GDP growth in South Asia rose from 6.8 percent in 2014 to 7.0 percent in 2015, the fastest rate among developing regions, as recovery took hold in India, and as the region benefited from lower oil prices and improved resilience to external shocks. A moderate further acceleration in economic activity is projected, with regional growth rising to 7.5 percent in 2018, buoyed by strengthening investment and a broadly supportive policy environment. Risks are mainly domestic. They include reform setbacks in the reform momentum in India, political tensions or conflicts in smaller economies, and, over the longer term, the commitment of governments to the necessary fiscal adjustment. South Asia may also face external headwinds from an increase in interest rates in the United States, although vulnerabilities are greatly reduced since the “taper tantrum” of 2013. Key policy challenges include the substantial non-performing bank loans in several countries, and the need for further reforms—in particular, to improve the ability of firms to do business within and outside the region, and to fully harness the ongoing demographic dividend.

Recent developments

Regional growth remained robust at an estimated 7.0 percent in 2015, helped by strengthening activity in the region’s largest economies (Table 2.5.1). In India, brisk growth continued, at an estimated 7.2 percent year-on-year in the first half of the 2015/16 fiscal year compared with 7.3 percent in FY2014/15 as a whole. Monetary and fiscal restraint, the fall in global crude oil prices and a moderation in food price inflation have contributed to a steep drop in inflation and a narrowing of current account and fiscal deficits. Momentum in industrial output has slowed and both the services and manufacturing Purchasing Managers’ Indices (PMIs) have softened (Figure 2.5.1). However, the investment cycle is gradually picking up, led by a government efforts to boost investment in infrastructure, particularly roads, railways and urban infrastructure. India’s currency and stock markets were largely resilient over the past year, even during bouts of volatility in global financial markets.

Elsewhere in the region, macroeconomic adjustment in Pakistan under an International Monetary Fund program is progressing, while efforts to crack down on violent crime in Karachi, the country’s industrial and commercial hub, are supporting investor confidence. The China Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC) agreement, signed in 2015, has further bolstered investor optimism, and, if implemented, has the potential to lift long-term growth. Pakistan once again tapped the international capital markets and launched a US$500 million Eurobond in September 2015, with the same maturity and coupon as its issue a year earlier.

Sri Lanka has completed a major political transition, with a national unity and reform-oriented government formed after the August 2015 parliamentary election. Growth in 2013 and 2014 was revised downward from 7.2 and 7.4 percent to 3.4 and 4.5 percent, respectively, as a result of a rebasing of the national accounts.1 Incoming data show growth picking up mid-year, led by robust service sector growth, and supported by rising tourism inflows and strong remittances. In Bangladesh, as political tensions have abated, exports have rebounded strongly, supporting activity.

Note: The author of this section is Tehmina Khan. Research assistance was provided by Xiaodan Ding.
Inflation, which tends to be structurally high in the region, slowed further in 2015 (Figure 2.5.1). The decline is showing signs of bottoming out, as oil prices stabilize. In India, drought for the second consecutive year in 2015 has weighed on farm output, with some indications of food price pressures starting to build toward the end of the year. However, both India and Pakistan have been on a path of fiscal consolidation over the past three years, and fiscal restraint is curbing demand-side pressures. Lower inflation has enabled central banks in India and Pakistan to cut policy rates to support activity and, in Sri Lanka, keep policy rates at record lows. In contrast, inflation in Bangladesh has remained persistently high, reflecting transport bottlenecks in early 2015, limited spare capacity, and limited pass through from low global oil prices to domestic oil prices, contributing to a significant and steady appreciation in the real exchange rate (Figure 2.5.1). The currencies of India, Pakistan and Sri Lanka, which had appreciated in real effective terms since 2013, have stabilized in recent months.

