enhanced through the publication of planned outages, tariffs, and connection requirements online, providing clear guidance and fostering accountability. Complaint mechanisms are well-established, both within water service providers and independently, with detailed online information guiding customers through the complaint process. Additionally, the interoperability of digital services across utilities is strong, particularly in Sofia and Varna, where GIS-based databases for existing water networks are implemented.

The efficiency of the water connection process in Bulgaria varies significantly across cities, affecting both the time required to secure a connection and the reliability of the water supply. Obtaining a water connection takes between 121 days in Sofia and 150 days in Varna (figure 17). This

²³ See section 4.2, "Utility Services in Detail—Water," for more information on the topic, the country-specific context, and a detailed assessment of the data.

Figure 17. Time to Obtain a Water Connection, by City and Stage



Source: Subnational Business Ready

disparity is largely due to the speed at which municipalities issue excavation permits and approve project designs. In Ruse and Pleven, these steps are completed in 52 days, while in Sofia and Varna they take over two months. The cost of obtaining a water connection also varies, from 13.6 percent of income per capita (BGN 2,512) in Sofia²⁴ to 42.3 percent of income per capita (BGN 7,836) in Pleven.²⁵ The variation in cost is mainly driven by the cost of connection works. In the capital city, companies rely on Sofia Water to perform the connection works, while in the rest of the cities clients typically hire a contractor to complete connection works, resulting in higher costs.

The reliability of the water supply across the country is generally high. According to data from World Bank Enterprise Surveys, no firms report water insufficiencies in the Northwestern and Southeastern regions (including Pleven and Burgas, respectively) (map 4). On the other hand, nearly 1 out of 10 firms report water insufficiencies in the Northeastern region (including Varna). These regional disparities highlight the need for improved infrastructure and competition among water utilities.

Internet²⁶

Bulgaria maintains consistent standards for internet regulations across all regions. Bulgaria's regulatory framework includes comprehensive guidelines for the efficient deployment of internet connections and quality of supply.²⁷ The Communications Regulatory Commission (CRC) plays a pivotal role in ensuring the efficient deployment and high quality of internet services through rigorous regulatory monitoring. The CRC oversees wholesale connectivity tariffs and can investigate anticompetitive practices to ensure fair pricing and competition, establishing and monitoring adherence to performance standards for reliable, high-quality internet service. This includes joint planning and "dig once" policies to streamline infrastructure development.

²⁴ The cost of water connection in Sofia is covered by the utility, Sofiyska voda (Sofia Water).

²⁵ Bulgaria's 2021 GNI per capita is BGN 18,523.

²⁶ See section 4.3, "Utility Services in Detail—Internet," for more information on the topic, the country-specific context, and a detailed assessment of the data.

²⁷ The Electronic Communications Act regulates the electronic communications sector governing the operation of electronic communications networks and services and ensuring that these services are provided in a competitive, transparent, and nondiscriminatory manner. The Electronic Communications Networks and Physical Infrastructure Act facilitates the development and expansion of electronic communications networks, particularly broadband infrastructure. It aims to streamline the processes for deploying telecommunications networks by enabling easier access to existing physical infrastructure and reducing regulatory barriers.





Source: World Bank Enterprise Surveys 2023

The regulatory framework mandates that operators share access to passive or active infrastructure, guarantees equal access to government-owned infrastructure, and establishes rights of way for digital service providers. It also includes stringent safety and environmental regulations, mandating liability and compensation for data protection breaches and setting environmental standards like greenhouse gas emissions reporting and adherence with the ISO 50001²⁸ standard. The State e-Government Agency (SEGA) coordinates national cybersecurity, conducting risk assessments and training. Financial deterrence mechanisms, such as penalties for service outages and customer compensation, ensure ISPs maintain high standards.

Bulgaria's quality of governance and transparency in internet services feature strong digital services and interoperability, with many internet providers offering electronic applications and online tracking for new internet connections. Municipal governments play a key role in coordinating excavation permits, although an online system for coordinating approvals across utilities is lacking. Transparency is maintained through the publication of planned outages and the availability of complaint mechanisms, both within ISPs and independently. Comprehensive online information guides customers through the complaint process, enhancing accountability. KPIs for service quality, such as download/upload speeds and latency, are published online. Room for improvement remains in tariff transparency, however, as the formulas for determining tariff levels are not disclosed. Cybersecurity protocols are robust, with regular audits, incident response drills, and clear provisions for reporting data breaches. Despite these strengths, the need remains for sex-disaggregated customer surveys to better understand service quality from the perspective of women entrepreneurs.

The efficiency of internet provision in Bulgaria varies across regions, impacting the time required to secure a new connection and the reliability of the service. Time to obtain an internet connection slightly varies from three days in Plovdiv, Ruse, Sofia, and Varna to five days in Burgas and Pleven. Private sector respondents attribute longer connection times in certain areas to lower levels of competition among service providers. Despite the minor variation in time, most Bulgarian cities outperform other benchmarked EU countries in terms of speed of getting a

²⁸ The ISO 50001 is an energy management standard developed by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO). Designed to support organizations in all sectors, this ISO standard provides a practical way to improve energy use through the development of an energy management system (EnMS). ISO brings global experts together to agree on the best way of doing things—anything from making a product to managing a process. More information can be found at https://www.iso.org/iso-50001-energy-management.html

new connection. The process of getting an internet connection typically involves contacting the service providers' 24/7 customer service office or submitting an online application, followed by verification of coverage and contract preparation. Physical installation usually takes less than a day if the building is already wired for internet. Installation fees are typically waived under loyalty plans, although potential users with no loyalty plans incur a nominal fee.

Countrywide, 15 percent of firms report disruptions, with internet service reliability as reported by Bulgarian firms varying by region. Ten percent of firms in the Southeastern region (including Burgas) report internet service disruptions, whereas 27 percent of firms in the Northwestern region (including Pleven) do so (map 5).

Table 4 provides a detailed overview—by pillar, category, and subcategory—of the Bulgarian cities' performance on the Utility Services topic. The topic includes three subtopics: Electricity, Water, and Internet, which are detailed below. The column with the re-scaled points indicates the total maximum points a city can get on each of the measured areas. For example, under Pillar I (Quality of Regulations on Utility Services), category 1.1 (Electricity), subcategory 1.1.2 (Utility Infrastructure Sharing and Quality Assurance Mechanisms), none of the six cities receive the total possible maximum of 8.3 points. Conversely, all cities receive the maximum number of points (8.3) on two other subcategories: 1.1.1 (Regulatory Monitoring of Tariffs and Service Quality), and 1.1.3 (Safety of Utility Connections). Most cross-city variability is observed under Pillar III.



Map 5. Share of Firms Experiencing Internet Service Disruptions, by Region

Source: World Bank Enterprise Surveys 2023

Table 4. Utility Services Scores

		No. of indicators	Re-scaled points						
		0. 0f	e-sca	Burgas	Pleven	Plovdiv	Ruse	Sofia	Varna
Pillar I	- Quality of Regulations on Utility Services	Z	~	•	A	-	~	S	>
1.1	Electricity	10	33.3	26.0	26.0	26.0	26.0	26.0	26.0
1.1.1	Regulatory Monitoring of Tariffs and Service Quality	2	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3
1.1.2	Utility Infrastructure Sharing and Quality Assurance Mechanisms	2	8.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
1.1.3	Safety of Utility Connections	3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3
1.1.4	Environmental Sustainability	3	8.3	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
1.2	Water	12	33.3	29.2	29.2	29.2	29.2	29.2	29.2
1.2.1	Regulatory Monitoring of Tariffs and Service Quality	2	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3
1.2.2	Utility Infrastructure Sharing and Quality Assurance Mechanisms	2	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3
1.2.3	Safety of Utility Connections	3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3
1.2.4	Environmental Sustainability	5	8.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
1.3	Internet	11	33.3	33.3	33.3	33.3	33.3	33.3	33.3
1.3.1	Regulatory Monitoring of Tariffs and Service Quality	2	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3
1.3.2	Utility Infrastructure Sharing and Quality Assurance Mechanisms	4	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3
1.3.3	Safety of Utility Connections	3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3
1.3.4	Environmental Sustainability	2	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
	Total	33	100	88.5	88.5	88.5	88.5	88.5	88.5
Pillar I	II – Quality of the Governance and Transparency of Utility Servic	es							
2.1	Electricity	15	33.3	25.3	24.2	25.3	24.2	24.2	24.2
2.1.1	Digital Services and Interoperability	4	8.3	6.3	5.2	6.3	5.2	5.2	5.2
2.1.2	Availability of Information and Transparency	6	8.3	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.7
2.1.3	Monitoring of Service Supply (includes gender and environment)	3	8.3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
2.1.4	Enforcement of Safety Regulations and Consumer Protection Mechanisms	2	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3
2.2	Water	15	33.3	25.5	25.5	25.5	25.5	26.5	26.5
2.2.1	Digital Services and Interoperability	4	8.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	5.2	5.2
2.2.2	Availability of Information and Transparency	6	8.3	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
2.2.3	Monitoring of Service Supply (includes gender and environment)	3	8.3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
2.2.4	Enforcement of Safety Regulations and Consumer Protection Mechanisms	2	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3
2.3	Internet	13	33.3	26.5	26.5	26.5	26.5	26.5	26.5
2.3.1	Digital Services and Interoperability	4	8.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3
2.3.2	Availability of Information and Transparency	5	8.3	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7
2.3.3	Monitoring of Service Supply (includes gender and environment)	2	8.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
2.3.4	Enforcement of Safety Regulations and Consumer Protection Mechanisms	2	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3
	Weenanismo								

Table 4. Utility Services Scores

Pillar I	II – Operational Efficiency of Utility Service Provision	No. of indicators	Re-scaled points	Burgas	Pleven	Plovdiv	Ruse	Sofia	Varna
3.1	Electricity	5	33.3	22.0	23.7	21.2	23.3	21.7	23.0
3.1.1	Time to obtain a connection	1	16.7	5.5	8.3	4.7	7.5	5.5	6.8
3.1.2	Reliability of supply	4	16.7	16.5	15.4	16.5	15.8	16.2	16.1
3.2	Water	2	33.3	16.8	17.5	16.3	17.2	17.7	15.5
3.2.1	Time to obtain a connection	1	16.7	0.2	0.8	0.2	1.0	1.2	0.2
3.2.2	Reliability of supply	1	16.7	16.7	16.7	16.2	16.2	16.5	15.3
3.3	Internet	2	33.3	26.7	24.0	31.2	31.5	31.8	31.7
3.3.1	Time to obtain a connection	1	16.7	10.2	10.2	15.3	15.3	15.3	15.3
3.3.2	Reliability of supply	1	16.7	16.5	13.8	15.8	16.2	16.5	16.3
	Total	9	100	65.5	65.2	68.7	72.0	71.2	70.1

Source: Subnational Business Ready

Note: The reported individual scores were rounded off; therefore, the sum of individual scores may not add up to the totals.



1.6 Dispute Resolution²⁹

The main variations between Bulgaria's cities occur in implementation of public services and in duration of court litigation in commercial matters. For instance, only three out of six courts, all found in cities with the highest caseloads, have judges specialized in commercial disputes. At the same time, virtual hearings are not common in all courts, with two of the six not conducting them at all. Furthermore, while costs are homogenized and show no variance from city to city, the time required for court litigation varies significantly because the higher caseloads in some cities lead to longer resolution times.

In terms of judicial integrity, judges are required to recuse themselves and to disclose assets publicly, and codes of ethics for judges and enforcement agents are in place. Similarly, procedural certainty is affected by laws defining time standards for filing a statement of defense, suggesting new evidence, and issuing judgments and expert opinions. No time standard, however, applies to serving a complaint on a defendant, and the maximum number of adjournments is not regulated. In terms of alternative dispute resolution mechanisms, Bulgaria has room for improvement; it has no established arbitrability of immovable property disputes nor any special enforcement regime for mediation of settlement agreements.

Public services are available across all cities measured in Bulgaria, with courts equipped with the adequate digital platforms required for their day-to-day processes. Services such as e-filing, e-communication, electronic exchange of documents and online payments of fees are implemented across all cities measured. Only Sofia, Plovdiv, and Varna, however, have specialized commercial divisions in which judges do not preside over other civil or criminal matters. Similarly, differences also arise in use of virtual hearings across courts. Although all the courts have the necessary information technology infrastructure to conduct virtual hearings, only those in Pleven, Plovdiv, Sofia, and Ruse do so consistently. By contrast, courts in Burgas and Varna have yet to conduct virtual hearings.

The greatest disparity among Bulgarian cities is in the total duration of commercial litigation proceedings, from the court of first instance through appeals. Ruse and Pleven resolve cases in 10 months, while the court in Sofia requires more than 2 years. Major delays begin in the first instance court in Sofia, taking more than 15 months to resolve a dispute, compared to 6 months before the courts of Ruse and Pleven. Delays are also found when required to file and serve initial complaints, which take 50 days in Sofia but only 30 days in Ruse and 23 days in Pleven. Additionally, the time elapsed until the first hearing in Sofia is 75 days, while in Ruse it only takes 30 days. These figures reflect the caseload patterns of these courts. Judges handle around 11 cases per month in Plovdiv and 18 cases per month in Sofia. At the same time, judges in Pleven and Ruse hear, on average, 9 and 8.5 cases per month, respectively. Given that Sofia's first instance court handles around 38 percent of all commercial cases in the country, these higher caseloads are understandable.

The duration of enforcement across Bulgaria's cities mirrors the trend visible in the duration of proceedings, ranging from 20 days in Pleven to 37 days in Sofia. Major delays

²⁹ See section 5, "Dispute Resolution in Detail," for more information on the topic, the country-specific context, and a detailed assessment of the data.

result primarily during cooperation between enforcement agents with third entities in the enforcement of the decision. Enforcement typically involves the bank releasing the debtor's assets to an enforcement agent, who then transfers the funds to the creditor. The biggest variation lies in the readiness of commercial banks to accept electronic messages on seizure from enforcement agents, as some banks insist on paper-based systems, thus prolonging the enforcement process.

On the other hand, costs for court litigation and enforcement are uniform across all cities and are centrally standardized by the regulatory framework. Court fees amount to 4 percent of the claim value³⁰ at first instance and 2 percent at appellate level. Attorney fees, regulated by ordinance of the Supreme Bar Council, are set at a minimum of 5.26 percent of the claim value, as most lawyers charge according to the Ordinance. Enforcement costs are also uniform at 2.7 percent of the claim value.

Perceptions of courts and ADR as reported by firms varies across the country. According to data from World Bank Enterprise Surveys, the Northwestern region (including Pleven) has the largest share of firms that do not find courts to be independent and impartial and do not find ADR mechanisms to be reliable (figure 18). Countrywide, 41 percent of Bulgarian firms do not find the courts to be independent and impartial, while only 14 percent of Bulgarian firms find the courts to be a constraint to business operations. On average, firms in Sofia and Varna tend to have the most positive perception of the ADR mechanisms for arbitration and mediation.

Table 5 provides a detailed overview—by pillar, category, and subcategory—of the assessed cities' performance on the dispute resolution topic. The column with the re-scaled points indicates the total maximum points a city can get on each of the measured areas. For example, none of the measured cities receive the total possible maximum score of 40 points under Pillar I (Quality of Regulations for Dispute Resolution), category 1.1 (Court Litigation), subcategory 1.1.1 (Procedural Certainty (which includes environmental disputes)). All cities, however, receive a maximum score of 26.7 points under the same pillar and category for the subcategory 1.1.2 (Judicial Integrity (which includes gender equality)).



Figure 18. Perception of Courts and Other Dispute Resolution Processes, by Category and Region

Source: World Bank Enterprise Surveys 2023

30 For a claim value of BGN 370,451, equal to 20 times the 2021 GNI per capita. Bulgaria's 2021 GNI per capita is BGN 18,523.

Table 5. Dispute Resolution Scores

		No. of indicators	Re-scaled points	Burgas	Pleven	Plovdiv	Ruse	Sofia	Varna
Pillar I	– Quality of Regulations for Dispute Resolution								
1.1	Court Litigation	14	66.7	53.9	53.9	53.9	53.9	53.9	53.9
1.1.1	Procedural Certainty (includes environment)	9	40	27.3	27.3	27.3	27.3	27.3	27.3
1.1.2	Judicial Integrity (includes gender)	5	26.7	26.7	26.7	26.7	26.7	26.7	26.7
1.2	Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR)	10	33.3	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1
1.2.1	Legal Safeguards in Arbitration	6	16.7	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9
1.2.2	Legal Safeguards in Mediation	4	16.7	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.3
	Total	24	100	74.1	74.1	74.1	74.1	74.1	74.1
Pillar I	Pillar II – Public Services for Dispute Resolution								
2.1	Court Litigation	19	66.7	38.8	41.5	47.1	41.5	47.1	44.3
2.1.1	Organizational Structure of Courts	4	22.2	11.1	11.1	16.7	11.1	16.7	16.7
2.1.2	Digitalization of Court Processes	8	22.2	13.9	16.7	16.7	16.7	16.7	13.9
2.1.3	Transparency of Courts (includes gender)	7	22.2	13.8	13.8	13.8	13.8	13.8	13.8
2.2	Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR)	9	33.3	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5
2.2.1	Public Services for Arbitration (includes gender)	4	16.7	9.7	9.7	9.7	9.7	9.7	9.7
2.2.2	Public Services for Mediation (includes gender)	5	16.7	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.8
	Total	28	100	56.3	59.0	64.6	59.0	64.6	61.8
Pillar I	II – Ease of Resolving a Commercial Dispute								
3.1	Court Litigation	8	66.7	57.0	48.2	56.3	53.7	48.9	53.0
3.1.1	Reliability of Courts	2	26.7	20.0	10.3	19.6	15.6	13.3	15.3
3.1.2	Operational Efficiency of Court Processes	6	40	37.0	38.0	36.7	38.1	35.5	37.7
3.2	Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR)	6	33.3	26.0	25.2	23.5	25.3	28.8	28.4
3.2.1	Reliability of ADR	2	13.3	6.5	6.1	4.0	5.4	9.5	8.7
3.2.2	Operational Efficiency of Arbitration Processes	4	20	19.5	19.1	19.5	19.9	19.4	19.6
	Total	14	100	83.1	73.4	79.8	78.9	77.7	81.4

Source: Subnational Business Ready

Note: The reported individual scores were rounded off; therefore, the sum of individual scores may not add up to the totals.



