

**Notice of Uncontested Sanctions Proceedings**

**April 13, 2022**

**Sanctions Case No. 702  
IDA Credit Number 4869-UZ  
(Uzbekistan Syrdarya Water Supply Project)**

**Respondent:  
“Supper Fortuna” LLC**

1. On December 22, 2021, the World Bank’s Chief Suspension and Debarment Officer (the “SDO”) issued a Notice of Sanctions Proceedings (the “Notice”) to “Supper Fortuna” LLC (the “Respondent”) pursuant to sub-paragraph 4.01(a) of Section III.A of the Bank Procedure: Sanctions Proceedings and Settlements in Bank Financed Projects issued by the Bank on June 28, 2016 (the “Sanctions Procedures”).
2. The Statement of Accusations and Evidence (the “SAE”) prepared by the Bank’s Integrity Vice Presidency (“INT”) and appended to the Notice contained INT’s accusation that the Respondent engaged in Sanctionable Practices (as defined in the Sanctions Procedures) in connection with the above-named project (the “Project”). The SAE also contained the evidence gathered by INT in support of this accusation.
3. The specific accusation made by INT in the SAE was that the Respondent engaged in a fraudulent practice by misrepresenting that it did not have a conflict of interest in its bid for a contract for construction works under the Project. The Respondent stated in its bid for the contract that it did not have a conflict of interest. However, an owner and director of the Respondent had a close family relationship with an individual that served as the head of the procuring entity for the contract and participated in the evaluation of the Respondent’s bid.
4. Based on a review of INT’s SAE conducted in accordance with sub-paragraph 4.01(a) of Section III.A of the Sanctions Procedures, and pursuant to sub-paragraph 4.01(c), sub-paragraph 9.01, and sub-paragraph 9.04 of Section III.A of the Sanctions Procedures, with due consideration of the factors set forth in sub-paragraph 9.02 of Section III.A of the Sanctions Procedures and in the World Bank Group Sanctioning Guidelines, the SDO recommended in the Notice that the Respondent, together with certain Affiliates (as defined in the Sanctions Procedures) where so specified, be sanctioned as follows:

“Supper Fortuna” LLC (“Supper Fortuna”)

***Recommended Sanction: Debarment with Conditional Release  
Minimum Period of Ineligibility of Two (2) Years and Five (5) Months***

*It is recommended that Supper Fortuna (together with any entity that is an Affiliate directly or indirectly controlled by Supper Fortuna) be declared ineligible (i) to be awarded or otherwise benefit from a Bank-financed contract, financially or in any other manner;<sup>1</sup> (ii) to be a nominated<sup>2</sup> sub-contractor, consultant, manufacturer or supplier, or service provider of an otherwise eligible firm being awarded a Bank-financed contract; and (iii) to receive the proceeds of any loan made by the Bank or otherwise to participate further in the preparation or implementation of any Bank-Financed Project; provided, however, that after a minimum period of ineligibility of two (2) years and five (5) months, Supper Fortuna may be released from ineligibility only if Supper Fortuna has, in accordance with sub-paragraph 9.03 of Section III.A of the Sanctions Procedures, demonstrated to the Bank Group’s Integrity Compliance Officer that Supper Fortuna has complied with the following conditions:*

- (a) Supper Fortuna has taken appropriate remedial measures to address the Sanctionable Practices for which Supper Fortuna has been sanctioned; and*
- (b) Supper Fortuna has adopted and implemented, in a manner satisfactory to the Bank, integrity compliance measures as may be imposed by the Bank Group’s Integrity Compliance Officer pursuant to sub-paragraph 9.03(b) of Section III.A of the Sanctions Procedures (e.g., an integrity compliance program or elements thereof) to address the Sanctionable Practice.*

*In determining this recommended sanction, the SDO did not take into account any aggravating factors[.] . . . The SDO took into account, as mitigating factors, (i) INT’s representations regarding Supper Fortuna’s limited cooperation during the course of the investigation, noting that*