India has sharply curtailed its current account deficit, to about 1 percent of GDP in Q2 2015 (on a four-quarter rolling basis) from about 5 percent of GDP in mid-2013 when the financial markets were shaken by the “taper tantrum” financial market turmoil over U.S. Federal Reserve policy. India’s central bank has rebuilt reserves while net FDI flows have remained positive. Pakistan’s current account deficit has continued to narrow, reflecting lower oil import cost and strong remittance inflows.

In contrast, security conditions remain unsettled in Afghanistan, as international forces reduce troop deployments. However, efforts are being made to strengthen macroeconomic stability and reduce vulnerabilities in the banking sector. Political tensions and domestic unrest have also increased in Maldives following the arrest of several politicians during 2015. In Nepal, the cost from the earthquakes in the spring of 2015 is estimated at about a third of GDP. Activity has since been further hurt by domestic protests and a closure of land trading routes through India in the second half of 2015. This has led to acute fuel and food shortages, and put a halt to reconstruction efforts. In Bhutan, tourism inflows have been affected by spillovers from the earthquake and disruption in trade in Nepal, although, overall, activity continues to be supported by the construction of major hydropower projects, notably Dagachhu, which went into production in March 2015.

Ongoing fiscal consolidation in India has reduced the central government’s fiscal deficit to close to 4 percent of GDP (on a 12-month rolling basis), down from a peak of 7.6 percent in 2009. Pakistan has also made progress in reining in its budget deficit from 8.4 percent of GDP in FY2013 to 5.3 percent in FY2015. However, debt levels remain high at 65 percent of GDP, the result of years of fiscal slippages, and interest payment costs are about 4.4 percent of GDP. Nepal is planning to substantially increase...
spending for reconstruction. This is expected to push the fiscal balance into a modest deficit. Fiscal discipline has weakened in Bangladesh and Sri Lanka. The deficit in Bangladesh is set to widen to 5 percent of GDP, the largest since 2008, in line with the doubling of public sector wages. In Sri Lanka, the fiscal deficit is estimated to have widened to 5.7 percent of GDP, and public debt has reached over 70 percent of GDP. Most countries in the region struggle to raise taxes, particularly from goods and services taxes (GST) or value-added taxes which are typically a lynchpin for sustainable public finances in developing countries. Persistent deficits in previous years have saddled the country with a public debt ratio amounting to 75 percent of GDP in 2014. Incomplete fiscal consolidation in 2015 and a large increase in foreign-financed capital expenditure projects budgeted for 2016 risks increasing the level of external public debt further.

Two key critical legislative reforms (GST and land acquisition) are still pending in India. Nevertheless, the government has made progress in key areas, such as energy, and in November announced major reforms to liberalize FDI in several sectors. The central bank, meanwhile, has liberalized the medium-term framework for foreign portfolio investment, in an effort to increase its role in market development and for attracting long-term investors. In Pakistan, the authority to grant tax exemptions has been transferred from the Revenue Board to parliament while efforts continue to implement an ambitious tax reform agenda. The central bank, with IMF assistance, is gradually strengthening monitoring of financial stability risks, and is in the process of instituting a modern deposit insurance scheme in line with international best practices. The new Sri Lankan government has announced governance reforms that should strengthen democratic institutions.

### Outlook

Growth in the region is expected to edge up, reaching 7.5 percent by 2017, driven mainly by domestic demand. Investment growth is expected to continue strengthening in India due to government efforts to accelerate infrastructure development and boost Public Private Partnerships (PPPs), and in Pakistan due to CPEC implementation. In Bangladesh and Sri Lanka, public sector wage increases and an easing of political tensions or uncertainty should bolster private consumption.

The region also has relatively limited trade exposure to slowing demand in major emerging markets (Figure 2.5.2), and as a net importer of oil will continue to benefit from low global energy prices. Generalized weakness in the global trading environment, and indirect spillovers from slower growth in major developing economies is expected
to partly offset the positive impulse to exports from high-income country demand. With activity slowing in oil-rich GCC countries, growth in remittances is also expected to moderate.