1.7 Business Insolvency³¹

Business insolvency proceedings in Bulgaria are regulated by the Bulgarian Commerce Act (Part IV) and apply uniformly across the country (Pillar I). The Bulgarian legal framework recognizes two types of business insolvency proceedings: (i) pre-insolvency proceedings (stabilization), started in cases of debtor's likely inability to pay debts and involving attempts to reorganize the enterprise; and (ii) insolvency proceedings (rehabilitation and liquidation), started when the debtor is unable to meet payable monetary obligations related to a commercial transaction and involving attempts to rehabilitate the debtor's viability on the market or, if unsuccessful, to liquidate the company.³² Stabilization is reportedly more often attempted than rehabilitation, although it is also uncommon (less than 10 attempts in the last two years).³³ Similarly, the quality of institutional and operational infrastructure for judicial insolvency proceedings is the same across the country. Although digital services and electronic platforms are available throughout Bulgaria, some differences remain in how these tools actually function across Bulgarian cities. This and other factors drive significant variations on the efficiency of resolving judicial insolvency proceedings.

More specifically, the duration and costs of insolvency proceedings vary significantly across cities. Larger cities with a higher volume of cases in courts, like Varna and Sofia, experience longer timelines for liquidation proceedings (figure 19). Varna's prominent real estate and tourism sec-

tors were heavily affected by the COVID-19 crisis, creating a relatively high volume of cases and resource constraints. The city has one of the highest numbers of insolvency cases and the courts have fewer staff compared to courts in other cities.³⁴ These factors affect the efficiency of Varna's liquidation proceedings, making them the slowest in the country. The court in Sofia faces major delays due to a high volume of complex cases and limited use of digital platforms; the proceedings can take more than 35 months for a liquidation. By contrast, courts in Plovdiv and Ruse resolve liquidation in 24 months. Reorganization proceedings are typically fewer in number due to strict eligibility requirements under the legal framework that ensure only viable firms attempt these procedures. The length of time needed for reorganization proceedings ranges from 6 months in Plovdiv to 12 months in Burgas, Pleven, Ruse, and Varna.

Despite uniformly regulated national court fees, the cost of liquidation and reorganization proceedings varies across regions. Cities with more capitalized companies, like Ruse and Sofia, have higher costs, with liquidation expenses at 6.5 percent and 6 percent of the insolvent company's market value,³⁵ respectively, while Burgas, Pleven, and Plovdiv have costs of 4 percent (figure 20). The variation in cost is primarily driven by differing insolvency administrator success fees, which depend on liquidation asset values, leading to higher fees in cities with high-value assets. A similar pattern is observed in the costs for reorganization

³¹ See section 6, "Business Insolvency in Detail," for more information on the topic, the country-specific context, and a detailed assessment of the data. 32 European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (2022).

³³ Statistics are available at https://vss.justice.bg/page/view/1082 [last access: September 11, 2024].

³⁴ All caseload statistics are available at the website of the Ministry of Justice: <u>https://aistn.mjs.bg/statistics-and-reports</u> [last access: September 11, 2024].

³⁵ For an insolvent's company market value of BGN 2,778,384, equal to 150 times the 2021 GNI per capita. Bulgaria's 2021 GNI per capita is BGN 18,523.



Source: Subnational Business Ready



Figure 20. Cost of Liquidation and Reorganization Proceedings, by City

Source: Subnational Business Ready

proceedings, ranging from 3 percent in Burgas, Pleven, and Plovdiv to 5 percent in Ruse and Sofia. The largest challenge to calculating costs for reorganization proceedings comes from the lack of practical experience in doing so, despite legislative changes in 2023 geared toward stabilizing this process.

As mentioned, the digitization of public services improved significantly following the widespread adoption of advanced technologies in Bulgarian courts, prompted by the COVID-19 pandemic and subsequent government support programs; this effort has helped reduce the possibility of case backlogs across most of the cities measured. The establishment of an electronic justice platform has furthermore increased overall efficiency by enabling electronic filing and communication for all actors involved, with targeted training for judges and insolvency practitioners. Implemented in July 2020, this new electronic system unifies all courts and enables interoperability with governmental authorities. Importantly, it interconnects with the Trade Registry, which oversees publicizing information about insolvent companies. This system offers real-time case updates and includes a digital signature feature. Despite some ongoing difficulties in major cities, such as Sofia, the platform is now widely available. While e-filing, e-communication, and e-case management features have been successfully implemented, however, the absence of virtual auctions still poses a challenge for full digitalization of the courts. Room for broader homogenization remains as well, as low broadband and lack of connectivity occasionally hamper the functionality of the existing electronic tools.

Table 6 provides a detailed overview—by pillar, category, and subcategory—of the cities' performance on the Business Insolvency topic. The column with the re-scaled points indicates the total maximum points a city can get on each of the measured areas. For example, none of the cities receive the total possible maximum score of 15 points on Pillar I (Quality of Regulations for Judicial Insolvency Proceedings), category 1.1 (Legal and Procedural Standards in Insolvency Proceedings), subcategory 1.1.1 (Pre-Commencement and Commencement Standards in Liquidation and Reorganization). Conversely, all cities receive the maximum score of 10 points under category 1.2 (Debtor's Assets and Creditor's Participation in Insolvency Proceedings), subcategory 1.2.3 (Selection and Dismissal of the Insolvency Administrator). All cross-city variability in scores is observed under Pillar III (Operational Efficiency of Resolving Judicial Insolvency Proceedings).

		No. of indicators	Re-scaled points	Burgas	Pleven	Plovdiv	Ruse	Sofia	Varna
	 Quality of Regulations for Judicial Insolvency Proceedings 								
1.1	Legal and Procedural Standards in Insolvency Proceedings	10	30	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5
1.1.1	Pre-Commencement and Commencement Standards in Liquidation and Reorganization	5	15	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5
1.1.2	Post-Commencement Standards in Liquidation and Reorganization	5	15	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0
1.2	Debtor's Assets and Creditor's Participation in Insolvency Proceedings	14	50	36.8	36.8	36.8	36.8	36.8	36.8
1.2.1	Treatment and Protection of Debtor's Assets during Liquidation and Reorganization (includes environment)	6	20	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0
1.2.2	Creditor's Rights in Liquidation and Reorganization (includes environment)	5	20	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8
1.2.3	Selection and Dismissal of the Insolvency Administrator	3	10	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
1.3	Specialized Insolvency Proceedings and International Insolvency	5	20	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
1.3.1	Specialized Insolvency Proceedings for Micro and Small Enterprises (MSEs)	3	10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1.3.2	Cross-Border Insolvency	2	10	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
	Total	29	100	66.3	66.3	66.3	66.3	66.3	66.3
Pillar I	I – Quality of Institutional and Operational Infrastructure for Jud	licial Ins	olvency	Proceed	dings				
2.1	Digital Services (e-Courts) in Insolvency Proceedings	7	40	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
2.1.1	Electronic Services in Liquidation and Reorganization	4	20	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
2.1.2	Electronic Case Management Systems in Liquidation and Reorganization	3	20	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
2.2	Interoperability in Insolvency Proceedings	2	20	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
2.2.1	Digital Services Connectivity with External Systems in Liquidation and Reorganization	1	10	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
2.2.2	Interconnection between e-Case Management System and e-Filing Systems in Liquidation and Reorganization	1	10	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0

Table 6. Business Insolvency Scores

Table 6. Business Insolvency Scores

		No. of indicators	Re-scaled points	Burgas	Pleven	Plovdiv	Ruse	Sofia	Varna
2.3	Public Information on Insolvency Proceedings and Registry of Insolvency Practitioners	5	20	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3
2.3.1	Public Information on the Number and Length of Liquidation and Reorganization, and Insolvency Judgments	3	10	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
2.3.2	Availability of a Public Registry of Insolvency Practitioners	2	10	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
2.4	Public Officials and Insolvency Administrators	3	20	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
2.4.1	Specialization of Courts with Jurisdiction on Reorganization and Liquidation Proceedings	2	10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2.4.2	Insolvency Administrator's Expertise in Practice	1	10	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
	Total	17	100	68.3	68.3	68.3	68.3	68.3	68.3
Pillar I	II – Operational Efficiency of Resolving Judicial Insolvency Proc	eedings							
3.1	Liquidation Proceedings	2	50	37.3	33.0	44.8	44.0	29.3	25.5
3.1.1	Time to Resolve a Liquidation Proceeding	1	25	12.5	8.3	20.0	20.0	5.0	1.0
3.1.2	Cost to Resolve a Liquidation Proceeding	1	25	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.0	24.3	24.5
3.2	Reorganization Proceedings	2	50	48.3	48.3	49.8	47.5	48.3	48.0
3.2.1	Time to Resolve a Reorganization Proceeding	1	25	23.5	23.5	25.0	23.5	24.3	23.5
3.2.2	Cost to Resolve a Reorganization Proceeding	1	25	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.0	24.0	24.5
	Total	4	100	85.5	81.3	94.5	91.5	77.5	73.5

Source: Subnational Business Ready

Note: The reported individual scores were rounded off; therefore, the sum of individual scores may not add up to the totals.

Subnational Business Ready in the European Union 2024: BULGARIA



2. Business Entry in Detail





Main findings

- The process of business entry is harmonized across the six cities assessed in Bulgaria.
- Entrepreneurs in Bulgaria benefit from business entry regulations that follow international good practices regarding registration requirements, simplified registration processes, and regulatory restrictions.
- Public services for business registration facilitate the incorporation and start of operation processes through online tools and exchange of data between relevant agencies.
- Business founders can register a new Limited Liability Company (LLC) using standard registration forms and can do it through online platforms
 or in person. During the same process, new companies are registered with the tax authority and social security; and since 2019, voluntary
 registration for VAT can also be done in the same step.
- The availability of online services, in combination with fees that are only half of those for in-person registration, have contributed to most of new LLCs being registered online across the six cities in Bulgaria. In 2023, the city with the lowest share of LLCs registered online was Pleven with 55%, while in three cities—Burgas, Plovdiv, and Sofia—it reached over 84%.



Why is business entry important?

- A business environment that facilitates the formalization of businesses is key to the creation of jobs and stronger economic growth.³⁶ Regulatory entry restrictions can create obstacles to developing a business and hinder the potential of new firms.
- Regulations that encourage transparency of information on businesses and beneficial owners help safeguard the integrity and reputation of the business sector by making it unattractive for firms with illicit purposes.³⁷
- Simple registration processes, together with the use of online tools and low incorporation costs, encourage entrepreneurs to enter the economy.³⁸

36 Rand and Torm, 2012; Medvedev and Oviedo Silva, 2015; La Porta and Shleifer, 2014.
37 UNCITRAL, 2019; OECD and IDB, 2021; World Bank, 2020.
38 Klapper, Lewin, and Quesada Delgado, 2011.

What does the Business Entry topic measure?



- registration for new firms
- A risk-based approach for business licensing
- Regulatory restrictions for the entry of new firms



Pillar II: Public Services

Digital public services and transparency of information for business entry

- Availability of digital services for business registration, storage of company information, and identity verification
- Interoperability of services between agencies involved in business registration
- Transparency of online information regarding business registration



Pillar III: Operational Efficiency

Operational efficiency of business entry

- Time to complete the registration of a new firm
- Cost to complete the registration of a new firm

For more information, please refer to the Business Ready Methodology Handbook: https://www.worldbank.org/en/businessready



Recent reforms and changes in business entry

- Voluntary VAT registration became available in January 2019. Companies can choose to register for VAT at the time of filing for incorporation with the Registry Agency before reaching the threshold for mandatory registration. The tax authority, the National Revenue Agency (NRA), conducts preapproval risk analysis of the application and the registration is issued electronically after all requirements are fulfilled. New companies can complete this process in eight days in contrast to the 10 or 12 days, depending on the city, that entrepreneurs had to wait back in 2017.
- Registration of beneficial owners became mandatory in 2019. In 2018, the introduction of Art. 65a in the Commerce Act mandated legal entities to provide accurate information regarding their beneficial owners, and the Measures Against Money Laundering Act stipulated that such data should be registered in the Commercial Register and the BULSTAT Register, both managed by the Registry Agency. In July 2023, additional changes were voted for by the government in the Measures Against Money Laundering Act, such as extra measures to be taken to identify beneficial owners as well as new mechanisms to solve inconsistencies in the ownership data collected.



Relevant laws and regulations in Bulgaria

- Act on the Commercial Register and Register of Non-Profit Legal Entities: regulates the registration, keeping, storage and access to the Commercial Register and Register of Non-Profit Legal Entities, as well as any entries, deletions, and announcements.
- Ordinance No. 1 from February 14, 2007 on keeping, storing, and accessing the Commercial Register and Register of Non-Profit Legal Entities: contains detailed information about the necessary documents for each application for initial registration, entry, and deletion of circumstances and for the announcement of acts by type of company.
- **Commerce Act:** governs all business activities and defines the legal entities allowed to perform economic activities.
- Measures Against Money Laundering Act: covers preventive measures and reporting obligations regarding money laundering, the operation of the beneficial ownership database, and the functions of the State Agency for National Security, the Financial Intelligence Directorate.



Public institutions and services for business entry

- The Registry Agency (RA), under the Ministry of Justice, manages the Commercial Register and Register of Non-Profit Legal Entities and establishes the procedures for new company registration. In addition, the RA exchanges information with the National Revenue Agency (NRA) for all registered businesses.
- The Commercial Register and Register of Non-Profit Legal Entities is an electronic database of the RA that contains information on all companies registered in Bulgaria including their names, year of establishment, the nationality and country of residence of the beneficial owners, and the extent of the beneficial interest held.
- **BULSTAT** is a centralized national administrative register, managed by the **RA**, that contains uniform identification of all entities that are subject to registration in the register. It also serves as the main register for statistical purposes.
- United Portal for Request of Electronic Administrative Services is the online platform of the RA where entrepreneurs can find information and submit all documents related to company registration.

Pillar I: Quality of Regulations for Business Entry (1/2)



 (\circ)

Bulgaria score **95** out of (all cities): 100 points

Bulgaria performs on par with good international practices in the regulatory requirements on information and procedural standards for business entry.

Information and procedural standards for business entry



Aspects regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices 🗙 Aspects not regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices

Pillar I: Quality of Regulations for Business Entry (2/2)



Bulgaria score **95** out of (all cities): **95**

Bulgaria follows good international practices in restrictions for business entry. However, regulations set a paid-in minimum capital requirement for new entrepreneurs.

Restrictions on registering a business

22.5/25

 $\left(O \right)$

Restrictions for domestic firms

Regulation does not establish <u>general</u> restrictions to set up a business for domestic entrepreneurs, including:

- ✓ Minimum education or training of business founders
- ✓ Providing criminal history records of business founders
- ✓ Approval of business plan
- ✓ Obtaining a general operating license
- ✓ Restrictions for specific socio-demographic groups
- ✓ General ownership restrictions in economic sectors

Restrictions in place:

 \times The law mandates a minimum capital amount to incorporate a new LLC

22.5/25

Restrictions for foreign firms

Regulation does not establish <u>general</u> restrictions to set up a business for foreign entrepreneurs, including:

- ✓ Limitations on ownership of firms and participation in joint ventures
- ✓ Screening and approval of investment by a government entity
- ✓ Restrictions on the nationality of key personnel
- ✓ Restrictions on the employment of foreign and local personnel
- ✓ Obligation to have a local partner or local suppliers
- ✓ Limitations on dividend distribution or setting up a bank account
- ✓ General ownership restrictions in economic sectors

Restrictions related to:

× The law mandates a minimum capital amount to incorporate a new LLC (the same as for domestic entrepreneurs)

Aspects regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices × Aspects not regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices

Pillar II: Digital Public Services and Transparency of Information for Business Entry (1/2)

0

Bulgaria score **84** out of (all cities): 100 points

Public infrastructure for business entry in Bulgaria provides electronic services to access company records and facilitate the registration process. The registry is also linked to other public agencies to facilitate the start of operations of new businesses.



Online business registration in Bulgaria

In 2023, 83% of new LLCs in Bulgaria were registered online. Uptake of this option has increased since it became available in 2009. However, differences remain among the six cities assessed as the use of electronic signature is more limited in some of them. Just over half of entrepreneurs in Pleven chose online registration compared to 87% in Plovdiv.

Percentage of new LLCs registered online



Aspects in line with internationally recognized good practices × Aspects in line with internationally recognized good practices

Pillar II: Digital Public Services and Transparency of Information for Business Entry (2/2)



 (\circ)

 \mathbf{r}

Bulgaria score **84** out of (all cities): 100 points

Bulgaria provides online access to information on the process to set up a business as well as information on registered businesses. Statistics on newly registered companies are also available.



Aspects in line with internationally recognized good practices
Aspects not in line with internationally recognized good practices



Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Business Entry



Entrepreneurs can register a new LLC in the six Bulgarian cities in as fast as 12 days at a cost of 0.6% of income per capita.

	- /	
	× / -	
Ν.		2

Bulgaria follows **good practices** that result in an efficient process of company registration and start of operations:

- Entrepreneurs can search online in the United Portal for Request of Electronic Administrative Services to gain access to company information and facilitate name checks.
- The involvement of third parties (notaries and lawyers) is optional as business founders can use standard registration forms online. (Note: notary services are used to verify signatures.)
- Company registration of a new LLC with the Registry Agency includes registration with the tax authority and social security, and registration of beneficial owners. Companies can also choose voluntary registration for VAT at this moment.
- Art.19 (3) from the Act on the Commercial Register and Register of Non-Profit Legal Entities sets a statutory time limit (by the end of next business day) to complete initial registration requests. This time limit is applied in practice.





Areas of improvement for Business Entry



Eliminate the start-up capital requirement for limited liability companies

Although Bulgaria has set a low paid-in minimum capital requirement of BGN 2 for establishing a new LLC, one of the steps that is still required to register a company is to open an escrow account, deposit the capital, and then obtain a bank deposit certificate that needs to be presented to the Registry Agency in order to fulfill the requirements for company incorporation. This extra step could be eliminated by requiring the companies that wish to incorporate to provide a declaration of their minimum capital instead.

Several European Union Member States, including Belgium, Finland, Ireland, and the Netherlands, as well as other countries around the world, have eliminated minimum capital requirements. While this requirement has historically had the objective of protecting creditors and promoting confidence in the financial markets, research shows that, in practice, it provides little protection to creditors and investors during insolvency.³⁹

Relevant stakeholders: Ministry of Justice; Registry Agency



Conduct risk analysis post-registration for voluntary VAT registration

In 2019, Bulgaria introduced the option of voluntary VAT registration at the time of incorporation for newly established companies. This is a step forward to simplifying and making the process faster. Voluntary VAT registration is declared by checking a box on the application for new company incorporation with the Registry Agency. According to data from the National Revenue Agency (NRA), up to 19% of newly registered companies selected voluntary VAT registration in 2023. Once the company registration application is submitted to the Registry Agency (with voluntary VAT registration selected), the information is transferred to the NRA, which performs checks to assess the accuracy of the information. Despite not requiring a separate submission for VAT, according to experts, it still takes eight days for the NRA to issue the approval. Nevertheless, this is an improvement from the 10 or 12 days, depending on the city, that VAT registration used to take back in 2017. Experts note that usually additional time is spent in interactions with the authorities as extra documentation is requested. In addition, entrepreneurs need to be careful to provide an email for communication when filing the application for them to be contacted by the NRA, as the lack of such information is another common cause for delays.