---

<sup>1</sup> *For the avoidance of doubt, the declaration of ineligibility to be awarded a contract will include, without limitation, (i) applying for pre-qualification, expressing interest in a consultancy, and bidding, either directly or as a nominated sub-contractor, nominated consultant, nominated manufacturer or supplier, or nominated service provider, in respect of such contract, and (ii) entering into an addendum or amendment introducing a material modification to any existing contract.*

<sup>2</sup> *A nominated sub-contractor, nominated consultant, nominated manufacturer or supplier, or nominated service provider (different names are used depending on the particular bidding document) is one which has been (i) included by the bidder in its pre-qualification application or bid because it brings specific and critical experience and know-how that allow the bidder to meet the qualification requirements for the particular bid or (ii) appointed by the borrower.*

*Supper Fortuna representatives met with INT and provided some relevant information, and (ii) the significant amount of time that has elapsed since the Sanctionable Practice occurred and since the Bank became aware of it. The SDO did not apply any additional mitigating factors.*

*This declaration of ineligibility will extend across the operations of the World Bank Group, including IFC, MIGA and the guarantee and carbon finance operations of the Bank.<sup>3</sup> The Bank will also provide notice of this declaration of ineligibility to the other multilateral development banks (“MDBs”) that are party to the Agreement for Mutual Enforcement of Debarment Decisions (the “MDB Cross-Debarment Agreement”) so that they may determine whether to enforce the declarations of ineligibility with respect to their own operations in accordance with the MDB Cross-Debarment Agreement and their own policies and procedures.<sup>4</sup>*


5. The Respondent did not submit an Explanation (as defined in the Sanctions Procedures) in accordance with sub-paragraph 4.02(b) of Section III.A of the Sanctions Procedures.
6. Sub-paragraph 4.04 of Section III.A of the Sanctions Procedures provides that if a respondent does not contest the accusation or the sanction recommended by the SDO in a Notice of Sanctions Proceedings by submitting a Response (as defined in the Sanctions Procedures) to the World Bank Group Sanctions Board (the “Sanctions Board”) within ninety (90) days after delivery of such Notice of Sanctions Proceedings, the sanction recommended by the SDO shall enter immediately into force.
7. No Response having been submitted to the Sanctions Board by the Respondent within the specified period, INT’s accusation in the SAE and the sanction recommended by the SDO in the Notice are deemed uncontested for purposes of sub-paragraph 4.04 of Section III.A of the Sanctions Procedures, and the

---

<sup>3</sup> *Sanctions Procedures, . . . sub-paragraph 9.01(c) of Section III.A. For the avoidance of doubt, the declaration of ineligibility also extends to activities financed through trust funds administered by the Bank to the extent governed by the Bank’s Procurement Regulations (or either of the Regulations’ predecessor documents, the Procurement Guidelines and Consultant Guidelines) or Anti-Corruption Guidelines. Id., sub-paragraph 1.01(c)(i) of Section III.A.*

<sup>4</sup> *At present, the parties to the MDB Cross-Debarment Agreement are the Bank Group, the African Development Bank Group, the Asian Development Bank, the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development and the Inter-American Development Bank Group. The MDB Cross-Debarment Agreement provides that, subject to the prerequisite conditions set forth in the MDB Cross-Debarment Agreement, unless a participating MDB (i) believes that any of the prerequisite conditions set forth in the MDB Cross-Debarment Agreement have not been met or (ii) decides to exercise its rights under the “opt out” clause set forth in the MDB Cross-Debarment Agreement, each participating MDB will promptly enforce the debarment decisions of the other participating MDBs.*

recommended sanction set forth in paragraph 4 above has entered into force as of the date hereof.



---

Jamieson A. Smith  
Chief Suspension and Debarment Officer  
Office of Suspension and Debarment (OSD)  
The World Bank