Compared to most other major developing countries, India is well positioned to withstand near-term headwinds and volatility in global financial markets due to reduced external vulnerabilities, a strengthening domestic business cycle, and a supportive policy environment. Although the pace of reforms has slowed somewhat, growth is expected to strengthen to 7.9 percent in FY2017/18, from an expected 7.5 percent in FY2015/16. Progress on infrastructure improvements and government efforts to boost investment are expected to offset the impact of any tightening of borrowing conditions resulting from tighter U.S. monetary policy. Such investment will also lift potential growth over the medium term. Low international energy prices and domestic energy reforms will ease energy costs for Indian firms that tend to be energy intensive (Figure 2.5.2). Although rural incomes have suffered as a result of two successively weak monsoon seasons, urban spending has been supported by the decline in inflation, and will also benefit in the near term from public sector wage increases announced recently. India accounts for more than 90 percent of portfolio and FDI inflows to the region. Better growth prospects relative to other major developing countries should help flows remain resilient during the transition to tighter global financing conditions (although there may be volatility in the near term).

Pakistan stands to benefit from three tailwinds over the near- to medium- term, with average growth projected at 5.5 percent over the forecast period. These include rising investments from China under the CPEC agreement; the anticipated return of the Islamic Republic of Iran to the international economic community; and persistently low international oil prices. CPEC will connect Western China to the Arabian Sea via the new port of Gwadar. Estimated at around US$45 billion of investment until 2030, the initiative will finance a series of transport infrastructure projects (US$11 billion, mostly public investment) and energy projects (US$33 billion, mostly private).

Increased infrastructure spending and public sector wage hikes in Bangladesh are expected to keep growth high at 6.8 percent over the medium term, but also to widen the fiscal deficit. An amendment to labor laws in September that strengthened workers’ rights and workplace safety should assist export performance, particularly in light of the ongoing U.S. review of Bangladesh’s trade status under its Generalized System of Preferences (GSP).

In Nepal, the devastation caused by the earthquake and the disruption of trade in 2015 have hurt investment and activity hard. Growth for FY 2015/16 has been revised down to 1.7 percent (versus an estimate of 3.7 percent prior to the trade disruption). However, there remains considerable uncertainty around the point forecast, with growth likely to range anywhere between 1-2.3 percent. Activity should gradually recover as government reconstruction spending is ramped up in the later years of the forecast period. Plans to build major hydropower projects in partnership with China and India are likely to see considerable delays in the current environment. A mild recovery is projected in Afghanistan, conditional on improvements in security and domestic reforms.

In Bhutan, growth is expected to remain strong over the forecast period, as major hydropower projects are built. Three major projects are expected to come online by 2017 that should help to boost exports and fiscal revenues. Tourism inflows are expected to support services in Bhutan and Sri Lanka. Robust service sector growth and policy efforts to improve competitiveness in the manufacturing in Sri Lanka are expected to lead to a steady pickup in growth to 6 percent in 2017, from 5.3 percent in 2015.

---

3 For cross-country comparability, this is projected growth in real GDP “at market prices”. The Government of Pakistan usually refers to growth in real GDP “at factor cost” for policy purposes. Real GDP growth at factor cost is projected at 4.5 percent in FY2015/16.

4 The projects foreseen in the CPEC to receive funding from China’s US$4 billion Silk Road Fund include partial financing for the US$1.65 billion Karot hydropower project.
Risks

Risks are mostly of domestic origin and mainly on the downside. In India, progress in reforms is not assured as the upper house of parliament, which the ruling party does not control, has the power to block the government’s legislative agenda. Slow progress on land reforms could add to investment delays, and private investment growth may be unable to build further momentum. The financing of public-private partnerships also remains a challenge. A failure to pass the goods and services tax could hamper the government’s ability to ramp up spending on infrastructure needs and preserve the status quo of fragmented domestic markets. In addition, although India has made good progress on reducing external vulnerabilities and strengthening the credibility of the macro policy framework, high levels of nonperforming loans in the banking sector, concentrated in construction, natural resource and infrastructure sectors, could impede a pickup in investment if left unaddressed (World Bank 2015a, IMF 2015k). There are also downside risks to growth in the near term from sub-par monsoon rainfall across most of India, and farm output growth may prove weaker than projected.