Bulgaria can consider streamlining the risk-screening process to simplify and shorten the time for VAT registration. For example, in Romania, voluntary VAT registration happens immediately, and the authorities conduct their risk analysis post-registration. In case of issues with the application and the information submitted, the authorities can revoke the registration.

Relevant stakeholders: Ministry of Justice; Registry Agency; National Revenue Agency

Subnational Business Ready in the European Union 2024: BULGARIA



3. Business Location in Detail





*Bulgaria's 2021 GNI per capita is BGN 18,523

Main findings

- Bulgaria stands out on the quality of regulations for urban planning (Pillar I). Technical building regulations are issued at the national level and thus uniform throughout the whole country.
- The building permitting process in Bulgaria is complex and involves many actors. Introducing an electronic building-permitting system could facilitate building permit applications and streamline the internal review process. There is also room for improvement on the interoperability of services by integrating spatial data platforms between the permit-issuing agency and other relevant stakeholder agencies (Pillar II).
- The time to obtain building permits varies slightly across the six Bulgarian cities, ranging from 92 in Plovdiv to 103 days in Sofia. Municipal permits (project visa and building permit) drive the differences in waiting times across cities.
- There is a significant variation in cost between the cities benchmarked, mainly driven by municipal building permit fees.





Source: Subnational Business Ready *Scale from 0 to 100 (higher = better)



Why is building permitting important?

- A sound and robust environmental framework for construction projects plays a vital role in protecting the public from faulty building practices and incorporating sustainability in construction by identifying and addressing potential environmental impacts beforehand.⁴⁰
- Adopting good regulatory practices for building standards enhances safety mechanisms and green building practices while reducing opportunities for corruption.
- Transparency of information for building permits minimizes information gaps between public service providers and users, fostering accountability through easy access to regulations, fees, and payment tracking.

What does the Building Permitting topic measure?



For more information, please refer to the Business Ready Methodology Handbook: https://www.worldbank.org/en/businessready

40 World Bank, 2024.



Relevant legislation and main stakeholders

Bulgaria does not have regional or local technical building regulations. The construction permitting process is regulated at the national level, mainly by the Law of Spatial Planning, but is implemented at municipal level.



Relevant laws and regulations in Bulgaria

- Law of Spatial Planning: provides information connected with the structure of the territory, investment, designing, and construction in Bulgaria, and determines the restrictions of ownership for development purposes.
- Ordinance on Dangerous Chemical Substances and Preparations: defines dangerous chemical substances and
 preparations, the trade and use of which are prohibited (for example, asbestos) or restricted for the purpose of health
 or protection of people and the environment. In Bulgaria, the construction management company is responsible for
 checking that construction materials comply with this ordinance.
- Energy Efficiency Act: promotes the implementation of the state policy for increasing efficient energy consumption and the provision of energy services. In particular, it covers the requirements for energy efficiency in the construction of a building.



Public institutions and services for building permitting

- Local Municipalities: approving bodies are typically, but not limited to: Chief Architect, Urban Planning Department, Building Department, and Office of Local Taxes and Fees.
- Directorate for National Construction Supervision (DNSK): manages compliance with the Law of Spatial Planning and related normative acts and their application in the design and construction of buildings. It inspects the use of building materials and products to ensure security, safety, accessibility, and other legal requirements for all buildings.
- **Regional Inspectorate of Environment and Water (RIEW):** must sign off on a building permit before the project begins construction.

Pillar I: Quality of Regulations for Building Permitting

Bulgaria score **98.9** out of (all cities): 100 points

Regulatory standards related to building permitting



Aspects regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices × Aspects not regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices

Pillar II: Quality of Public Services and Transparency of Information for Building Permitting (1/2)



Availability and reliability of digital services

score:

Bulgaria **33.3** tO

5 cities

- × No online platform for issuing building authorizations
- × No online permitting systems with several functionalities
 - × No online payment
 - × No online communication
 - × No online notification
 - × No online submission
 - \times No auto-generated checklist
- × No online permitting systems to submit building and occupancy permits
- × No online filing of disputes on building permits



out of 100 points

Sofia

Transparency of information

- Public accessibility of planning and building control regulations
- ✓ Public online availability of requirements to obtain all types of building-related permits
 - ✓ List of documents to obtain a building permit
 - ✓ List of documents to obtain an occupancy permit
 - × No list of preapprovals required from specialized agencies
- ✓ Up-to-date fee schedules for obtaining all types of construction permits available online
- ✓ Public availability of official, updated online statistics tracking the number of issued building permits
- ✓ Availability of updated city master plan/zoning plan
- ✓ Clear, defined steps to modify zoning/land use plan
- × No online verification of adherence to zoning regulations by developer

Aspects in line with internationally recognized good practices X Aspects not in line with internationally recognized good practices

Pillar II: Quality of Public Services and Transparency of Information for Building Permitting (2/2)







3.3 out of 100 points



Sofia:

 Availability of spatial plans and zoning requirements in the form of a Geographic Information System (GIS) or other spatial data platforms to all stakeholders

All other cities:

- × No availability of spatial plans and zoning requirements in the form of a GIS or other spatial data platforms to all stakeholders
- × No integration of GIS or national spatial platforms



- Developers in Bulgaria still need to obtain a project visa, equivalent to a permit, to proceed with design plans. This is issued by the Municipality and signed by the Chief Architect of the district in which the construction is planned. In some other European Union Member States measured in our study, builders do not need to obtain an urban planning approval before applying for a building permit. They can verify online aspects related to the use and location of the constructions.
- Developers do not have easy access to a comprehensive list of preapprovals required for permit applications aside from the regulatory stipulations that are sometimes too generic and not user friendly. Public online availability of these stipulations would make the process more transparent and predictable.

Aspects in line with internationally recognized good practices × Aspects not in line with internationally recognized good practices



Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Building Permitting (1/5)



Pillar III: **Operational** Efficiency

Score: 95 to 96.5/100 Sofía Plovdiv, Varna

- Bulgaria does not have regional or local technical building regulations. The construction permitting process is regulated at the national level, mainly by the Law of Spatial Planning, but implemented at the municipal level.
- Depending on their significance, complexity, and operating risk, constructions are divided into six categories. Constructing an 1,800 m² office building, like the one considered for this study, would fall under category IV. Builders must obtain a number of documents and approvals before applying for a building permit and an occupancy permit.
- Licensed private experts or companies are involved and required at both the design and construction supervision stage.

How does building permitting work in Bulgaria

BEFORE CONSTRUCTION – Obtaining a building permit

- Obtain current cadastral extract from cadaster
- Obtain project visa from municipality
- Hire a team of specialists (including topographical surveyor)
- Hire a team of specialists (including geotechnical engineer)
- Obtain project plan approval and initial contract from water and electricity utilities
- Receive approval from Regional Inspectorate of Environment and Water
- Receive Energy-Efficiency Rating



national agencies.

Source: Subnational Business Ready

- Hire licensed supervision company to evaluate project
- Obtain investment project approval and receive building permit from municipality

National government

Local government





Note: The steps shown are common to all cities benchmarked. Procedures administered by national agencies are in some cases completed (or performed) at local branches of these

Licensed company/expert

AFTER CONSTRUCTION – Obtaining an occupancy permit

Obtain contracts from utility providers

Obtain geodetic measurement from a licensed company

Map the building in the cadastral map

Receive Energy-Efficiency Rating

Register technical passport with municipality

Submit final report and obtain certificate of approval of use from municipality

Utility



Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Building Permitting (2/5)

The time to obtain a building permit ranges from 92 to 103 days

- The building permitting process is fastest in Plovdiv, where it takes 92 days and slowest in Sofia, at 103 days.
- Time variation across locations stem from municipal processes, such as obtaining the project visa and the building permit, approval from the Regional Inspectorate of Environment and Water, and approval from water and electricity utilities.
- Obtaining project approval from electricity providers and the environmental agency and obtaining the building permit from the municipality take up the most time.
- Building regulations in Bulgaria establish a legal time frame within which the municipality must approve an investment project (14 days). After the building permit has been issued, there is a period for appeal, which is 14 days after it has been announced to the interested parties and the Directorate for National Construction Supervision (DNSK). For an additional fee (of BGN 20), developers in all cities can obtain the current cadastral extract in three rather than the usual seven days. However, these fast-track services are not commonly used by architects or construction professionals.

The building permitting process is fastest in Plovdiv, while other cities have slightly longer times



*Approvals are received from electricity provider, water provider, and Regional Inspectorate



Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Building Permitting (3/5)

The cost to obtain a building permit ranges from BGN 6,017 to BGN 26,065 (or 32 to 141% of income per capita)

- The average cost of obtaining building permits in Bulgaria is the equivalent of 76% of income per capita, ranging from 32% in Pleven to 141% in Sofia.
- Differences among cities stem largely from municipal building permit fees, which make up the bulk of the cost. Municipal building permit fees are determined at the local level based on floor area and building use. Entrepreneurs constructing an office building, like the one considered for this study, can expect to pay anywhere from BGN 1,440 in Pleven to BGN 26,065 in Sofia.
- An innovative and good practice in Plovdiv is the discount the municipality offers for a building permit when the developer uses green building standards.



*Private professional fees include fees for: topographical surveyor, geotechnical investigator, energy efficiency rating, and review of documents by construction supervision company

The building permit fee accounts for over two thirds of the average cost to obtain a building permit



Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Building Permitting (4/5)

The time to obtain an occupancy permit ranges from 64 to 75 days

- Among the six Bulgarian cities, undergoing final approvals is fastest in Pleven, taking 64 days, compared with 75 in Sofia, where it is the slowest.
- Variations are due to municipal processing times, as well as the time to receive approval for registering a technical passport and receiving a use certificate.
- As stipulated in the Law of Spatial Planning, a technical passport for the construction should be prepared by the licensed construction supervision company after the completion of construction. Inspections should also be recorded in the passport. This document defines all terms for the performance of any major or current repairs and contains data on all certificates issued (those required by construction legislation). The technical passport is reviewed and approved by the municipality.
- Final inspection is mandatory for every construction project across Bulgarian cities and an occupancy permit cannot be issued without an inspection.





*Private and utility approvals include: water approval, electric approval, obtaining geodetic measurements and energy efficiency rating


Building Permitting in Bulgaria

Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Building Permitting (5/5)

The cost to obtain an occupancy permit ranges from BGN 2,317 to BGN 4,330 (or 12 to 22% of income per capita)

- Fees for professional services make up most of the cost to obtain a use certificate in all cities except for Burgas, in which they are equal to the price of the use certificate.
- In Bulgaria, developers hire a supervision company, which is legally responsible for supervising construction, adding an average of BGN 2,000 to the cost.
- The variation in cost to obtain a use certificate among cities is mainly driven by the use certificate fee, which is determined at the local level, ranging from BGN 180 in Pleven to BGN 2,000 in Burgas.



Obtaining a use certificate costs almost twice as much in Burgas as it does in Pleven

Private fees * 🛛 Mapping of building in the cadastral map 🖉 Contracts from utility providers 🛸 Final report submission and obtain use certificate

Source: Subnational Business Ready

Note: BGN = Bulgarian lev

*Private professional fees include fees for: topographical surveyor, geotechnical investigator, energy efficiency rating, and review of documents by construction supervision company



Building Permitting in Bulgaria

Areas of improvement for Building Permitting (1/2)



Review the cost structure for building permits

In Bulgaria building permit fees are established at the local level by municipalities and depend, among other factors, on the size of the building, and vary significantly across the benchmarked cities. Bulgaria could explore ways to simplify and standardize these fees by setting their value on recovering administrative costs. A common good practice is to charge small fixed fees for simple projects that present a negligible risk to public health and safety.⁴¹ These fees should not be so low that they fail to cover costs or so high that they impose an undue burden on small projects.

Authorities in many economies choose to have building permit fees based on recovering costs for the service provided and not necessarily as a means to collect additional revenue. In New Zealand, fees are set at a level to cover the costs associated with the review of plans and any inspections, along with overhead costs. Hungary no longer charges a fee for the building permit. It just charges a fixed fee for each review required. For the case benchmarked in this study, Hungarian cities would require the National Directorate General for Disaster Management to review the documentation for water management and water protection (at a cost of HUF 14,000 (EUR 36), and for fire protection (no cost). For constructions that might have an environmental impact, which is not the case in this study, the Department of Environment, Nature Protection and Waste Management of the Government Office charges an environmental fee for construction (HUF 14,000 or EUR 36).

Relevant stakeholders: Directorate for National Construction Supervision (DNSK); municipalities



Clarify and better communicate the requirements and fees for the building permitting process

In Bulgaria, developers have to go through numerous laws, regulations, and websites to identify the documentation required for a building permit application as well as the construction standards they must follow. Making all such information easily available would improve investment planning and reduce the time needed for document preparation and review. While each agency involved in construction permitting should provide information on its own process and requirements, the responsibility for providing information on the overall process should reside with the permit-issuing authority, i.e. the municipalities.

In addition to the text of the regulations themselves, exhaustive but easy-to-follow guidelines should be provided to cover key steps, the agencies involved, documentation requirements, and the certificates, permits, and approvals required, along with corresponding time frames and fees. These should be easily available in a user-friendly format provided by the municipal administration. Some economies centralize the relevant documents for getting a construction permit on a single website, providing users with targeted and comprehensive information. In Finland, for example, the "Lupapiste" platform (https://www.lupapiste.fi) provides detailed information on requirements and the process surrounding permit applications. The Hungarian "e-epites" platform (https://www.e-epites.hu/) has a similar function, allowing developers to review the requirements and legislation governing different aspects of construction permitting and providing statistical data on requested and issued permits.

Relevant stakeholders: Directorate for National Construction Supervision (DNSK); municipalities

41 Moullier and Krimgold, 2015.



Building Permitting in Bulgaria

Areas of improvement for Building Permitting (2/2)

Improve coordination between agencies by digitalizing the building permitting process

In recent years, the use of ICT solutions has increased in Bulgaria. For example, the Agency for Geodesy, Cartography and the Cadaster has grown its digital database and created a digital cadastral portal; the KAIS portal (<u>https://kais.cadastre.bg/en/Account/LogIn</u>). This portal allows for electronic payment and information requests as well as free and real-time access to the cadastral database. Currently, this online portal does not integrate information from other portals on zoning requirements or capacity and availability of utility connections. There is room for improvement on the integration of a GIS or other spatial data platforms among stakeholder agencies (i.e., the cadaster, land registries, municipal departments, utility service providers). This integration would enable builders and developers to find all the information they need for building permit applications online. It would also eliminate the need for a number of preapprovals.

So far, applications for building permits need to be submitted in person in all cities. Bulgaria could start with an electronic platform at the local or national level, providing a basic computerized workflow across key agencies, gradually integrating more services into the permitting process.

Electronic permitting systems are becoming increasingly common in Europe, and the European Commission has defined electronic application for building permission as a primary e-government service. Bulgaria could look at the example of cities and countries that have already put in place fully computerized building permitting systems. Developers in Estonia, for example, can complete their building permit applications online at: http://www.ehr.ee/. Croatia has set up a digital system (e-Permit system) for submitting applications for building and use permits for construction works (https://dozvola.mgipu.hr/naslovna). In Portugal, the city of Porto has a fully functional electronic application system, equipped with tracking and status report tools. In Padua (Italy), the platform Padovanet (https://www.padovanet.it/servizi-online) allows the submission of all documentation at once. All relevant departments, both within and outside the municipality, are connected to the same platform. The platform also allows private professionals to track the status of their applications, including Regulatory Support Electronic Documentation System (ÉTDR), uploading all the technical and architectural plans. The building department then asks other authorities to review and approve the plans through the system. Companies can also use the system to request an occupancy permit. Hungary also introduced an e-construction log system. Every construction project must be registered through this system by the construction company, which is required to update the log daily with the type of work completed at the site, the number of people who worked, and the latest certificates on waste removal. Once construction is completed, the company closes the log and uploads the relevant documents. This serves as notification to the building department of the completion of construction.

Relevant stakeholders: Directorate for National Construction Supervision (DNSK); municipalities



Main findings

- Good regulatory practices (Pillar I) and the availability of online public services and transparency of information for entrepreneurs (Pillar II) related to environmental clearances in construction are consistent across the six benchmarked cities in Bulgaria. Similarly, there are no subnational differences in the cost (Pillar III). Entrepreneurs pay a national fixed fee to conduct the needed assessment.
- Bulgaria shows subnational variation in the time to complete the steps of an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) clearance process (Pillar III). Entrepreneurs spend twice as long in Sofia (90 days) to obtain an EIA clearance as in Pleven (44 days).
- Environmental permitting in Bulgaria can be improved through enhanced regulatory standards, introducing out-of-court resolution mechanisms for settling disputes, and developing an online platform for submitting applications to the Regional Inspectorate of Environment and Water.





Source: Subnational Business Ready *Scale from 0 to 100 (higher = better)



Why is environmental permitting important?

- Choosing the right location is pivotal in determining the success of businesses even in the digital age. In addition to access to customers, labor, and transportation, the physical space of a business also determines the tax, regulatory, and environmental obligations firms face.⁴²
- Clear and accessible environmental regulations can address concerns without burdening firms with unnecessary compliance.
- A sound and robust environmental framework for construction projects plays a vital role in sustainable construction by identifying and addressing potential environmental impacts beforehand.
- Good regulatory practices and transparency of information for environmental permits enhance safety mechanisms and the green building industry, minimize information gaps, and foster accountability.

What does the Environmental Permitting topic measure?



