









I. Government-Wide Legal and Institutional Framework

Government-Wide Exclusion Framework? Yes.

• Exclusion decisions are made outside the procurement process and according to national legislation or centralized regulations. Exclusions have government-wide effect.

Governing Policy:

 Arts. 25, 158, and 160 of the <u>Law of the Public Administration Procurement</u>/Ley de Adquisiciones y Contrataciones de la Administración Pública ("LACAP").

Primary Legal Foundation:

• Administrative/Regulatory Law.

Related Law and Regulation:

- Statutory Provisions/Reglamento de LACAP.
- <u>Administrative Procedures Law</u>/Ley de Procedmientos Administrativos.
- <u>Law of the Contentious-Administrative Jurisdiction</u>/Ley de la Jurisdicción Contencioso Administrativa.

Decision-Maker: Agency Level; Not Functionally Independent from Senior Procurement Officials.

Each public agency may exclude suppliers pursuant to LACAP.

Qualification:

None stated in the legal framework.

Independence:

 While each public institution maintains a high degree of independence from the central government (the Ministry of Finance), the head of each public institution is the final decisionmaker for both procurement and exclusion decisions, irrespective of any delegation of responsibility to subordinate officials.

II. Functioning and Enforcement of the Government-Wide Exclusion System

General Provisions:

Type of Procedures: Administrative.

Decision Deadline: Decision-maker must make a final determination within nine months.

Provisional Exclusions: None.

Commencement of Proceedings: Ability to Initiate an Exclusion Proceeding.

- The decision-maker cannot initiate an exclusion proceeding without a prior referral.
- Non-governmental parties can submit complaints and/or evidence to the decision-maker.
 - Note: While LACAP does not explicitly authorize third parties to file complaints or submit evidence, there are also no provisions prohibiting such submissions.

Notice Requirements & Opportunity to be Heard: Yes & Yes.

Notice:

- Suppliers receive notice when the decision-maker decides that grounds exist.
- Notice must contain the grounds for exclusion.
- Decision-maker must make reasoning available to the supplier only, but the exclusion grounds are included in the publicly available exclusion list.

Opportunity to be Heard:

- Suppliers are entitled to present a defense to the decision-maker and may:
 - Obtain the evidentiary record;
 - Make a written submission to the decision-maker;
 - Request an in-person hearing with the decision-maker;
 - o Call witnesses to an in-person hearing to testify on the supplier's behalf.

Appellate Review of Exclusion Decisions: Yes.

Nature and Forum of Review: Administrative and Judicial (after exhaustion of administrative process).

Means Available to the Supplier: As part of appellate review, suppliers may:

- Obtain the evidentiary record.
- Make a written submission to the appellate body.
- Request an in-person hearing with the appellate body.
- Call witnesses to an in-person hearing to testify on the supplier's behalf.

Duration of Appeal Process: One to two years.

Legal Representation:

• A supplier **may** be represented by counsel.

Subsequent Modification of Exclusion Decision: No.

 A supplier is **not** entitled to any opportunity to seek a modification or early termination of exclusion after it goes into effect.

III. Substantive Grounds for Government-Wide Exclusion:

Automatic Exclusion: Yes.

Based on a criminal or civil judgement against the supplier for the following:

- Corruption;
- Fraud;
- Obstruction of an investigation;
- Theft or embezzlement;
- Money laundering;
- Tax-related offenses;
- Labor-related offenses (specifically related to non-payments of social security).

Based on an administrative finding against the supplier for the following:

- Corruption;
- Fraud;
- Collusion and/or infringing competition;
- Poor performance, non-performance, and/or failure to perform on public contracts.

Other automatic exclusion grounds:

- Illegal incorporation by foreign companies;
- Outstanding and due debt to the municipality;
- Insider trading (i.e., illegally obtaining privileged information that grants the supplier a competitive advantage).

Discretionary Exclusion: None.

Exclusion based on Bankruptcy and Cross-Debarment: Automatic & None.

IV. Scope and Effect of Government-Wide Exclusion:

Types of Excluded Suppliers:

• Individuals and Corporations.

Scope of Exclusion:

Extension to Other Agencies and Organizations:

- Exclusions prohibit the supplier from contracting with any federal agency.
- Exclusions automatically extend to subnational governments.
- No known countries or international organizations that automatically recognize and apply exclusions from El Salvador.

Effect on Ongoing Contracts:

- Ongoing contracts are **not** automatically cancelled.
- Subsequent modifications to ongoing contracts are **not** affected by a supplier's exclusion.

Effect on Subcontracting:

• Excluded supplier **cannot** serve as a subcontractor.

Effect on Excluded Individuals:

- Excluded individuals may be employed by a corporate supplier in a role that is not directly related to the supplier's ongoing contracts.
- Exclusion must extend to companies controlled by the excluded individual.

Tailoring Exclusion:

• No option to tailor the exclusion to certain divisions, operating units, or business lines within a corporate supplier.

Effect on Affiliates:

Corporate Affiliates:

- Exclusion **must** extend to affiliated companies controlled by the supplier and may extend to affiliated companies that control the supplier or are under common control with the supplier.
 - Note: LACAP is not clear as to the scope of an exclusion, which extends to all individuals
 or companies that have a "control relationship" with the excluded entity. It could be
 argued that this "control relationship" includes parent companies.
- Affiliated companies **must** be given an opportunity to contest the action.

Extension to Affiliated Individuals:

- Exclusion of a corporate supplier must extend to individuals that own or control the supplier and executive officers and may extend to managers and employees.
- Affiliated individuals must be given an opportunity to contest the action.

Duration of Exclusions:

Duration Specified in Legal Framework: Between one and five years.

Duration Depends on Applicable Exclusion Ground? Yes.

- One year:
 - o Bid rigging during the procurement process.
- Two years:
 - o Jeopardizing procurement procedures; and
 - Contract breach or non-performance.
- Three years:
 - o Failing to enter into the contract without any justification; and
 - o Illegally obtaining confidential information to gain an advantage.
- Four years:
 - o Offering or providing gifts to public officials involved in the procurement process; and
 - Alleging falsely that a contract has been concluded or performed.

- Five years:
 - o Providing false information to obtain a procurement contract; and
 - o Participating, directly or indirectly, in a procurement process after being excluded.

Discretion to Deviate?

 No. The decision-maker does not have discretion to deviate from the duration specified in the legal framework.

Exceptions/Waivers to Exclusions:

• No. There is not a legal mechanism whereby a contract might still be awarded to an excluded supplier during the period of exclusion.

Other Sanctions:

Contract termination when a supplier breaches the terms; Monetary damages.

V. Government-Wide Transparency and Exclusion List:

Official List of Excluded Suppliers? Yes, and it is publicly available.

- <u>Sanctions list</u> on El Salvador's e-procurement system, CompraSal.
- Public list provides information on the grounds for each listed exclusion.

Procurement Checks: Yes. Procuring entities must check a list of excluded suppliers before awarding a contract.

Reporting on Exclusions:

Number of Exclusions: Figures unknown (but discernable from public exclusion list).

Regular Reporting: No requirement for regular reporting on exclusions.

Other Transparency Mechanisms: None.

VI. Limited Scope Exclusion Systems:

Entity-Wide (e.g., Single-Agency) Exclusion? No.

Contract-By-Contract (e.g., Single-Tender) Exclusion? No.

Subnational Exclusions: No. Exclusion mechanisms exist only at the national level.