Stronger growth and investment in Pakistan is predicated on reforms to strengthen the business climate, an improvement in the security situation, implementation of the CPEC and an associated easing in energy constraints. These developments might not materialize as expected. A resumption of political tensions in Bangladesh and an escalation of existing tensions in Nepal and Afghanistan are key risks in these countries. Budget execution, particularly capital spending, has been a longstanding challenge in Nepal, and slow progress in post-earthquake reconstruction, coupled with political tensions, could dampen any post-earthquake rebound. Afghanistan, meanwhile, faces substantial fiscal risks and challenges, affecting financing of civilian and security spending.

Policy challenges

South Asian countries face substantial challenges on the fiscal front. Generally, fiscal deficits and public debt levels remain high in the region including in India, Pakistan and Sri Lanka. Afghanistan has seen a sharp drop in the domestic revenue-to-GDP ratio, mainly because of the growth slowdown. The country remains dependent on high levels of donor financing to fund critical security and social spending programs. Over the longer term, anchoring fiscal sustainability will require tax reforms, given generally low tax-to-GDP ratios in the region (World Bank 2015a).

Further, as discussed in Box 2.5.1, South Asia is one of the least globally integrated regions, and
regional integration is even more limited. A number of factors are at work: poor connectivity within South Asia and to global markets; poor trade facilitation policies reflected in high costs of trading across borders in general; and restrictions on doing business with countries within the region that are in some cases due to strained political relations and have contributed to substantial numbers of South Asians migrating overseas in search of better employment opportunities (Figure 2.5.2c; Ahmad and Ghani, 2007; De et al. 2013; Palit and Spittel, 2013; World Bank, 2013a).

The size of private capital flows to South Asia is also much lower than to every other developing region, save the Middle East and North Africa (Box 2.5). This reflects underdeveloped capital markets, poor corporate governance, and inflow restrictions in some countries (Romero-Torres et al. 2013). Over the medium term, enhancing integration and cooperation at the national, regional, and global level will help raise levels of productivity and growth. It will also help channel domestic savings more efficiently, creating jobs, diversify growth away from a narrow set of high-income countries, and reducing poverty (Palit et al., 2013; Ahmed and Ghani, 2008, De et al. 2012).

Finally, South Asia is one of the few developing regions where the demographic dividend is expected to remain positive over the next few decades as the share of the working age population increases in size (World Bank, 2015j). For instance, in India, an estimated 300 million working age adults are expected to enter the labor force by 2040. Traditionally slow-growing and relatively under-developed Indian states of Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, and Uttar Pradesh are expected to contribute more than half of the increase in country’s working-age population in coming decades (Figures 2.5.2 and 2.5.3). States which perform better on various indicators of infrastructure, health, education, and investment climate seem to be the ones that best exploited the demographic dividend and in addition, also generated additional growth on top of it (Kumar 2014). Accordingly, reforms targeted at lifting these indicators—particularly in the states with the fastest growing population—will be critical to managing this transition.
### TABLE 2.5.1 South Asia forecast summary
(Annual percent change unless indicated otherwise)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South Asia, GDPa,b</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia, GDPc</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP per capita (U.S. dollars)</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPP GDP</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private consumption</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public consumption</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed investment</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exports, GNFSd</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imports, GNFSd</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net exports, contribution to growth</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memo items: GDPs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia excluding India</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


World Bank forecasts are frequently updated based on new information and changing (global) circumstances. Consequently, projections presented here may differ from those contained in other Bank documents, even if basic assessments of countries’ prospects do not differ at any given moment in time.