For more information, please refer to the Business Ready Methodology Handbook: https://www.worldbank.org/en/businessready

Pillar I: Quality of Regulations for Environmental Permitting



47.5/50

Environmental permits for construction

- ✓ Existence of national environmental regulations during construction
- Updates or revisions of national regulations to reflect recent environmental and technological innovations in construction
- ✓ Penalties or fines in place for non-compliance with the regulations
- ✓ Clearly defined environmental risks in the legal framework
- Legal requirement to use qualified professionals/agencies to conduct Environmental Impact Assessments (EIA)
- ✓ Specific criteria to trigger an EIA stipulated in the legal framework
- ✓ Mandatory requirements for an EIA process included in the legal framework
- ✓ Public consultations with concerned stakeholders mandated by law
- ✓ Independent external review for EIA compliance provided in the legislation
- × No activities and approaches that facilitate the contribution of interested parties to the decision-making process

25/50

Dispute mechanisms for constructionrelated environmental permits

- Ability to dispute environmental clearances and permits with the permit-issuing authority
- × No out-of-court resolution mechanisms for environmental disputes



Environmental Protection Act

What to improve: Bulgaria can enhance its good regulatory practices by adding the following standards to conduct environmental impact assessments effectively: (i) out-of-court resolution mechanisms for disputing environmental permitting decisions with the permit-issuing authority, (ii) activities and approaches that facilitate the contribution of interested parties to the decision-making process (such as surveys and polls to capture inputs and feedback from concerned stakeholders, training, resources, and technical assistance to project-affected parties).



Aspects regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices × Aspects not regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices

Pillar II: Quality of Public Services and Transparency of Information for Environmental Permitting



0/50

Availability and reliability of digital services

- × No online environmental permitting systems with several functionalities:
 - × No online payment
 - × No online communication
 - × No online notification
 - × No online submission
 - × No auto-generated checklist to assist applicants in ensuring complete and accurate submissions
- × No online filing of disputes on environmental licensing

50/50

Transparency of information

- Requirements to obtain environmental licensing for constructing a building with a moderate environmental risk are available online
- Up-to-date fee schedule for obtaining environmental clearances is available online
- Publicly available official and updated online statistics tracking the number of EIAs

What to improve: Entrepreneurs in Bulgaria still submit the documentation required for an EIA on paper or by email. Bulgaria is in a transitional period, moving towards online submissions. The Ministry of Environment and Water could consider digitalizing the environmental permitting process and providing an option to dispute environmental clearances and permits with the permit-issuing authority online.

Aspects in line with internationally recognized good practices × Aspects not in line with internationally recognized good practices



Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Environmental Permitting (1/2)

out of

100 points

How does the environmental clearance process work in Bulgaria

Bulgaria

score:

99.5

The environmental clearance process can involve up to three phases. Every project completes the first phase and can be cleared at any time after that depending on the assessment of the Regional Inspectorate of Environment and Water (RIEW). A project to construct a residential building, as described by the *Subnational Business Ready* report,* would need to complete the first two phases in all six benchmarked cities in Bulgaria. Typically, for this type of project, a full EIA would not be mandated.

*According to the Environmental Protection, Act, this project falls under Article 93 and thus there is a need to assess if an EIA should be carried out. For more information please visit: <u>https://www.moew.government.bg/bg/zakon-za-opazvanena-okolnata-sreda-6671/</u>

	The environmental clearance process			
PHASE I: Obtain an opinion on the need for an EIA		PHASE II: Obtain a decision on the need for an EIA		PHASE III: Conduct a full EIA
Entrepreneurs can download the necessary forms from the website of the local Regional Inspectorate of Environment and Water (RIEW) and submit the completed application, including details of the proposed project, to the RIEW by paper or email. The entrepreneur also notifies the affected population about the project through his/her website or mass media. The Inspectorate has 14 days to review and either approve the project or request more information. This review is free.		The RIEW submits the project for approval to the Water Directorate and the Regional Health Inspectorate. During this phase, the information is also consistently available to the public. The Inspectorate reviews the application and determines if a full EIA needs to be conducted. Every decision is published on the websites of the RIEW and of the Ministry of Environment and Water. The owner must pay BGN 500 for this review.		The entrepreneur must hire an approved third-party consultant to conduct a full EIA, which is then reviewed and approved or denied by the RIEW. Consultant fees depend on private negotiations.

Source: Subnational Business Ready Note: All three phases are performed with the Regional Inspectorate of Environment and Water.



Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Environmental Permitting (2/2)



Time (days): 44 (Pleven) to **90** (Sofia)

Cost (all cities): **2.7%** of income per capita or BGN 500

- With just 44 days, Pleven has the fastest environmental clearance process. The same process takes 90 days in Sofia.
- Across the country, delays in phase II are partially attributed to the turnaround time of the feedback from the Water Directorate and the Regional Health Inspectorate.
- Entrepreneurs across the country pay a fixed fee of BGN 500 for assessing the need to carry out an EIA. Payment must be made by bank transfer. In the case of simple projects, entrepreneurs usually do not hire a certified environmental expert to support them in phases I and II of the application.



*Includes the time for public consultation – newspaper ad/website announcement



Areas of improvement for Environmental Permitting (1/2)

Develop and deploy an integrated online environmental permitting platform

Bulgaria is initiating a digital transformation process in 2024. It is recommended that a comprehensive online platform be developed and deployed to modernize and streamline the environmental permitting process in the country. This digital system should be designed to replace the current paper or email-based application methods and wire transfer payments, thus introducing efficiencies in the permit processing. Key functionalities of the proposed online platform should include:

- Secure online gateways for payments of related fees
- Interactive communication between applicants and the permitting authority
- Automated notifications of application status changes and requirements
- Online portal for application and upload of supporting documents
- An auto-generated checklist to assist applicants in ensuring complete and accurate submissions
- An online filing system to address disputes related to environmental licensing efficiently

Implementing such a platform would not only elevate Bulgaria's score on Pillar II (Digital Public Services and Transparency of Information) but would also significantly improve the environmental permitting process by enhancing accessibility, transparency, and stakeholder engagement. This transition aligns with international best practices and supports sustainable development goals by reducing administrative burdens and fostering a proactive environmental governance framework. In Portugal, entrepreneurs have access to a fully-integrated online platform SILiAmb, which is managed by the Portuguese Environment Agency, and provides a comprehensive suite of functionalities that streamline the permitting process. Another example comes from Hungary: Magyarorszag.hu is a broader platform that enables the interaction between citizens or companies and various government agencies. While it offers a wide range of services, it currently lacks integrated online payment options and does not include an auto-generated checklist—both critical components for comprehensive environmental permitting systems as recommended by the B-READY methodology.

Relevant stakeholders: Ministry of Environment and Water; Regional Inspectorate of Environment and Water



Areas of improvement for Environmental Permitting (2/2)



Further streamline communication between authorizing agencies

During phase II and phase III of the approval process for obtaining an environmental permit, the Regional Inspectorate of Environment and Water must obtain approvals from the Water Directorate and the Health Inspectorate. During this time, they also communicate with the municipality to post the relevant information on their websites to alert the affected populations of new projects. Although digital communication between agencies is becoming more common, further integration between them could streamline the process and allow more visibility to all parties involved regarding the outstanding approvals and timeline of the approval of the project.

Relevant stakeholders: Ministry of Environment and Water; Regional Inspectorate of Environment and Water

Fully adopt a risk-based approach to environmental approvals

Bulgaria's Law on Environmental Protection (appendixes 1 and 2) clearly defines the types of projects that require an environmental impact assessment (EIA). A simpler project, such as an office building of 1800 m², does not require one. But like all building projects, regardless of size or complexity, it would still have to get official confirmation that an EIA is not required from the Regional Inspectorate of Environment and Water. The Regional Inspectorate checks the location of the project to ensure that it is not in a protected area. These criteria could be shared with the permitting authorities, which could refer applicants to the Regional Inspectorate in cases where the land plot is near or adjacent to a protected area and requires an EIA. To eliminate location checks, more accurate GIS-based maps could be developed for municipalities to consult when reviewing an environmental permit application.

Simpler projects should not need to undergo an environmental approval process. Defining risk-based categories becomes ineffective and redundant if all projects must obtain an environmental decision. Many European Union Member States have adopted a risk-based environmental approval process. Belgium and Portugal do not require an environmental impact report for simple buildings. In Denmark, applicants submit an assessment of the project's overall impact on the environment (including a situational plan and sectional drawings) as part of the documentation for the building permit. No separate environmental approval is required.

Streamlining environmental assessments can reduce duplication and accelerate decision-making, as long as it does not compromise the quality of the EIA review process.

Relevant stakeholders: Ministry of Environment and Water; Regional Inspectorate of Environment and Water



Main findings

- Bulgaria features many regulatory good practices in land administration (Pillar I). These good practices include regulations related to proper verifications of documents, verification of identities of transacting parties, a mandate to register transfers, as well as legal clarity as to who has access to information.
- Regarding public services for immovable property (Pillar II), Bulgaria developed an electronic platform enabling due diligence checks as well as a Geographic Information System (GIS) platform. The country also displays a high level of transparency by publishing fee schedules, property transaction-related service standards and statistics. However, the country lacks an electronic platform to register property transfers, not all property titles are digitalized, and cadaster and land registry databases are not interoperable.
- The main steps for registering a property transfer are the same throughout the country with slight variations in time and cost (Pillar III). The main driver of variation in cost is the property transfer tax levied at the municipal level.



Source: Subnational Business Ready *Scale from 0 to 100 (higher = better)



Why is property transfer important?

- Secure property rights encourage investment, promoting a safe commitment to immovable property.⁴³
- Looking at how well property rights are managed provides a good indication of how the economy is likely to grow.⁴⁴
- Effective land administration reduces information asymmetry, enhances market efficiency, and ensures transparency of property ownership.
- Promoting good governance in the land administration system encourages publicly accessible laws on ownership and leasing, secure land tenure, and safeguards and service standards to avoid the risk of land disputes and corruption.
- Integration of land registry with the cadastral system facilitates reliable and up-to-date land use records and is of vital importance for land management.

43 De Soto, 2000. Johnson, McMillan, and Woodruff, 2002. 44. Field, 2007; Green and Moser, 2013.

What does the Property Transfer topic measure?



For more information, please refer to the Business Ready Methodology Handbook: https://www.worldbank.org/en/businessready



Reforms and changes in the property registration process since 2017

Key agencies are going digital

Registry Agency (RA). Since 2020, anyone can log into the registry's United Portal to Request Electronic Administrative Services or to query the Registry's database. A year later, obtaining a non-encumbrance certificate electronically was also enabled. This can be done by registered users in possession of an electronic signature. The portal also allows electronic payment for these services. This reduces the burden on the Registry staff who are now processing manually only the registration of deeds, while other services such as obtaining requests for certificates or information are mainly conducted online.

Commercial Register (under RA). Notaries can now instantly obtain online and free of charge, the parties' certificate of good standing from the Commercial Register. In the past, this has to be done in person, taking more than a week.

Cadaster. In February 2017, the KAIS (Cadastral Administrative Information System) Portal for electronic services was launched to the public enabling logged-in users to request and obtain various cadastral documents, such as large-scale topographic maps or viewing maps and registers. The portal can also serve as an address for written objections to the agency. More functionalities were made available over time. Starting in April 2018, users could also apply to obtain a sketch of a property, make inquiries about property boundaries or apply for changes in the cadastral register. In order to boost service uptake, online requests are 30% cheaper than regular prices. Another upgrade of the portal has been announced for early 2024 and is expected to automate some of the cadastral plan generation as well inquiring about the status of a map at any given moment.

National Revenue Agency (NRA). Due to process simplification through digitalization, notaries no longer need to obtain a tax clearance certificate from the NRA, a procedure which used to take seven days and has been replaced by self-certification through affidavits. A requirement similar to the tax clearance is to be reinstated starting March 2024, but will be instantly obtained online from the NRA website.

 $\begin{array}{c}
0110\\
1001
\end{array}$

1010

Taxes increased

The municipalities of Burgas, Plovdiv, and Sofia increased transfer taxes from 2.5 to 3% of the property value. Ruse authorities increased the same tax from 2.2 to 2.7%.*

*The tax increase in Ruse became effective on January 1, 2024 and as this date is after the data collection cut-off date, it is not reflected in the benchmarking.



Service standards improved

In 2020, the Ministry of Finance shortened the legal deadline for municipalities to issue tax assessment certificates from 14 to 5 days.

Relevant legislation and main stakeholders



Relevant laws and regulations in Bulgaria

- Law on Cadaster and Property Register: governs the organization, financing, creation, management, and use of the cadaster and property register.
- Law on Notaries and Notarial Practice: regulates the legal status of the notary and the Chamber of Notaries, the organization of notary activity, and notary fees.
- Law on Obligations and Contracts: defines the legal requirements for contracts to be binding and the processes needed to be upheld.



Public institutions and services for property transfer

- The **Agency of Geodesy, Cartography and Cadaster** under the Ministry of Regional Development and Public Works, performs the cadastral activities, maintains geodetic/survey, cartographic, and cadastral archives to ensure coordination of cadastral activities with other state geodetic/survey and cartographic activities, as well as the activities for the elaboration of specialized maps and registries. The agency has 29 regional offices that run the day-to-day operations and interact with citizens and businesses in delivering public services.
- The Registry Agency (Property Register), under the Ministry of Justice, is responsible for keeping the
 property register and maintaining information on property ownership. The Registry Agency also maintains the
 Business Registry (BULSTAT), Register of Residents (ESGRAON), Register of Administrative Territorial units
 (EKATE), and the Register of Property Relations of Spouses. The agency operates 113 local offices across the
 country grouped in six regional directorates.
- Notaries are the official certifiers of private deeds.



Aspects regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices × Aspects not regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices



✓ Aspects in line with internationally recognized good practices × Aspects not in line with internationally recognized good practices





Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Property Transfer (2/4)



- The gap in the time it takes to transfer a property in Bulgarian cities is narrow, spanning between nine days in Burgas and Sofia and 11 days in Pleven and Varna.
- Across all Bulgarian cities, the notaries conduct the due diligence in less than one week, having obtained the cadastral sketch and non-encumbrance certificate from the seller. Most local Cadaster and the Property Registry offices issue these two documents to the sellers within the legal deadlines of three days for the fast-track procedure and within seven days for the standard procedure (most entrepreneurs choose the fast-track option). The only exception is in Pleven, where private sector experts indicated minor delays in obtaining the sketch from the cadaster office. Obtaining the municipal tax assessment takes one to two days, depending on the municipality.
- Deed drafting and authentication takes one day in all cities, except for Varna, where entrepreneurs reported the process taking two days.
- Property registration takes between three days in Burgas and Sofia and four days in all other cities. Registering the property with the Property Registry takes one day in all cities but Plovdiv, where it takes two days. Having the notary inform the municipality of the sale takes one to two days.





Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Property Transfer (3/4)



Cost: **2.5%** of the property value or BGN 45,575 (Ruse) to **3.3%** of the property value or BGN 60,407 (Sofia)

- The bulk of the property transfer cost consists of the property transfer tax, which accounts for 89% of the total cost in Ruse and 92% of the total cost in Varna. The tax varies by municipality: 2.2% of the property value in Ruse,* 2.85% in Pleven, and 3% in Burgas, Plovdiv, Sofia, and Varna.
- Notary fees constitute the second-most burdensome component of cost. They are
 regulated at national level and calculated based on a sliding fee schedule—
 amounting to BGN 2,883 for the property value benchmarked.
- The registration fee is set nationally at 0.1% of the property value—amounting to BGN 1,852 for the property value benchmarked.
- Other due diligence fees vary between BGN 75 (Pleven) and BGN 104 (Sofia).

The property transfer tax accounts for most of the cost and drives the cost variation



Cost to register a property transfer in Bulgarian cities

Source: Subnational Business Read

*Ruse increased the transfer tax rate from 2.2 to 2.7% as of January 2024. This is not reflected in the data above as the change took place after the data collection cut-off date. Even if the updated cost for Ruse had been applied, Ruse would remain the city with the lowest property transfer tax and overall cost.



Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Property Transfer (4/4)



- Data collected through Enterprise Surveys indicate that the share of firms reporting access to land as an obstacle was highest in the Southeastern region (including Burgas) with 8% and lowest in the Northwestern region (including Pleven), with 3% (see map).
- Across the country, an average of 5% of Bulgarian firms reported access to land as a constraint, the lowest among the six countries benchmarked in the EU.

Percentage of firms that reported access to land as an obstacle (country averages)



Source: World Bank Enterprise Surveys 2023



Areas of improvement for Property Transfer (1/2)

Complete digitalization and automation of processes at the Land Registry and Cadaster

Bulgaria made progress in this regard since 2017. Notaries can now submit requests for registration online, while a few years ago, they had to go in person to the Land Registry office. However, the internal processing at Land Registry is not yet fully automated and human input is still needed in the back-office. Also, the delivery of the registration certificate is still done on paper. Similarly, at Cadaster, although digital versions exist, those documents do not have equal legal force and signed hard copies are still necessary. Full digitalization would increase the efficiency and security of the system while sparing notaries from going every day to collect the documents. In addition, enabling data exchange between Land Registry and Cadaster databases will further increase the efficiency of the process. Interoperability with other key agencies involved in the process, such as Trade Registry and Tax Authority would maximize these benefits.

Several EU countries digitalized their processes, such as Denmark or the Netherlands, where entrepreneurs or their legal representatives access the services online and don't need separate interactions with Land Registry and Cadaster due to either integration or linkage of the databases.

Relevant stakeholders: Registry Agency (Property Register); Agency of Geodesy, Cartography and Cadaster; Ministry of Justice; Ministry of Regional Development and Public Works



Ensure that all private properties are mapped

When coverage of land records and cadastral maps does not extend to 100% of the territory, companies and individuals cannot have legal assurance or certainty regarding the physical or legal rights to data related to properties. In Bulgaria, not all properties are mapped and registered at the cadaster agency. The property mapping coverage is solid across the Bulgarian cities benchmarked in this report but does not extend to the entire territory of the country.

Relevant stakeholders: Agency of Geodesy, Cartography and Cadaster



Areas of improvement for Property Transfer (2/2)



Increase transparency by making all relevant information for property transactions available online, including lists of documents needed to complete property transactions

The Registry Agency publishes on its website the fee schedules and service standards for cadaster and land registration services, but it does not publish a list of documents for conducting property transactions. Having that list would allow increased transparency regarding the information relevant to property transactions. It is important that the information be easily accessible in a user-friendly format. Additionally, such a document list should be regularly reviewed and updated.

Good practices in this area exist within and outside the European Union. For instance, in Lithuania, land registry authorities have published detailed instructions and requirements regarding property transactions on their website. In Norway, authorities have published detailed guidelines on how the transfer process works for each type of transfer and what official forms to use.

Relevant stakeholders: Registry Agency (Property Register); Ministry of Justice



Publish annual statistics on land disputes and gender-disaggregated data on property ownership

Publishing annual statistics on the number and type of transactions completed by land registries can further bolster transparency. Bulgaria publishes statistics on the number of transactions, but it could take a step further by collecting and publishing statistics on land disputes and the time taken to solve them. When land disputes occur, it is important to ensure that they clear the courts quickly so that citizens' resources are not perpetually tied up in the legal system.

To monitor the land dispute resolution system, some countries carefully track land disputes and, at a minimum, publish the number of such disputes that has been presented to the courts. In this regard, Bulgaria could look at Finland or Latvia as examples.

Relevant stakeholders: Registry Agency (Property Register); Ministry of Justice

Subnational Business Ready in the European Union 2024: BULGARIA



in Detail





Main findings

- The electricity regulatory framework in Bulgaria is consistent across the country (Pillar I).
- Variations exist in terms of the quality of public services (Pillar II). While all utilities offer an online platform to place connection requests, only in Burgas and Plovdiv can entrepreneurs complete all connection steps online.
- The process of obtaining electricity connections is standardized and regulated at the national level, although the time and costs differ, depending on the location (Pillar III).
- Obtaining a new connection is fastest in Pleven (229 days) and slowest in Plovdiv (270 days). Connection times vary among cities due to the issuance of local clearances and construction permits.
- The cost for a new electricity connection is highest in Sofia (BGN 12,378 or 66.8% of income per capita) and lowest in Burgas and Plovdiv (BGN 11,516 or 62.2% of income per capita). The higher costs in Sofia are attributed to a slightly higher technical fee on application, in addition to connection fees.
- Electricity outages are more frequent in Ruse and Varna, while customers benefit from a more stable supply in Burgas and Plovdiv.

Overall Electricity Utility Service score per city*



Source: Subnational Business Ready *Scale from 0 to 100 (higher = better)



Why is the electricity utility service important?

- Reliable electricity sustains business operations and serves as a critical factor of production utilized by firms.⁴⁵
- Unreliable electricity supply negatively impacts businesses and constrains their operations, growth, and profitability.
- Guidelines for sustainable transmission and distribution, such as initiatives for deploying smart meters and implementing smart grid technologies, can enhance the effective functioning of network systems, reducing expenses and the ecological footprint.⁴⁶
- Performance standards, accountability mechanisms, and inspections and professional standards can ensure that utility companies provide sufficient and stable electricity.

45 World Bank, 2016.46 OECD, 2015.

What does the Electricity Utility Service topic measure?



For more information, please refer to the Business Ready Methodology Handbook: https://www.worldbank.org/en/businessready



Recent reforms and changes in the provision of electricity services

- The process of obtaining an electricity connection has been simplified by eliminating the requirement for customers to sign a preliminary contract for a new connection with the utility through Ordinance No. 6 of February 24, 2014, regarding the inclusion of electricity producers and customers in the transmission and distribution networks (as published in the State Gazette, issue 76 of September 27, 2019).
- All utilities in Bulgaria have made progress towards digitalization since 2017, introducing online application platforms for new connections. However, some utilities accommodate a fully online application process, while others do not.
- In 2022, amendments to the Energy from Renewable Sources Act were introduced to simplify the construction process for new energy facilities that produce energy from renewable sources.



Relevant laws and regulations in Bulgaria

- **Energy Act and Ordinance No. 6 of February 24, 2014:** stipulate the process of getting a new electrical connection, including the connection of electricity producers and customers in the transmission and distribution networks. The Energy Act also regulates the transactions related to electricity generation, import, export, transmission, distribution, and trade. It also establishes the powers of state bodies in setting energy policy, regulation and control.
- Energy Efficiency Act and Energy from Renewable Sources Act: regulate state policy and the implementation of measures aimed at improving energy efficiency across energy production, transmission, distribution, and consumption, as well as promoting environmental sustainability in energy use.
- **Spatial Development Act:** defines regulations and processes for territorial planning, investment planning, construction activities, and infrastructure deployment.



Public institutions and services for getting electricity

- The **Energy and Water Regulatory Commission (EWRC)** is the regulatory body for the energy sector. The EWRC holds authority in overseeing the energy market, setting tariffs, and ensuring transparency, efficiency, and compliance with legal standards.
- Municipalities play a role in ensuring compliance with urban development plans, issuing
 construction permits, and verifying or obtaining pre-approvals from all utility providers whose
 networks are affected by the construction of a new electricity connection. Other utility providers
 play a role in coordinating and approving the process of infrastructure deployment for new
 electrical connections.
- The **Directorate for National Construction Control (DNCC)** convenes a State Acceptance Commission (SAC), which conducts a site inspection once the electrical connection is complete.



Aspects regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices × Aspects not regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices



Aspects in line with internationally recognized good practices × Aspects not in line with internationally recognized good practices



Bulgaria 63.6 to 71.1

Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Electricity Service Provision (1/5)

out of 100 points

- A 180 kVA connection is typically connected to the low-voltage network in all benchmarked cities.
- The customer submits the request by providing a technical study to the utility. Once all required documents are received and the technical fees are paid, the utility company responds with the terms for the new connection.

score:

- Once the project design is prepared and approved, clearances are obtained from other utilities whose networks may be affected by the new connection, a construction/excavation permit from the municipality is obtained, and the utility completes the external connection works. On completion of the works, government agencies conduct a site inspection and issue a "permit to use" for the newly-built connection.
- Only after the inspection can the customer sign a supply contract with a selected supplier from the market. Finally, the utility has seven days to perform the required "72-hour test," which ensures that the electrical installations are functioning properly, activate the meter, and allow electricity to flow.

How does the process for obtaining a 180 kVA connection work in Bulgaria





Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Electricity Service Provision (2/5)



- The average time for obtaining a new connection in Bulgaria is 251 days, with four cities taking longer than the national average.
- Obtaining an electricity connection is fastest in Pleven, taking 229 days, and slowest in Plovdiv, requiring 270 days.
- The variation in time across locations is mainly due to the issuance of clearances from other utility providers and construction permits from municipalities. The associated time with these steps ranges between 47 days in Pleven to 79 days in Sofia.

Obtaining a new electricity connection takes over a month longer in Plovdiv than in Pleven



- Await completion of external works
- Inspections
- Post-connection processes (inspection; signing the supply contract; meter testing and activation)

Source: Subnational Business Ready



Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Electricity Service Provision (3/5)

- The regulator, EWRC, sets fees at the national level and the maximum amount that utilities can charge for a new connection.
- The total fees comprise: i) a technical study fee; ii) a fixed connection fee for a 180 kVA connection up to 25 meters; and iii) a variable connection fee set at BGN 33.33 per meter of distance from the property to the connection point.
- The most common distance varies in each location, ranging from 125 meters (Burgas, Pleven, and Plovdiv) to 150 meters (Ruse, Sofia, and Varna).
- Connection costs are the lowest in Burgas and Plovdiv due to a lower application fee (BGN 183) and a lower variable connection fee (BGN 3,333).
- The variable connection fee is the highest in Ruse, Sofia, and Varna (BGN 4,166) because the distance to the electricity connection point is greater on average.



The cost to obtain a new connection is higher in Varna, Ruse, and Sofia than in the other measured cities



Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Electricity Service Provision (4/5)

- In 2022, entrepreneurs in Bulgaria experienced 3.4 interruptions on average, each lasting an average of nearly five hours.
- There are notable differences across Bulgarian cities in terms of the frequency and duration of interruptions. Burgas and Plovdiv had the least number of interruptions (2.6), lasting 2.6 hours, on average.
- Customers in Ruse and Varna experienced the highest frequencies of outages, with an average of four interruptions, each lasting nearly 6.5 hours.

Good practices in electricity provisioning in Bulgaria:

- Information on scheduled outages is published on each utility's website. Additionally, utilities provide real-time updates on unplanned interruptions.
- In Ruse and Varna, the utility offers an interactive phone number to dial into. When customers call this number, the system automatically identifies the location and provides information on whether there is an unplanned outage in the area.

Reliability of electricity supply (SAIDI and SAIFI) in 2022



While electricity outages are more frequent in Ruse and Varna, customers enjoy a more stable supply in Burgas and Plovdiv

Source: Subnational Business Ready



Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Electricity Service Provision (5/5)



*NUTS (Nomenclature of territorial units for statistics), <u>https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/nuts/overview</u>

- The share of firms owning a generator is greatest in the Northwestern region (including Pleven) at 24%, while the lowest shares are reported in the Southeastern region (including Burgas), Northeastern region (including Varna), and the Southern Central region (including Plovdiv), ranging between 4% to 6% (see map).
- Countrywide, 10% of surveyed Bulgarian firms reported owning or sharing a generator, which is lower than four out of five other EU Member States benchmarked within the EU.
- Bulgarian firms do not report any losses in their annual sales due to electrical outages.

Percentage of firms that own or share a generator (country averages)



Source: World Bank Enterprise Surveys 2023



Areas of improvement for Electricity Service Provision (1/3)

Strengthen the online application platforms and increase awareness of online services

While each utility has implemented an online platform to streamline connection requests, only in Burgas and Plovdiv can this process be completed fully online. In other cities, clients need to visit the utility company's service center to submit additional documents. In Ruse and Varna, customers must personally visit a service center to obtain a personal identification code for identity verification. Utilities could consider implementing an electronic signature for all online services, as is done in Burgas and Plovdiv. In addition, streamlining the user experience and publicizing the availability of these services would improve efficiency. Furthermore, enabling online tracking of each step in a new connection would enhance transparency and promote more efficient application processes with fewer delays.

On the other hand, there are no online platforms in Bulgaria to facilitate the application and collaboration between agencies for excavation works. In the short term, Bulgarian cities would benefit from implementing a legislative framework introducing joint planning or a 'dig once' policy to coordinate infrastructure development projects. In the long term, Bulgaria could improve the operations of utility providers by implementing digitalization, which includes: i) establishing a shared database for network lines among multiple utility providers; and ii) introducing an online system for excavation permit approvals. These changes would streamline infrastructure management, facilitate information sharing, enhance coordination efficiency, and improve public safety and service quality for new projects. Bulgaria could further integrate these platforms into a single window. This would allow providers and developers to request and track their projects in one place.

Relevant stakeholders: distribution utilities; Energy and Water Regulatory Commission (EWRC); municipalities



Improve the reliability of electricity supply and increase transparency by collecting and publishing KPIs on reliability of electricity supply

Minimizing the number and duration of power outages is critical for the economy and society. Understanding why outages duration and frequency is higher in Ruse and Varna is valuable knowledge that the authorities could use to improve the reliability of supply. Currently, in Bulgaria, the legal framework does not stipulate any financial deterrence mechanisms to limit electricity supply interruptions that could also improve service reliability. A distribution utility is the final link in the electricity supply chain; many actors play key roles in generation, transmission, and distribution. Evidence suggests that investment levels in electricity generation, tariff levels and bill collection rates, the operational efficiency of the utilities, and the overarching regulatory framework all play a role in determining the reliability of supply.

In addition, the regulator, EWRC, collects SAIDI and SAIFI data from the utilities. However, these KPIs are only published sporadically rather than being systematically shared with the public annually. In several other EU Member States, including Croatia and Portugal, the regulator and the utility publish these values online. Additionally, the KPI on the environmental sustainability of electricity supply is also not available online. Publishing KPIs in a yearly report would increase transparency and accountability.

Relevant stakeholders: distribution utilities; EWRC



Areas of improvement for Electricity Service Provision (2/3)

Increase transparency and accountability by collecting and publishing statistics

It is critical that agencies involved in the process of getting electricity (municipalities, distribution utilities, electricity suppliers, various utility providers, etc.) make data on processing times available publicly. Currently, only some steps and their legally stipulated timeframes are available in the utilities' websites in Bulgaria, rather than the actual average time it takes to receive a new connection. Publishing such data would allow entrepreneurs to estimate wait times accurately. In Austria, the regulator publishes a standardized electricity quality report, the *Kommerzielle Qualitat Storm*, which includes cross-cutting data on the electricity connection process. Data is collected annually from utilities through a questionnaire. The report contains data on application processing times and the time to complete a connection at different voltage levels, making the data easily comparable across cities and utilities. A similar data-driven report could help streamline Bulgaria's electricity sector—and help entrepreneurs and utilities set clear and realistic expectations. Data reporting could also serve as an indirect accountability measure to incentivize utilities and public administrations to boost their performance.*

Relevant stakeholders: distribution utilities; EWRC

Streamline the process of getting a construction permit and other pre-approvals

For new electrical connections, the most important permit to obtain is the construction permit from the relevant municipality, in addition to pre-approvals from all other utilities whose infrastructure is affected by the construction of the network. Obtaining these involves submitting a set of documents, including a project design. Each utility separately reviews the documents and may return them with comments for corrections, triggering a restart of the approval timelines as stipulated. Similarly, regulations on timeframes indicate that when a packet of documents is returned with comments for correction or additional documents are requested, extra time is given to municipalities for subsequent review. Bulgaria could benefit from two potential improvements to the process: i) implement a one-stop shop for submitting the required document and project plan to all concerned parties simultaneously; and ii) shorten the legal framework to expedite the approval process for less complex projects. Even when the legal time limits are respected, the overall length of the process remains excessive. This suggests a need to review and tighten the timeframes established by law, especially for simple, standard connections. Modern regulations establish different levels of scrutiny—and therefore different timeframes—for different levels of complexity. This approach allows approvals for simple connections to be fast-tracked, freeing relevant parties and public authorities to focus on riskier projects. To ensure safety, risk-based approaches need to include a comprehensive classification of risks.

Relevant stakeholders: distribution utilities; other relevant utility service providers; EWRC; municipalities

*For more information on Austria's electricity quality report, see the website of the Austrian regulator at https://www.e-control.at/marktteilnehmer/erhebungen/erhebungen-zur-qualitaet-der-netzdienstleistung.


Electricity Utility Service in Bulgaria

Areas of improvement for Electricity Service Provision (3/3)



Replace site inspection with self-certification of compliance

In Bulgaria, the Directorate for National Construction Control (DNCC) convenes a State Acceptance Commission (SAC) to conduct a site inspection. During the inspection, the DNCC reviews the documentation prepared over the course of the construction, including the examination of the completed electrical work. The utility and its subcontractor, the building/property owner, the construction supervision firm, and other relevant parties, including a representative of the municipality must be present. The DNCC then issues a "Permit to Use" for the newly-built connection, which confirms that the electrical connection works have been done according to safety and legal regulations.

Ensuring the safety and the quality of the connection works is crucial. But there are ways to do so without imposing additional requirements for getting a new connection. Bulgaria could consider the examples of other EU Member States, including Denmark and Germany, where the regulations allow the responsible contractor to submit a self-certificate ensuring the quality and the safety of the installations without a need of an inspection.

Relevant stakeholders: DNCC; distribution utilities; other relevant utility service providers; municipalities



Main findings

- The regulatory framework that governs water utility services is on par with international best practices. However, Bulgaria could introduce requirements and financial and non-financial incentives for businesses to adopt water-saving practices, like installing water-efficient appliances, or adhering to water-saving targets (Pillar I).
- Across Bulgaria, tariffs and tariff setting for water are transparent, KPIs to monitor quality, reliability, and sustainability are available, and connection fees can be paid online. However, it is not possible to apply for a new water connection online. Bulgaria could improve transparency by making stipulated connection time standards publicly available. Additionally, coordination among utilities could be improved by the introduction of an online system for coordinating approvals of excavation permits. Finally, all cities could adopt online databases allowing the identification of existing water networks, similar to those already in use in Sofia and Varna (Pillar II).
- Obtaining water connections across Bulgaria takes on average 136 days and costs BGN 6,854. However, entrepreneurs deal with different turnaround times and connection fees, depending on where they are based (Pillar III).
- Among the six cities benchmarked, Sofia stands out for offering the fastest water connection process. Firms in Sofia
 wait four months (121 days) to get their connections running. The same process takes five months in Varna (150 days).

Overall Water Utility Service score per city*





- Sofia is also the city where obtaining a water connection is cheapest: the connection work in the capital is typically conducted by the water utility, and costs BGN 2,207 (paid by the utility). The same type of connection would cost over three times as much in the rest of the country, where normally clients hire and pay a private contractor to perform the work.
- Most firms across the country profit from a reliable water supply system. Still, 4% of businesses across the country reported having experienced water insufficiencies. At the subnational level, this share is the highest in the Northeastern region (Varna), where 9% of the firms say they have to deal with supply insufficiencies. It is the lowest in the Northwestern (Pleven) and Southeastern (Burgas) regions, where virtually no firm reported issues with water supply.



Why is the water utility service important?

- Inadequate water supply—due to aging infrastructure, poor water quality, and changes in water pressure—can lead to decreased firm productivity, deterioration of machinery, and reduced profits.⁴⁷
- Good regulatory frameworks are key for the provision of an affordable and high-quality water supply.⁴⁸
- Performance standards coupled with a system of incentives ensure efficient deployment of utility connections and an adequate water supply.⁴⁹

World Bank, 2017.
 OECD, 2021.
 Foster and Rana, 2020.

What does the Water Utility Service topic measure?



For more information, please refer to the Business Ready Methodology Handbook: https://www.worldbank.org/en/businessready



Aspects regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices × Aspects not regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices



Aspects in line with internationally recognized good practices × Aspects not in line with internationally recognized good practices



46.5 to

Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Water Service Provision (1/4)

out of 100 points

The water connection process

The length of the process for getting a water connection varies substantially within Bulgaria. The main stakeholders involved in the process are local: (i) the water utility that is in charge of verifying the feasibility of a new connection and approving the design project; (ii) other utility operators (for electricity, gas, internet, etc.) that need to clear the new connection; (iii) the municipality that issues the excavation permit and other authorizations needed to install the connection. In most cities, water utilities are managed by municipalities, except for Sofia, where the utility is a concession (Sofia Water).

Bulgaria

score:



To prepare their project design, entrepreneurs first submit an application to the water utility requesting the technical conditions needed for connecting. The second step is for clients to have the project design approved by the utility as well as by all other network operators (typically, these would include the electricity distributor, the gas company, and the telecom operator). The last step before works can start is obtaining an excavation permit from the municipality.

Clients have the option of delegating the connection works to the water utility or hire a private contractor.

Once the connection works are completed and the meter is installed, an inspection from the utility takes place (includes water testing and inspection of the connection) and a new customer account is opened.

Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Water Service Provision (2/4)

Obtaining a water connection in Bulgaria takes between four and five months, depending on the location

The time to obtain a water connection across Bulgarian cities varies between 121 days (in Sofia) and 150 days (in Varna). The variation is mainly driven by the efficiency of water utilities in processing connection requests. For example, receiving the technical conditions from the water utility, which is an essential step to prepare the project design, takes one month in Burgas and Plovdiv, while it takes two weeks in the rest of the cities. While most utilities review project designs and issue a connection contract within one month, in Burgas and Varna this takes 35 and 39 days respectively.

The time to obtain a water connection also depends on how fast municipalities issue excavation permits. In Ruse and Pleven, obtaining the authorizations and subsequently performing the connection works takes 52 days. The same process takes over two months in Sofia and Varna.