a. GDP at market prices and expenditure components are measured in constant 2010 U.S. dollars.
b. National income and product account data refer to fiscal years (FY) for the South Asian countries, while aggregates are presented in calendar year (CY) terms. The fiscal year runs from July 1 through June 30 in Bangladesh, Bhutan, and Pakistan, from July 16 through July 15 in Nepal, and April 1 through March 31 in India. 2014 data for India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh cover FY2014/15.
c. Sub-region aggregate excludes Afghanistan, Bhutan, and Maldives, for which data limitations prevent the forecasting of GDP components.
d. Exports and imports of goods and non-factor services (GNFS).
## TABLE 2.5.2 South Asia country forecasts
(Real GDP growth at market prices in percent, unless indicated otherwise)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Calendar year basis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhutan</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fiscal year basis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan (market prices)</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan (factor cost)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


World Bank forecasts are frequently updated based on new information and changing (global) circumstances. Consequently, projections presented here may differ from those contained in other Bank documents, even if basic assessments of countries’ prospects do not significantly differ at any given moment in time.

a. Historical data is reported on a market price basis. National income and product account data refer to fiscal years (FY) for the South Asian countries with the exception of Afghanistan, Maldives and Sri Lanka, which report in calendar year (CY). The fiscal year runs from July 1 through June 30 in Bangladesh, Bhutan, and Pakistan, from July 16 through July 15 in Nepal, and April 1 through March 31 in India. 2014 fiscal year data, as reported in the table for India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, Nepal, cover FY2014/15. GDP figures presented in calendar years (CY) terms for Bangladesh, Nepal, Bhutan, and Pakistan are calculated taking the average growth over the two fiscal year periods to provide an approximation of CY activity. Historical GDP data in CY terms for India are the sum of GDP in the four calendar quarters. Historical data from Sri Lanka has recently been revised.
BOX 2.5.1 Regional integration and spillovers: South Asia

South Asia’s integration with the global economy is low and integration within the region is even more limited. The ability to do business across borders is constrained by poor business environments and policies that have weighed on competitiveness, contributed to large-scale emigration and limited the ability to do business across borders. While this has reduced exposure to global shocks in the short-term, these very factors limit the potential of South Asian firms to fully benefit from the strengthening demand in the United States and Europe over the medium term. Over the long term, enhancing regional and global integration will be critical in raising productivity and growth, providing jobs and reducing poverty.

Introduction

South Asia is one of the least globally integrated regions (Figure 2.5.1.1), both in trade and finance. However, the degree of integration at the regional level, measured by flow in goods, capital and ideas, is even lower. This is despite shared cultural ties, extensive common borders, and high population densities with large populations living close to border areas (Ahmad and Ghani 2007; Kemal 2005; Palit and Spittel 2013).

This box takes a closer look at South Asia’s openness to the rest of the world, and to countries within the region itself. It discusses the following questions:

- How open is South Asia to global and regional trade and financial flows?
- How large are the potential intra-regional spillovers from the region’s largest economy, India?

The box documents that spillovers from global output shocks are generally small, but large for financial shocks (for India). Regional spillovers are also small. This implies that positive spillovers to the region from the strengthening economic cycle in the US and India to other large South Asian economies will likely be modest.

How open is South Asia to global and regional trade and financial flows?

Although economic linkages between South Asia and the rest of the world have deepened in recent decades, progress has been slow and uneven (Ahmad and Ghani 2007). High-income countries and China account for the bulk of exports earnings, portfolio investments, FDI and aid (Figure 2.5.1.2). Regional integration, meanwhile, has lagged considerably (Ahmad and Ghani 2008 and Ahmad et al. 2010). A number of factors are at work: poor transport connectivity within South Asia and to global markets; poor trade facilitation policies and trade barriers.