In Bulgaria, customers can opt to either have permits obtained and works performed by the utility or privately. In all cities, except Sofia, clients would typically opt for the latter because it is faster. In Sofia, however, for the type of connection considered by this study, clients would rely on the utility, due to its efficiency in obtaining permits and performing the works: this takes 67 days in the capital, not far from the country average of 59 days. Only if the project is particularly complex, do clients in Sofia obtain permits themselves and hire a contractor to perform the works, as the gains in terms of turnaround time are more tangible.

The final step of the process requires customers to receive a final inspection from the utility, seal the meter, and open an account for water supply. In Sofia the sealing of the meter and account opening happens by the time construction works by the utility end. This reduces the duration of the final step to 11 days (the time to receive a final inspection) in the capital. In the rest of the cities, the final step can take up to a month.

Obtaining a water connection is fastest in Sofia and slowest in Varna



Final inspection and account opening

Obtaining permits to excavate and performing the works

- Approval of project design and connection contract
- Obtaining technical conditions

Source: Subnational Business Ready

Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Water Service Provision (3/4)



Water connections are least expensive in Sofia

The cost of obtaining a water connection varies across Bulgaria, particularly between Sofia and the rest of the cities. Clients in the capital normally rely on Sofia Water to perform and cover the expenses of the connection works at a cost of BGN 2,207. In the rest of the cities, clients typically hire a private contractor for an approximate cost of BGN 7,500.

Additionally, clients incur three main administrative costs, which are determined by each utility:

- (i) Obtaining the technical conditions costs between BGN 50 in Burgas and BGN 162.80 in Pleven.
- (ii) Getting the project design approved and obtaining a connection contract costs between BGN 35 in Ruse and BGN 148.78 in Pleven.
- (iii) Opening an account for water supply costs between BGN 13.50 in Varna and BGN 50 in Burgas. In Sofia, this is free of charge.

Overall, administrative fees are cheaper in Ruse (BGN 168) and most expensive in Pleven (BGN 336).

Administrative fees for water connections in Pleven cost twice as much as in Ruse



Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Water Service Provision (4/4)



Regarding the reliability of water supply, firms in the Northwestern and Southeastern regions do not experience water insufficiencies, but nearly one out of ten firms in the Northeastern region does.

Firms in three Bulgarian regions (Northwestern, Southeastern, and Southwestern) experience either none or minor instances where water supply is insufficient (see map). The situation is different in the Northern Central and Southern Central regions, where 6% of businesses reported having issues with water supply. The ratio is even higher in the Northeastern region (including Varna). There, supply reliability is problematic for about one in ten firms (9%).



*NUTS (Nomenclature of territorial units for statistics), <u>https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/nuts/overview</u>



Areas of improvement for Water Service Provision (1/2)



Enable online application for new water connections

Across Bulgaria, clients need to physically visit the premises of the concerned water utility to apply for a new connection. Utilities could facilitate the process by making it possible to apply online. In the short term, this could be done by allowing applicants to submit their request by email. In the longer run, a proper online platform for application submission and tracking could be set up either by each utility or at the national level, particularly for publicly-owned utilities. One nationwide platform for publicly-owned utilities would save resources, compared to each utility developing its own platform.

Relevant stakeholders: water utilities; national water regulator—Energy and Water Regulatory Commission (EWRC)



Publish stipulated water connection time standards online

Bulgarian cities could improve the level of their public services by making the stipulated connection time standards available online. This would make it easier for clients to estimate turnaround times and plan accordingly. Moreover, they could allow clients to opt for fasttrack options. Pleven and Sofia offer good examples for the part of the process related to the initial application for water connection. Both cities offer expedited services for additional fees for the first step of obtaining the technical conditions. In Pleven, applicants can choose a seven-day expedited option, while in Sofia, a ten-day one. This provides a viable alternative for clients in need of faster turnaround times. The additional collected fees cover the funding needed for utilities to provide expedited services. Water utilities in both Pleven and Sofia publish information on expedited services online, which is an essential step to ensure clients are aware of this option and of how it works.



Implement a GIS-based database for the identification of existing utility networks

In its annual report for 2022, EWRC evaluated the water utilities' progress in implementing a GIS-based database for the identification of their existing networks. It determined that in Varna, such a database was completed. The Sofia Water utility company, which is not evaluated by the EWRC report due to its unique status as a concession, also has its own GIS-based database. Other cities could follow suit in adopting this good practice that facilitates the work of planners when drawing their connection projects.

Relevant stakeholders: water utilities

Relevant stakeholders: water utilities



Areas of improvement for Water Service Provision (2/2)



Streamline clearances across utilities and local authorities

For each utility connection (water, electricity, gas, etc.), firms in Bulgaria need to follow a separate clearance process, managed by the respective utility. This means that for obtaining permission to excavate for a new water connection, applicants must obtain clearances from each single owner of underground networks (including, for example, the electricity company). And to connect that same building to the electrical grid, the investor will need to again get clearances from all network owners, including the water utility. Introducing coordination meetings among utilities and other relevant agencies, as well as eliminating redundant clearances, would save time for both developers and officials in charge of providing clearances. Similarly, introducing an online system for coordinating approvals of municipal excavation permits across utilities would allow applicants to go through the process only once for all connections.

Relevant stakeholders: water utilities; municipalities



Introduce requirements and incentives for businesses to adopt water-saving practices

For most aspects, entrepreneurs in Bulgaria enjoy a regulatory framework on par with internationally recognized good practices. An independent regulator oversees water tariffs, sets performance standards for utilities, and establishes financial deterrence mechanisms to ensure the reliability of water services. Requirements and standards for water quality, to promote water savings, and to treat wastewater are set by the law. Qualification requirements for professionals operating in the sector, inspections, and liability regimes are also regulated. However, to bring the regulatory framework to an even higher standard, Bulgaria could introduce requirements and incentives for businesses to adopt water-saving practices. Examples include requirements for businesses to adhere to water-saving targets or to install water-efficient appliances. Similarly, incentives for business to adopt good water management practices could be introduced, such as tax or tariff incentives to award virtuous firms.

Relevant stakeholder: national water regulator—Energy and Water Regulatory Commission (EWRC)



Main findings

- The quality of internet regulations (Pillar I) and the quality of governance and transparency (Pillar II) are uniform across Bulgaria. The score differentiator is the operational efficiency of internet provision (Pillar III), where there are differences in waiting times for getting an internet connection and varying levels of internet disruptions.
- In line with good international practices, Bulgaria's Communications Regulatory Commission (CRC) oversees wholesale connectivity tariffs. Competent authorities can also
 initiate investigations into anticompetitive practices.
- Bulgaria's regulatory framework establishes provisions on joint planning and construction ('dig once' policies) and for infrastructure sharing. Provisions on safety and environmental regulations are also present.
- In Bulgaria, it is possible to check online if the internet service provider (ISP) has coverage at customers' addresses. It is also possible to submit and track online the application for an internet connection.
- The "Single Information Point" online platform has the potential to streamline the process of deployment
 of telecommunications networks and infrastructure.
- ISPs in Bulgaria publish online planned outages—other performance indicators of service provision are also publicly available.
- The time it takes to obtain an internet connection is similar across the covered cities; from three days in Plovdiv, Ruse, Sofia, and Varna to five days in Burgas and Pleven.
- Countrywide, 15% of Bulgarian firms reported experiencing internet disruptions. However, reported disruptions vary by region. Only 10% of firms reported disruptions in the Southeastern region (including Burgas), while 27% of firms did so in the Northwestern region (including Pleven).





83.8

Pleven

118

Why is the internet utility service important?

- The internet supports business operations and is used as a factor of production by firms.⁵⁰
- Unreliable networks and high costs of establishing a broadband connection may prevent firms from adopting and upgrading digital technology in their business operations.
- Good regulatory frameworks are key for the provision of affordable and high-quality internet services. Likewise, facilitating timely access to such services at a reasonable cost and in an environmentally sustainable manner is instrumental for economic growth.⁵¹
- Performance standards coupled with a system of incentives compel internet service providers (ISPs) to ensure an adequate supply of high-speed broadband internet service.⁵²

50 World Bank, 2016.51 World Bank, 2017.52 Foster and Rana, 2020.

What does the Internet Utility Service topic measure?



For more information, please refer to the Business Ready Methodology Handbook: <u>https://www.worldbank.org/en/businessready</u>

Pillar I: Quality of Regulations for Internet (1/2)

Bulgaria so (all cities):

Bulgaria score **100** out of (all cities): 100 point

Regulatory monitoring of tariffs and service quality and Utilities infrastructure sharing and quality assurance mechanisms

25/25

Regulatory monitoring of tariffs and service quality

- Monitoring of internet tariffs: the regulatory agency, CRC, oversees wholesale connectivity tariffs. Competent authorities can also initiate investigations and set fines for anticompetitive practices.
- Monitoring of quality of internet service: CRC establishes and monitors adherence to performance standards to ensure the reliability and quality of internet service

40/40

Utilities infrastructure sharing and quality assurance mechanisms

- Provisions in the regulatory framework requiring joint planning and construction (i.e., joint excavation or 'dig once' policies)
- Legal provisions requiring operators owning passive or active infrastructure to share access for the last mile
- ✓ Legal provisions guaranteeing equal access to government-owned infrastructure
- Legal provisions establishing rights of way for digital infrastructure service providers
- ✓ Regulatory framework allowing partnerships for infrastructure sharing
- Legal provisions establishing time limits for agencies involved in delivering new digital infrastructure
- Regulatory framework stipulates financial deterrence (e.g., penalties paid by the ISP or compensations paid to customers) and incentive mechanisms aimed at limiting internet service outages or slowdowns

🗸 Aspects regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices 🗙 Aspects not regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices

Pillar I: Quality of Regulations for Internet (2/2)

Bulgaria score **100** (all cities):

Safety of utility connections and Environmental sustainability

25/25

Safety of utility connections

- Regulatory framework establishes liability and a legal right to pursue compensation for personal data protection breaches, as well as clear provisions for reporting data breach incidents
- The State e-Government Agency (SEGA), responsible for cybersecurity coordination at the national level, carries out riskassessment strategies, cybersecurity audits, drills, exercises or training, and enforces cybersecurity laws and regulations
- Regulatory framework establishes minimum cybersecurity protections or mandates minimum cybersecurity standards and cybersecurity safeguards, as well as defines a modus operandi for incident response in case of a major cyber-attack or a compromise of service availability

10/10

Environmental sustainability

- Mandatory environmental reporting or disclosure standards for digital connectivity and data infrastructure. Examples of environmental standards are greenhouse gas emissions, ISO 50001 or ITU-TL1332.
- National targets for emissions or energy efficiency of electronic communication networks and data infrastructure, such as power usage effectiveness, renewable energy usage, or coefficient of performance (COP)

Aspects regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices × Aspects not regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices



Pillar II: Quality of Governance and Transparency of Internet Service Provision (1/3)

Bulgaria score **79.4** out of (all cities): 100 points



V Aspects in line with internationally recognized good practices X Aspects not in line with internationally recognized good practices

Pillar II: Quality of Governance and Transparency of Internet Service Provision (2/3)

Bulgaria score **79.4** out of (all cities): 100 points



✓ Aspects in line with internationally recognized good practices × Aspects not in line with internationally recognized good practices

Pillar II: Quality of Governance and Transparency of Internet Service Provision (3/3)

Bulgaria score **79.4** out of (all cities): 100 points

Monitoring of service supply and Enforcement of safety regulations and consumer protection mechanisms



Aspects in line with internationally recognized good practices X Aspects not in line with internationally recognized good practices

72 to 95.

Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Internet Service Provision (1/3)

out of

100 points

How does the process of connecting to internet work in Bulgaria

Step 1

The first step to obtain a new internet connection in Bulgaria as a small business owner is to either call the 24/7 customer service center of the ISP or to go in person to an office to submit an application. It is also possible to submit an online inquiry/request or to "chat" in real time with a customer service representative.

Bulgaria

score:

Customers can check the coverage of the address for the connection by entering it in a dedicated interface of the ISPs' websites. Medium and larger businesses will have an account manager assigned to them, who can best tailor the services offered to the needs of the business.

Step 2

The second step includes the ISP verifying coverage at the requested address and preparing the contract. Depending on the location, this process usually takes a day or two from the moment of application.

In the simplest cases, where coverage is available and connection is straightforward (for example, there is already a junction box of the provider installed in the building), the ISP agrees on a date with the customer to send a technical crew to perform the installation, which in this case is usually a simple hook-up. Step 3

The third step is the physical installation, which takes less than a day in this scenario.

If the building is already wired for an internet connection and a contract is signed (most commonly, the term of the contract is 24 months), installation is for free. If the client decides not to go for a loyalty plan, there are typically installation and activation fees. For example, Vivacom in the city of Sofia would charge an installation fee of between BGN 18 and BGN 20; however, the common practice is to sign a term contract.

Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Internet Service Provision (2/3)





- The time it takes to obtain an internet connection is similar across the country, ranging from three days in four cities to five days in Burgas and Pleven.
- Private sector respondents reported that the longer time in Burgas and Pleven could be explained by a lower level of competition due to fewer ISPs offering the service.
- Still, in most Bulgarian cities it is faster to get an internet connection than in other benchmarked cities across five other economies within the European Union.

Average time (days) to get an internet connection (country averages)



Source: Subnational Business Ready

Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Internet Service Provision (3/3)



- Overall, 15% of Bulgarian firms reported experiencing internet disruptions, while in the Southeastern region (including Burgas) this figure was only 10%.
- In the Northwestern region (Pleven), 27% of firms reported experiencing internet disruptions.
- Most of the other regions in Bulgaria are in line with reported percentages from the five other economies benchmarked within the EU, with the exemption of Hungary, where 55% of firms reported experiencing internet service disruptions.

Percentage of firms experiencing internet disruptions (country averages)



Source: World Bank Enterprise Surveys 2023

Subnational Business Ready in the European Union 2024: BULGARIA



5. Dispute Resolution in Detail





Main findings

- The regulatory framework for dispute resolution in Bulgaria applies uniformly across the country (Pillar I). Bulgarian cities implement all international good practices for judicial integrity. The country has a well-developed regulatory framework for commercial arbitration, while commercial mediation could benefit from the adoption of further international good practices.
- There are subnational differences in the implementation and availability of public services used for dispute resolution (Pillar II). Plovdiv, Sofia, and Varna have separate commercial divisions within existing district courts. In the remaining cities, judges hear a mix of civil and commercial cases. When requested by a party, virtual hearings are conducted on urgent matters in Pleven, Plovdiv, Ruse, and Sofia.
- Time for court litigation varies across the country: it takes the longest in larger cities, such as Sofia and Plovdiv, mainly due to a higher caseload and complexity of cases at the respective district courts, impacting judges' schedules (Pillar III). District courts in Pleven and Ruse are the fastest among measured locations.
- Costs for court litigation are uniform across the country (Pillar III). Court fees are regulated at the national level. Most attorneys charge fees according to a nationally applicable ordinance.



Source: Subnational Business Ready *Scale from 0 to 100 (higher = better)



Why is dispute resolution important?

- Strong judiciaries and effective dispute resolution processes are needed for the development of the private sector.
- When courts complete dispute resolution processes in a timely and cost-effective manner, businesses borrow and invest more.⁵³
- Reliability of the judiciary is equally important: strong court systems attract more investors and expansion of business.⁵⁴

53 Moro, Maresch, and Ferrando. 2018; Koutroumpis and Ravasan, 2020.
54 World Bank, 2004; Staats and Biglaiser, 2011; World Bank, 2019.

What does the Dispute Resolution topic measure?



For more information, please refer to the Business Ready Methodology Handbook: https://www.worldbank.org/en/businessready

Recent reforms and changes in dispute resolution

- Amendments to the Code of Civil Procedure (SG No. 86/2017) introduced the legal framework that allows, *inter alia*, electronic public auctions of immovable and movable property as an alternative to public sale.
- Amendments to the Judiciary Act (SG No. 86/2020) introduced the legal framework for the establishment of the Information System for Enforcement Proceedings. The Ministry of Justice maintains the system and requires enforcement agents to share, *inter alia*, data regarding incoming and completed cases.
- Amendments to the Code of Civil Procedure (SG No. 98/2020) allows the use of video conferences in exceptional cases, while further amendments (SG No. 110/2020), effective since June 30, 2021, introduced the new Chapter 11a regulating electronic services such as electronic payment of fees and electronic issuing of court decisions.
- Ordinance N-1 of January 17, 2022 (SG No. 7/2022) made the Information System for Enforcement Proceedings publicly available by providing centralized electronic access to enforcement data.
- Ordinance N-3 of April 6, 2023, on the Maintenance, Storage and Access to the Information System for a Single Point of Entry for Seizures (<u>www.zapori.mjs.bg</u>), created a platform and procedure for online public auctions. The Ordinance came into force on July 20, 2023.

Upcoming reforms

• Amendments to the Code of Civil Procedure (SG No. 11/2023) provide for the issuance of enforcement orders and writ of execution in an electronic form. Amendments were set to come into force on July 1, 2024, but effective date was postponed to July 1, 2025 (SG 67/09.08.2024).

Relevant legislation and main stakeholders



Relevant laws and regulations in Bulgaria

- Code of Civil Procedure: regulates rules of civil procedure in Bulgaria.
- International Commercial Arbitration Act: regulates international and domestic arbitration procedures with a seat of arbitration in Bulgaria.
- **Private Enforcement Agents Act:** stipulates rules of conduct of private enforcement agents.
- Judiciary Act: regulates the organization and principles of activities for judicial system bodies and personnel.
- Mediation Act: regulates mediation procedures in Bulgaria as an alternative way to resolve legal disputes.
- Environmental Protection Law: regulates the protection of the environment and rights and obligations of the state, municipalities, legal entities, and individuals in environmental protection.



Public institutions and services for dispute resolution

- **District courts** are authorized to hear commercial cases related to all claim values above BGN 25,000 in all cities but Sofia, where the competent court is named the Sofia City Court.
- There are specialized commercial divisions in district courts in Sofia, Plovdiv, and Varna.
- The arbitration institution is the Arbitration Court at the Bulgarian Chamber of Commerce and Industry in Sofia.
- Judicial enforcement agents (bailiffs) constitute both state enforcement agents operating under the Judiciary Act and private enforcement agents operating under the Private Enforcement Agents Act.
- · Mediation is handled by court-based mediators and private mediators.
- The **unified portal for electronic justice** (ecase.justice.bg) is an electronic database of court cases available to all courts. The portal allows access to basic information on all court cases.