Note: This box was prepared by Tehmina Khan, Jesper Hanson and Raju Huidrom.
that have resulted in high costs of trading; and restrictions on doing business with countries within the region (De et al. 2013; Palit and Spittel 2013; Romero-Torres 2014; World Bank 2013b). The exception are within-region remittances: the Bangladesh-India migrant corridor, for instance, is the third largest in the world.

**Trade**

Unilateral trade liberalization measures introduced in the late 1980s and 1990s have led to rising trade flows between South Asia and the rest of the world (Ahmad and Ghani 2007). Still, the degree of integration remains much lower in South Asia than in other major developing regions, with exports amounting to a fifth, or less, of GDP in most countries. Moreover, export flows tend to be highly concentrated, with the European Union and United States as major trading partners notwithstanding a recent shift of India and Pakistan toward East Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa.

As a share of GDP, intra-regional exports are smaller than anywhere else in the world (Palit and Spittel 2013). On average, India, Pakistan, Sri Lanka and Bangladesh's exports to each other amount to less than 2 percent of total exports. Average trade costs between country pairs in South Asia are 85 percent higher than between country pairs in East Asia (Kathuria et al. 2015) reflecting border

---

**FIGURE 2.5.1.2 Regional and global integration in South Asian countries**

Flows of goods and capital across borders are low compared to other regions. Exports have increased by much less over the past two decades than in other regions, and remain concentrated by destination.

**A. Regional and global integration, 2014**

- Flows of goods and capital across borders are low compared to other regions.
- Exports have increased by much less over the past two decades than in other regions, and remain concentrated by destination.

**B. Increase in exports since 1990**

- Percentage of total exports
- Ratio of exports in 2013 to exports in 1990
- Value of exports (RHS)

**C. Trade openness, 2014**

- Percent of GDP
- Export within region
- Export outside of region

**D. Exports by major trading partners, 2014**

- Major trading partners
- High-income
- EAP ex China
- MNA
- Pakistan
- China
- SSA
- India
- Nepal
- Pakistan
- Sri Lanka

Source: World Bank, BIS, IMF, OECD.

Notes: Weighted averages.

B. EAP stands for East Asia and Pacific. ECA stands for Europe and Central Asia. LAC stands for Latin America and the Caribbean. MNA stands for Middle East and North Africa. SAR stands for South Asia Region. SSA stands for Sub-Saharan Africa.

---

**BOX 2.5.1 Regional integration and spillovers: South Asia (continued)**
FDI has tended to head into services rather than mining or agriculture (which India has double taxation treaties). India, notwithstanding some gradual liberalization over the years, and in Sri Lanka non-resident holdings of government debt remain capped. Within-region FDI accounts for only a small share of all FDI inflows. Bhutan, Nepal, Maldives and Sri Lanka do, however, receive non-negligible amounts of FDI from India. Cross-border investments from India have flowed into energy and public sector-linkedinvestment in Nepal; chemicals, food processing, banking and garments production in Bangladesh, and a similarly diverse range of sectors in Sri Lanka over the past decade (World Bank 2013a).

Remittances: South Asia’s diaspora stock is the largest among developing regions, and remittances exceed 6 percent of GDP in Pakistan, Sri Lanka, Nepal and Bangladesh. India is the largest recipient country in the world in terms of value of remittances (about $US 70 billion). By source, Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries account for just over half of total remittances to the region, with the United States and United Kingdom also major source countries. Within-region migration flows are also substantial: the Bangladesh-India migrant corridor is the third largest in the world (after the Mexico-U.S. and Ukraine-Russia corridors), with more than 40 percent of Bangladeshi emigrants located in India. India also hosts large numbers of migrants from Bhutan, Nepal and Sri Lanka, and Pakistan from Afghanistan (World Bank 2015).

How large are the potential intra-regional spillovers from the region’s largest economy, India?