Pillar I: Quality of Regulations for Dispute Resolution (1/2)

Bulgaria score **74.1** out of (all cities): 100 points

Court litigation



Aspects regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices × Aspects not regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices

Pillar I: Quality of Regulations for Dispute Resolution (2/2)

Bulgaria score 74. out of (all cities): 100 points

Alternative dispute resolution



Legal safeguards in arbitration

- Arbitrability of intellectual property disputes
- Arbitration of commercial disputes with state-owned enterprises and public bodies without fulfilling additional conditions
- ✓ Selection of legal counsel regardless of professional gualification, nationality, or admission to courts or professional organization
- Selection of arbitrators regardless of professional qualification, gender, and nationality
- Parties have the right to question arbitrators' independence and impartiality
- × No third-party funding in investor-state arbitration
- × No arbitrability of immovable property disputes

6.3/16.7

Legal safeguards in mediation

- Commercial mediation is not mandatory
- Mediators have the duty to disclose conflicts of interest
- × No legal provision that mediators cannot serve as an arbitrator in the same or similar contract or legal relationship
- × No legal provision that evidence disclosed in mediation cannot be used in other legal proceedings
- × No special enforcement regime for mediation settlement agreements
- × No specific rules on recognition and enforcement of international mediation settlement agreements that do not have a court approval

Aspects regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices × Aspects not regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices



56.3 to

Pillar II: Public Services for Dispute Resolution (2/3)

out of

100 points



Digitalization of court processes

Bulgaria

score:

All cities:

- ✓ Electronic filing of the initial complaint
- ✓ Electronic service of the initial complaint
- ✓ Electronic communication with courts and enforcement agents
- ✓ Exchange of documents through an electronic platform
- ✓ Digital evidence is admissible by courts in practice
- ✓ E-payment of court fees, e-tracking of cases, online access to court schedules
- × Courts do not issue judgments in electronic format
- × No online auctions held in practice

Pleven, Plovdiv, Ruse, Sofia:

 \checkmark Virtual hearings are conducted only on urgent matters when requested by a party

Burgas and Varna:

× No virtual hearings are conducted

Virtual hearings

- Virtual hearings are allowed by the current applicable regulatory framework. All measured cities in Bulgaria have access to IT infrastructure to conduct virtual hearings with available digital tools.
- Unlike in Sofia, Plovdiv, Ruse, and Pleven, courts in Burgas and Varna are yet to conduct virtual hearings.

Steps to improve digital public services for dispute resolution

- Bulgarian cities could further strengthen digitalization by expanding the usage of their platform for online auctions and issue court decisions in electronic format.
- There is already progress in both areas:
 - As of April 6, 2023, it is possible to conduct online auctions through the Information System for a Single Point of Entry for Seizures (<u>www.zapori.mjs.bq</u>). The platform is still not widely used in Bulgaria.
 - The regulatory framework allows issuing electronic court judgments. However, implementation lags behind. Judges continue to sign judgments by hand, and there is limited practice of using electronic format for judgments.

Aspects regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices 🗙 Aspects not regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices

7.8/16.7

Dispute Resolution in Bulgaria

56.3 to

Pillar II: Public services for Dispute Resolution (3/3)

out of

100 points

9.7/16.7



13.8/22.2

Transparency of courts (includes gender)

- ✓ Public access to all legal instruments
- Public access to in-person court hearings
- Publication of judgments at first, appellate, and supreme levels

Bulgaria

score:

- ✓ Publication of statistics on the number of judges disaggregated by court
- × No publicly available statistics on disposition rate, clearance rate, number of judges disaggregated by sex
- \times No publicly available statistics on efficiency of enforcement proceedings
- $\,\times\,\,$ No publication of information on appointment and promotion of judges

Publication of judgments

- Bulgaria publishes all judgments at first, appellate, and supreme levels at the portal of Supreme Judicial Council (<u>https://legalacts.justice.bg/</u>).
- The portal contains a searchable database that allows the public to use it free of charge.
- Judgments are also available at the website of each court in Bulgaria.

Public services for arbitration (includes gender)

- Availability of commercial arbitration
- Published roster of all arbitrators
- ✓ Virtual conferences in arbitration
- × No online platform for arbitration
- × No electronic signing of arbitral awards
- × No publicly available statistics on cases in arbitration

Public services for mediation (includes gender)

- Availability of commercial mediation services
- Existence of financial incentives to use mediation
- Availability of virtual conferences in mediation
- × No publicly available statistics on mediation cases
- × No electronic filing of requests to mediate
- × No publicly available roster of all mediators
- × No electronic signing of a mediation agreement
- ✓ Aspects regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices
- \times $\,$ Aspects not regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices

Time (days) for court litigation: 300 to 724

Cost of court litigation (% of claim value): **16.52%**

Dispute Resolution in Bulgaria

Litigating cases at the first instance procedure before district courts constitutes the larger portion of the total time to litigate across the six Bulgarian cities. Sofia, with 464 days, takes the longest time to complete a first instance procedure, followed by 400 days in Plovdiv. The fastest cities in Bulgaria are Ruse and Pleven with

180 days each. Filing and serving the initial complaint takes 50 days in Sofia and 60 days in Plovdiv. The same step takes 30 days in Pleven and only 23 days in Ruse. In Sofia, once the judges have reviewed case documentation and parties' arguments, it takes them 75 days to schedule the first hearing. This step requires only 30

out of

100 points

Bulgaria 73.5 to 83.1

score:

Pillar III: Operational Efficiency and Reliability of Court and Arbitration Processes (1/3)

Overall, court litigation takes the longest in larger cities, such as Sofia and Plovdiv. Courts in Pleven and Ruse are the most efficient.

The variation is significantly driven by the caseload in each court. This impacts judges' schedules and causes longer waiting times, particularly in larger cities that have higher caseloads. In the Sofia City Court, judges hear, on average, 18 cases a month. This is followed by judges in the Plovdiv district court with about 11 cases a month. District courts in Pleven and Ruse have lower caseloads with an average of nine and 8.5 cases per judge, respectively.⁵⁶ A similar association between caseload and time can be made regarding the operation of appellate courts.

Court litigation is most efficient in Ruse and Pleven Time for first instance court Pleven 180 300 Time for appellate court Ruse 180 300 Varna 480 360 120 **Burgas** 545 365 Plovdiv 650 400 Sofia 724 464 100 200 300 400 500 700 800 600 0 Time for court litigation (calendar days) Source: Subnational Business Ready

- Court fees are nationally regulated: they amount to 4% of the claim value for first instance procedures and 2% of the claim value for appellate court procedures.
- Attorney fees are regulated by Ordinance No. 1/2004 of the Supreme Bar Council. Most attorneys surveyed for this study confirmed that they follow the Ordinance and apply the same estimated fees of 5.26% of the claim value (BGN 19,498) for each court (first instance and appellate). According to the surveyed attorneys, higher fees that are subject to negotiation. are typically charged for out-of-court legal actions.



56 Bulgaria, Supreme Judicial Council of Bulgaria, 2023.

days in Ruse.

Pillar III: Operational Efficiency and Reliability of Court and Arbitration Processes (2/3)

How does the enforcement of a final domestic judgment work in practice

A request for enforcement is filed by the creditor with the enforcement agent along with payment of an advance fee (BGN 80). The enforcement agent sends a letter to the debtor to voluntarily pay outstanding debts within two weeks. In practice, the enforcement agent seizes bank account funds before serving the letter for voluntary payment.

Once the bank accounts of the debtor are seized and the voluntary payment period expires, funds are transferred to the accounts of the enforcement agent. Within seven days, the enforcement agent transfers funds to the creditor.

Source: Subnational Business Ready

Time (days) to enforce a judgment: **20** to **37** Cost to enforce a judgment (% of claim value): **2.7%**

- There are two options for the enforcement of a final domestic judgment in Bulgaria: 1) through state enforcement agents or 2) through private enforcement agents operating under the Private Enforcement Agents Act. In practice, most entrepreneurs use private enforcement agents due to their efficiency and cost effectiveness, especially for creditors that have many cases.
- A major challenge across cities measured in Bulgaria is the practice of some commercial banks to not receive and accept the message on seizure of the debtor's funds electronically. Electronic forms can be filed either through the Secure Electronic Delivery System platform or enforcement agents can send messages via emails designated in the agreement between them and the banks. Most commercial banks refuse both electronic forms. Thus, enforcement agents have to send messages and other communication on seizure of debtors' funds in paper form, which overall prolongs the enforcement process.
- Enforcement costs are uniform across the country: attorneys charge 2.7% of the claim value, in line with the Ordinance of the Supreme Bar Council. According to regulations, creditors have to pay an advance fee of BGN 80 to file the enforcement request and initiate the enforcement process. However, this fee is reimbursed once the assets are seized from the debtor.





Pillar III: Operational Efficiency and Reliability of Court and Arbitration Processes (3/3)

Reliability of courts and alternative dispute resolution (ADR)

- Among regions surveyed in Bulgaria, the Northwestern region (including Pleven) has the greatest share of firms that do not find courts to be independent and impartial and the largest share of firms that do not find ADR mechanisms reliable.
- Countrywide, 41% of Bulgarian firms do not find the courts to be independent and impartial.
- On average, only 14% of Bulgarian firms find courts to be a constraint to business operations.



Source: World Bank Enterprise Surveys 2023 *NUTS (Nomenclature of territorial units for statistics), <u>https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/nuts/overview</u>



Areas of improvement for Dispute Resolution (1/2)



Establish small claims courts or fast-track procedures for small claims

Commercial disputes can be time and cost consuming for small businesses. To avoid overburdening owners of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), who often have disputes with relatively low value, countries establish small claim courts or fast-track procedures for small claims. Neither exists in Bulgaria. Although there are certain rules for small claims procedures in Bulgaria, in line with EU regulations, they relate to non-litigious cases or cross-border litigations.

Small claims courts or procedures use simpler rules and shorter time limits, which lower the costs of commercial disputes for small claims. Croatia introduced small claim procedures for all claims up to EUR 6,630 and stipulated simplified rules that aim to complete litigation processes more effectively (for example, no separate hearing for issuing a judgment; initial claims must have all facts and evidence stated therein).

Relevant stakeholders: Ministry of Justice; Supreme Judicial Council



Introduce pre-trial hearings as a case management technique

In commercial litigation, Bulgarian judges hold closed pre-trial sessions (preparatory meeting) without the participation of the parties. In these sessions, judges issue a ruling on preliminary questions based on written exchanges that parties made before.

The practice of using pretrial conferences as a case management technique can lead to more efficient trials. Their purpose is to narrow down and clarify issues between the parties before the main trial starts. Judges across Bulgaria working in criminal procedures, actively use pre-trial conferences including the participation of the parties as a case management technique.

Expanding the practice of this case management technique to commercial litigation across Bulgarian cities could increase the efficiency of resolving commercial cases. Hungary introduced pretrial conference after reforms in 2018, which contributed to the prevention of delay tactics and increased the efficiency of commercial litigation across the country.

Relevant stakeholders: Ministry of Justice; Supreme Judicial Council



Areas of improvement for Dispute Resolution (2/2)



Regulate the maximum number of adjournments

Another case management technique that could be adopted in Bulgaria is setting clear rules on the maximum number of adjournments that can be granted in a commercial case. Without strict rules on the use of adjournments, commercial disputes could slip out of reasonable timelines.

Some rules on adjournments in Bulgarian commercial litigation already exist, limiting the cases when adjournments can be used but not the quantity. Namely, the Code of Civil Procedure limits the use of adjournments only to exceptional or unanticipated circumstances.

Greece introduced strict rules on the maximum number of adjournments. Greek judges can grant a maximum of one adjournment before the case is tried. Introducing and strictly implementing such rules could improve the effectiveness of commercial litigation in Bulgaria, benefiting private sector entrepreneurs.

Relevant stakeholders: Ministry of Justice; Supreme Judicial Council
Subnational Business Ready in the European Union 2024: BULGARIA





 \bigcirc



Main findings

*For an insolvent's company market value of BGN 2,778,384, equal to 150 times the 2021 GNI per capita. Bulgaria's 2021 GNI per capita is BGN 18.523

- The insolvency proceedings in Bulgaria are regulated by the Bulgarian Commerce Act (Part IV) and apply uniformly across the country (Pillar I). The Bulgarian legal framework recognizes two types of business insolvency proceedings; (i) pre-insolvency proceedings (stabilization): started in cases of debtor's likely inability to pay debts—with attempts to reorganize the enterprise; and (ii) insolvency proceedings (rehabilitation and liquidation): started when the debtor is unable to meet payable monetary obligations related to a commercial transaction—with attempts to rehabilitate the viability of the debtor on the market or, if unsuccessful, liquidate the company. Stabilization is reportedly more often attempted than rehabilitation, although neither of them is common (less than 10 attempts in the last two years).
- Digital public services' supply is homogeneous among Bulgarian cities (Pillar II). The insolvency judicial system underwent a digitalization process through a platform called ECASE Justice, with the objective of eliminating hard copies for all documents submitted to courts. This platform facilitates electronic filing and visibility of procedures for debtors, creditors, insolvency administrators, and judges. The platform, initially piloted in 19 courts starting from 2020, has been implemented in all Bulgarian courts.
- Larger cities with a higher volume of cases in commercial courts have longer timelines for both liquidation and reorganization proceedings compared with smaller cities. Varna has the longest time, due to lack of judges and/or available courtrooms. Sofia Court is by far the busiest, but it is not the slowest, with 1,080 days for liquidation and 300 days for reorganization.
- Challenges with reorganization in Bulgaria include asset-stripping practices, lack of effective early warning tools for timely detection of financial distress, and the general undercapitalization of companies, collectively impeding successful restructuring efforts.
- Big banks and the National Revenue Agency (NRA) are reportedly the most active creditors, typically favoring liquidation over reorganization, due to their primary interest in debt recovery. Their priorities may not align with maintaining business continuity.



Source: Subnational Business Ready *Scale from 0 to 100 (higher = better)



Why is business insolvency important?

- An efficient insolvency system promotes new firm creation and encourages greater entrepreneurial activity.⁵⁷
- It permits an effective exit of non-viable companies, so that entrepreneurs can reinvent themselves, by stimulating the reallocation of productivityenhancing capital and promoting business creation and access to finance.
- It ensures the survival of economically viable businesses by reorganizing their financial structure, with the aim of encouraging more dynamic entrepreneurial activity and job creation.
- The stability of the financial system also depends on an efficient insolvency framework. Investors are willing to commit only when nonviable firms can be rapidly liquidated and viable firms reorganized.⁵⁸

57 Cirmizi, Klapper, and Uttamchandani, 2012.58 Menezes, 2014.

What does the Business Insolvency topic measure?



For more information, please refer to the Business Ready Methodology Handbook: https://www.worldbank.org/en/businessready



Bulgaria had successfully weathered the Global Financial Crisis, Euro-area crisis, and spillovers from the Greek sovereign crisis, but the country's corporate sector remains leveraged with the highest nonfinancial corporate debt-to-GDP ratio among Central and Eastern Europe and Balkan countries. The outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic, Russia's invasion of Ukraine, the continuing inflationary conditions, and geopolitical uncertainties have renewed concerns over corporate debt and corporate sector distress, especially among smaller businesses.

Policymakers in Bulgaria are faced with the intricate challenge of managing corporate financial distress, necessitating a combination of legal reforms, business support, and financing tools. Successful business rehabilitations are rare in Bulgaria, with most insolvency cases terminating with liquidation processes. This outcome has resulted in notably low recovery rates for creditors. Passage of the Amendments of Commerce Act in August 2023, in line with the EU Directive (Directive 2019/1023), was an important step.

Compared to larger enterprises, distressed MSMEs face substantially bigger challenges in maximizing their chance of survival. While at prevention and second-chance stages, Bulgarian MSMEs need to strengthen managerial skills and financial knowledge. At pre-insolvency and insolvency stages, MSMEs can benefit from counselling services regarding the complex procedures and support in the preparation of a good restructuring plan. Public support can have high impact by building up the resilience of firms that show early signs of distress, enabling them to weather future shocks, preserve employment, and create value for money.

Source: World Bank, 2024. Country Report: Bulgaria, A Study of Financial and Business Support Instruments Available to Businesses During Financial Distress, Insolvency, and Re-start Stages. World Bank Group, Washington, DC. May 2024.



- Bulgarian Commercial Law (SG No. 48 of June 18, 1991, amended and supplemented by SG No. 35 of April 19, 2024), Parts IV and V: regulates pre-insolvency and insolvency proceedings.
- Act for Amendment and Supplement of the Commerce Act (the "New Law") of 01.08.2023 (SG No. 66 of August 1, 2024): reformed insolvency proceedings in line with EU Directive 2019/1023.
- Ordinance No. 3 of 27.05.2005 (SG No. of August 5, 2005) on the procedure for the selection, qualification and supervision of insolvency practitioners.
- Ordinance on Insolvency Forms of 2023 (SG No. 100 of December 1, 2023) regulating insolvency samples and requests.
- Resolution No. 269 of 7.12.2023 (SG No. 103 of December 12, 2023) on the adoption of the Regulation on early warning instruments and access to information for undertakings in the event of insolvency.
- Tariff No. 1 to the Law on State Fees: regulates fees collected by the courts, the public prosecutor's office and the Investigation Services, and the Ministry of Justice (SG No. 71 of September 1, 1992, supplemented by SG No. 25 of 22 March 2024).
- Ordinance No. 1 of 09.07.2004: regulates the minimum amounts of lawyer fees (Ann. SG No. 64 of July 23, 2004).

Business Insolvency in Bulgaria Pillar I: Quality of Regulations for Judicial Insolvency Proceedings Bulgaria score **66.3** out of 100 points (all cities): Information and procedural standards in insolvency proceedings 36.8/50 10/2019.5/30 **Specialized insolvency** Legal and procedural standards Debtor's assets and creditor's participation proceedings and international insolvency ✓ Obligations of the company's management during pre-insolvency are based on Automatic stay of proceedings, which refrains enforcement of duty of care and duty of loyalty, under the risk of becoming personally liable for credit payment, is applicable Existence of framework and damage and losses recognition of foreign insolvency ✓ Continuation of existing essential contracts is possible in the Commencement of formal proceedings by both debtors and creditors is proceedings best interest of business viability possible Existence of a legal framework for ✓ Rejection of burdensome contracts is possible in the best Conversion from reorganization to liquidation is allowed by law cooperation with foreign courts interest of business viability Requirements to become an insolvency administrator are outlined by law \checkmark No specialized insolvency X ✓ Post-commencement credit is available for the reorganization proceedings for micro, small, and ✓ Mechanisms for selection and dismissal of insolvency administrators are legally plan, which must specify its terms and purpose medium enterprises (MSMEs) established × There is no implementation of exceptions or relief for the × There is no electronic voting of reorganization plans automatic stay of proceedings × There are no effective out of court restructuring mechanisms

Aspects regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices × Aspects not regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices

Pillar II: Quality of Institutional and Operational Infrastructure for Insolvency Proceedings (1/3)

Bulgaria score **68.3** out of (all cities): 100 points



Digital services (e-Courts) in insolvency proceedings

Electronic services for:

- Payment of court fees
- Sending and receiving notifications (e.g., when documents are uploaded, decisions are to be communicated, etc.)
- Managing and filing procedural case documents
- ✓ Viewing and accessing court orders and decisions
- ✓ Monitoring the status of insolvency proceedings
- × Claims and documents can be filed electronically but submission of hard copies is required
- \times Virtual hearings are available but not used in practice
- × No virtual auctions

- Digital public services' supply is homogeneous among Bulgarian cities.
- In Sofia and Plovdiv, despite practitioners having appropriate technical skills, experts reported preference for paper-based methods over e-government services due to organizational challenges in the actual deployment of the technological infrastructure, hindering the adoption of digital tools on a daily basis.
- Conversely, in Burgas, Varna, Ruse, and Pleven, respondents reported that new technologies had been adopted smoothly, including appropriate training for court staff and legal practitioners in the transition phase towards the full adoption of the new platform.

The ECASE Justice platform

- The insolvency judicial system underwent digitalization through a platform called *ECASE Justice*, with the objective of eliminating hard copies for all documents submitted to the courts. This platform facilitates electronic filing and visibility of procedures for debtors, creditors, insolvency administrators, and judges.
- Judges and insolvency administrators are receiving training on how to use the new *ECASE Justice* system which enables them to consult, prepare, and annotate case files, including researching content within documents.

Aspects regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices × Aspects not regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices

Pillar II: Quality of Institutional and Operational Infrastructure for Insolvency Proceedings (2/3)

(all cities):

Bulgaria score **68** out of 100 points

33.4/40

Interoperability of services in insolvency proceedings, public information on insolvency proceedings and registry of insolvency practitioners

- Interoperability with external systems (e.g., trade registry) \checkmark
- Interconnection between case management and e-filing systems \checkmark
- ✓ Publication of judgments in insolvency procedures is publicly available at all levels (first instance, appeal, and cassation)
- ✓ The register of insolvency practitioners is publicly available
- × Statistics on the number of insolvency procedures are publicly available but not disaggregated by type
- × Data on average length of insolvency procedures are not published

Interconnection within courts and other authorities; improvements across the Bulgarian insolvency system

- The new electronic system (United Information System of the Courts) brought all Bulgarian courts together onto one electronic platform. The project, tested since July 1, 2020, was not performing well initially, according to experts. Nowadays, despite reports of difficulties in larger courts like Sofia, it has been fully implemented in all courts throughout all instance levels, including cassation.
- Through this portal, courts are enabled to receive and send documents online from/to parties. The system is being • increasingly used by lawyers, although its use is compulsory only for banks and insurance companies. It is still compulsory to keep a paper file; the legislation authorizing the full transition to digital has not yet been approved. Offline submission of documents by parties hampers efficiency, as court clerks must scan the entire file and upload it again on the platform. Reported low broadband and the heaviness of scanned PDF files, preventing smooth transmission of documents on the platform, is perceived as a bottleneck by both private and public respondents.
- The system also allows real-time progress updates of local court cases, when pending before other instances (appeal or cassation). However, the tool permitting consultation of cases in other local courts has not yet been enabled.
- A digital signature is also available at courts, enabling signature by an electronic qualified system and document • transmission through the "system for secured exchange of documents."
- Finally, the exchange of documents regarding insolvency proceedings is interconnected with other authorities, most • importantly with the Trade Registry, as it is the authority in charge of publicizing information about insolvent companies.

Aspects regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices × Aspects regulated not in line with internationally recognized good practices

Pillar II: Quality of Institutional and Operational Infrastructure for Insolvency Proceedings (3/3)

(all cities):

Bulgaria score **68.3** out of 100 points

0/10

Specialization of courts with jurisdiction on reorganization and liquidation proceedings

× No courts or judges exclusively dedicated to insolvency

- Courts in Sofia, Plovdiv, and Varna have specialized commercial divisions that manage insolvency cases among others, but not exclusively. The presence of commercial courts that address both commercial and insolvency cases is seen as beneficial by private sector experts. They have concerns about specialized courts handling only insolvency cases due to the potential over-segmentation of the judiciary.
- In the other three cities, insolvency cases are handled by the civil divisions of the courts, which may affect efficiency due to judges overseeing a broader range of legal issues.
- Private sector contributors underscore the need for specialized training for judges to bridge expertise gaps and improve the handling of insolvency cases nationwide.

The Statute of the Insolvency Administrator (Bulgarian Commercial Law (SG No. 48 of June 18, 1991, Amended and supplemented by SG No. 35 of April 19, 2024.) outlines the profession's requirements, which include:

10/10

Insolvency administrators' expertise in practice

- Must not have a criminal record, unless rehabilitated
- Must not be an unrehabilitated bankruptee
- ✓ Must have at least five years of relevant professional experience after completing higher education in law or economics
- ✓ Must pass a qualification examination and be included in the approved list by the Minister of Justice
- ✓ Must not have been relieved of trustee duties unless specific conditions are met
- Must not have been temporarily removed from the approved list
- ✓ For specific insolvency proceedings, must not have close relationships with the debtor or creditor, nor have represented them in the last three years.
- Insolvency administrators are eligible to work on cases throughout the country

Aspects regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices × Aspects not regulated in line with internationally recognized good practices

Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Resolving Judicial Insolvency Proceedings (1/3)

out of 100 points

Time for liquidation proceedings in Bulgaria

Liquidation times range from 24 months in Plovdiv and Ruse to 42 months in Varna.

score:

Varna's prominent real estate and tourism sectors were more heavily affected by the COVID-19 crisis, creating a relatively high volume of cases and resource constraints. Varna is one of the cities with the highest number of insolvency cases and fewer staff, compared to other local courts. Private sector experts from the city reported lack of sufficient courtrooms (only four for the entire commercial section) and judges (six judges out of 21 are currently seconded in other courts). These factors have consequently affected the efficiency of liquidation proceedings, making them the longest in the country.

Bulgaria 73.5 to

- The Sofia court handles the most insolvency cases, with delays often due to complex case backlogs and administrative hurdles.
- Courts in Plovdiv and Ruse display better efficiency in case management, reflected in relatively shorter durations of liquidation proceedings. The courts are more sufficiently staffed with judges compared to other cities.
- Across cities, challenges include difficulties in asset seizure, claim ranking disputes, and administrative burdens. Insolvency administrators point to high case volumes, lack of transparency regarding creditors and their lists of claims, and lack of technical experts determining asset values as sources of delay.



Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Resolving Judicial Insolvency Proceedings (2/3)

Time for reorganization proceedings in Bulgaria

- Time for reorganization proceedings in Bulgarian cities ranges from 6 months in Plovdiv to 12 months in Burgas, Pleven, Ruse, and Varna. Sofia courts stand in the middle with 300 days, thanks to higher allocation of staff.
- The duration of reorganization proceedings in Bulgarian cities is relatively short also due to their rare practice. Reorganization cases are fewer than liquidation cases due to strict eligibility requirements, which act as a filter to prevent already insolvent companies from applying.
- Private sector experts report the limited practical use and experience with reorganization processes among the challenges in applying these frameworks effectively.





Pillar III: Operational Efficiency of Resolving Judicial Insolvency Proceedings (3/3)



Cost of liquidation and reorganization proceedings



- Parties in Sofia and Ruse often incur higher costs for liquidation proceedings, at 6% and 6.5% of the market value of the insolvent company, respectively, due to a higher availability of valuable assets to be sold. The more assets that are available, the higher the fees that lawyers and insolvency administrators can claim.
- In contrast, parties in Burgas, Pleven, and Plovdiv face lower liquidation costs, at around 4% of the market value of the insolvent company; fewer companies have valuable assets, leading to a simpler liquidation process.
- Costs in Varna stand at 5% of the market value of the insolvent company. There, most cases involve a
 relatively higher quantity of sellable assets given the presence of numerous businesses active in the real
 estate sector.
- The insolvency administrator's success fee is higher in those cities where, reportedly, more assets are liquidated during liquidation. This is the case in cities where larger companies are present, such as Sofia and Ruse, formerly being the location for several metallurgical plants. Varna counts several cases in the real estate sector.
- Private sector experts recognize the complexity in calculating justice and insolvency administrator fees, often lacking a comprehensive understanding of these calculations, which are typically handled by the courts.

- The cost of reorganization proceedings is the highest in Sofia and Ruse at 5% of the market value of the
 insolvent company and 3% in all other cities. Private sector experts in Ruse report very long cases, due to
 attempted pre-insolvency reorganization of privatized public entities, that end up being costly and
 unsuccessful.
- Insolvency administrator fees constitute the most substantial expense in both liquidation and reorganization proceedings, covering both fixed and variable fees. Insolvency administrators reportedly ask for slightly higher fees in Sofia.
- The lack of sufficient practical experience creates uncertainty in calculating variable fees (lawyers and administrators). Legislative changes in 2023 related, *inter alia*, to the stabilization process, and inconsistent legal interpretations add to this complexity.
- Insolvency administrators often waive variable fees in reorganization proceedings due to the companies' fragile financial status. Adding extra costs could threaten the implementation of reorganization plans.

Homogenous court fees for liquidation and reorganization proceedings:

- BGN 250 for filing an insolvency application with the court
- BGN 780 (BGN 933 from January 1, 2024): minimum monthly fee for insolvency administrators



Areas of improvement for Business Insolvency proceedings (1/2)

Enhance the transparency of asset transfers by considering the implementation of a more rigorous oversight for debtors in the process of selling or donating assets

This could involve the introduction of more robust monitoring and reporting systems. These systems would aim to detect any instances of inequitable treatment of creditors or misuse of insolvency procedures. It has been observed that there are occasions where the confidentiality intended to protect the integrity of insolvency proceedings may be misused by debtors. In cases where a creditor initiates the claim, the proceedings are typically private and confidential. The process then moves forward with the collection of all necessary documentation from the debtor. A decision is usually expected from the judge within a three-month period. It has been noted that during this period, there have been instances where debtors may change the company's registered office, potentially restricting the involvement in the proceedings to a specific group of creditors. This action may result in the continuation of the debtor's business under a new location, transferring assets and operations. Such practices can be disadvantageous to creditors who are not informed about the insolvency proceedings and may consequently not have their claims addressed satisfactorily.

Relevant stakeholders: Ministry of Justice; Trade Registry



First, this would entail removal of the still existing obligations for paper-based submission (along with electronic filing of documents) that is widely perceived as an unnecessary burden. Second, it would also require homogeneous deployment of technological equipment, reliable internet broadband, and adequate training across courts. Finally, specifically for insolvency proceedings, widespread adoption of virtual auctions, together with the adoption of an efficient and user-friendly online platform, is key to ensure transparency and efficiency in the asset-selling process. In Romania, the Ministry of Justice is advancing the implementation of the new version of the 'ECRIS' system, aimed at digitalizing judicial procedures through functionalities like the new Electronic File, which will allow the comprehensive management of case documents. Moreover, the National Union of Insolvency Practitioners has fully implemented an electronic auctions system.

Relevant stakeholders: Ministry of Justice; Supreme Judicial Council



Areas of improvement for Business Insolvency proceedings (2/2)

Strengthen the capacity of insolvency administrators and practitioners, including enhanced transparency in the appointment process

First, this would entail the implementation of continuous training programs for insolvency administrators on best practices, law, and economics issues, to ensure they possess the necessary expertise to oversee bankruptcy proceedings effectively. Second, there is room for a more regular adoption of audits and evaluations of insolvency administrators' performances to maintain accountability and uphold professionalism. Finally, the adoption of clear guidelines and codes of conduct for insolvency administrators can be explored, to ensure the fair treatment of all parties involved in the insolvency process. For this, the establishment of a "lottery" system for the randomized appointment of insolvency administrators might help in preventing potential conflicts of interests.

Relevant stakeholders: Ministry of Justice; Supreme Judicial Council



Adopt tailored training programs for judges who are dealing with insolvency proceedings

Tailored educational programs for judges, in the fields of corporate law and economics, can contribute to efficiency. Noteworthily, taking advantage of special expertise on corporate and insolvency subject-matters can lead to process streamlining and a faster resolution of cases. Tailored training programs for judges can also facilitate dissemination of decisions, enhancing legal certainty and the predictability of judgements. Training programs also contribute to a more efficient ethical conduct by judges and adherence to insolvency rules. An example to replicate could be the involvement of the Economic College of Budapest in Hungary, which actively participates in pilot projects, particularly in testing new programs and initiatives related to insolvency. The College promotes collaboration and spreads knowledge in the field with other institutions, like the Hungarian School of Judiciary. One of the key functions of the Economic College is to train insolvency-related judges. It aims to provide specialized training to judges who handle insolvency cases, allowing them to have a deep understanding of the subject matter.

Relevant stakeholders: Ministry of Justice; Supreme Judicial Council

References

Armour, J. 2006. "Legal Capital: An Outdated Concept?" European Business Organization Law Review 7 (1): 5–27.

- Bulgaria, Supreme Judicial Council of Bulgaria. 2023. "Analysis of Courts' Workload in the Republic of Bulgaria in 2022." Supreme Judicial Council of Bulgaria, Sofia, Bulgaria. <u>https://vss.justice.bg/root/f/upload/41/Analiz-na-natovarenostta-prez-2022.pdf</u>.
- Carlson, V. 2000. "Studying Firm Locations: Survey Responses vs. Econometric Models." Mid-Continent Regional Science Association, *Journal of Regional Analysis and Policy* 30 (1): 1–22.
- Cirmizi, E., L. Klapper, and M. Uttamchandani. 2012. "The Challenges of Bankruptcy Reform." World Bank Research Observer 27 (2): 185–203.
- De Soto, H. 2000. The Mystery of Capital: Why Capitalism Triumphs in the West and Fails Everywhere Else. New York: Basic Books.

Elkind, G. 2007. "Minimum Capital Requirements: A Comparative Analysis" U.S. Agency for International Development, Washington, DC.

- European Bank for Reconstruction and Development. 2022. "Business Reorganization Assessment: Bulgaria." European Bank for Reconstruction and Development, London. https://ebrd-restructuring.com/storage/uploads/documents/13472%20EBRD%20(Bulgaria%20Country%20Profile%20ARTWORK).pdf.
- Field, E. 2007. "Entitled to Work: Urban Property Rights and Labor Supply in Peru." Quarterly Journal of Economics 122 (4): 1561–602.
- Foster, V., and A. Rana. 2020. Rethinking Power Sector Reform in the Developing World. Sustainable Infrastructure Series. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Green, A., and C. Moser. 2013. "Do Property Rights Institutions Matter at the Local Level? Evidence from Madagascar." Journal of Development Studies 49 (1): 95–109.
- Johnson, S., J. McMillan, and C. Woodruff. 2002. "Property Rights and Finance." American Economic Review 92 (December): 1335–56.
- Klapper, L., A. Lewin, and J. M. Quesada Delgado. 2011. "The Impact of the Business Environment on the Business Creation Process." Chapter 5 in *Entrepreneurship and Economic Development* (Studies in Development Economics and Policy), edited by W. Naudé, 108–23. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Koutroumpis, P., and F. R. Ravasan. 2020. "Do Court Delays Distort Capital Formation?" Working Paper No. 2020-4, Oxford Martin Working Paper Series on Economic and Technological Change, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom.
- Kubler, F. 2004. "A Comparative Approach to Capital Maintenance: Germany." European Business Law Review 15 (5): 1031–35.
- La Porta, R., and A. Shleifer. 2014. "Informality and Development." Journal of Economic Perspectives 28 (3): 109–26.
- Medvedev, D., and A. M. Oviedo Silva. 2015. "Informality and Profitability: Evidence from a New Firm Survey in Ecuador." Journal of Development Studies 52 (3): 1–25.
- Menezes, A. 2014. "Debt Resolution and Business Exit: Insolvency Reform for Credit, Entrepreneurship, and Growth." World Bank Group Knowledge Note, World Bank, Washington, DC.

Moro, A., D. Maresch, and A. Ferrando. 2018. "Creditor Protection, Judicial Enforcement and Credit Access." European Journal of Finance 24: 250–81.

Moullier, T., and F. Krimgold. 2015. Building Regulation for Resilience: Managing Risks for Safer Cities. World Bank Report ACS15966. Washington, DC: World Bank.



References

Mülbert, P., and M. Birke. 2002. "Legal Capital—Is There a Case against the European Legal Capital Rules?" *European Business Organization Law Review* 3 (4): 695–732. OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). 2015. OECD Policy Guidance for Investment in Clean Energy Infrastructure. OECD, Paris. OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). 2021a. OECD Regulatory Policy Outlook 2021. OECD, Paris.

OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) and IDB (Inter-American Development Bank). 2021. Building Effective Beneficial Ownership Frameworks: A Joint Global Forum and IDB Toolkit. Global Forum on Enhancing Government Effectiveness and Transparency, OECD, Paris, and IDB, Washington, DC.

Rand, J., and N. Torm. 2012. "The Benefits of Formalization: Evidence from Vietnamese Manufacturing SMEs." World Development 40 (5): 983–98.

Simon, J. 2004. "A Comparative Approach to Capital Maintenance: France," European Business Law Review 15 (5): 1037–44.

Staats, J. L., and G. Biglaiser. 2011. "The Effects of Judicial Strength and Rule of Law on Portfolio Investment in the Development World." Social Science Quarterly 92 (3): 609–30.

UNCITRAL (United Nations Commission on International Trade Law). 2019. UNCITRAL Legislative Guide on Key Principles of a Business Registry. UNCITRAL, Vienna.

World Bank. 2004. World Development Report 2005: A Better Investment Climate for Everyone. Washington, DC: World Bank.

World Bank. 2016. World Development Report 2016: Digital Dividends. Washington, DC: World Bank.

World Bank. 2017. Connecting to Water and Sewerage in Mexico. Doing Business. Washington, DC: World Bank.

World Bank. 2019. "Moldova: Rekindling Economic Dynamism." Country Economic Memorandum, World Bank, Washington, DC.

World Bank. 2020. Enhancing Government Effectiveness and Transparency: The Fight against Corruption. Washington, DC: World Bank.

World Bank. 2024. B-READY 2024 Report. Washington, DC: World Bank.