India’s sizeable remittances and FDI flows to neighboring countries may give rise to spillovers. To analyze spillovers within the region, a Bayesian structural vector autoregression model is estimated using quarterly data to 2015Q2 from 1998Q1 (Bangladesh) 2002Q2 (Sri Lanka) or 2001Q3 (Pakistan), the only countries in the region with sufficient data. The model focuses on the short- and medium term effects of negative growth shocks in India on other countries in the region. The estimation includes G7 country growth, the US federal funds rate, JP Morgan’s Emerging Market Bond Index, India’s growth, a trade-weighted commodity price index, and SAR country growth and real effective exchange rate. Data is available for Bangladesh, Pakistan, and Sri Lanka. For Bangladesh and

Capital flows: Relative to GDP, capital flows to South Asia are lower than those to East Asia and the Pacific and Europe and Central Asia regions (Figure 2.5.1.3), reflecting underdeveloped capital markets as well as inflow restrictions in some countries (Romero-Torres et. al. 2013). They are dominated by banking sector flows, mainly from the United Kingdom. Financial integration is limited by restrictive domestic policies. For instance, in India, notwithstanding some gradual liberalization over the years, and in Sri Lanka non-resident holdings of government debt remain capped.

India receives over 90 percent of the region’s FDI and portfolio inflows, a substantial share of which originates from Mauritius and Singapore (low-tax countries with which India has double taxation treaties). In recent years FDI has tended to head into services rather than mining or industry (World Bank 2013a). China has made substantial investments into the region in recent years, in extractives in Afghanistan, renewable energy in Nepal, port construction in Sri Lanka, and manufacturing and infrastructure in Pakistan.

Within-region FDI accounts for only a small share of all FDI inflows. Bhutan, Nepal, Maldives and Sri Lanka do, however, receive non-negligible amounts of FDI from India. Cross-border investments from India have flowed into energy and public sector-linkedinvestment in Nepal; chemicals, food processing, banking and garments production in Bangladesh, and a similarly diverse range of sectors in Sri Lanka over the past decade (World Bank 2013a).

Remittances: South Asia’s diaspora stock is the largest among developing regions, and remittances exceed 6 percent of GDP in Pakistan, Sri Lanka, Nepal and Bangladesh. India is the largest recipient country in the world in terms of value of remittances (about $US 70 billion). By source, Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries account for just over half of total remittances to the region, with the United States and United Kingdom also major source countries. Within-region migration flows are also substantial: the Bangladesh-India migrant corridor is the third largest in the world (after the Mexico-U.S. and Ukraine-Russia corridors), with more than 40 percent of Bangladeshi emigrants located in India. India also hosts large numbers of migrants from Bhutan, Nepal and Sri Lanka, and Pakistan from Afghanistan (World Bank 2015).

How large are the potential intra-regional spillovers from the region’s largest economy, India?

India’s sizeable remittances and FDI flows to neighboring countries may give rise to spillovers. To analyze spillovers within the region, a Bayesian structural vector autoregression model is estimated using quarterly data to 2015Q2 from 1998Q1 (Bangladesh) 2002Q2 (Sri Lanka) or 2001Q3 (Pakistan), the only countries in the region with sufficient data. The model focuses on the short- and medium term effects of negative growth shocks in India on other countries in the region. The estimation includes G7 country growth, the US federal funds rate, JP Morgan’s Emerging Market Bond Index, India’s growth, a trade-weighted commodity price index, and SAR country growth and real effective exchange rate. Data is available for Bangladesh, Pakistan, and Sri Lanka. For Bangladesh and

BOX 2.5.1 Regional integration and spillovers: South Asia (continued)

barriers, poor infrastructure and transport connectivity, and generally poor business environments. However, unofficial trade (in narcotics, but also illegal food trade in the Punjab) is reported to be significant (Fagan 2011). Estimates of the size of unofficial trade vary between countries (Taneja 2004), with recent studies placing the value of Indian exports to Pakistan at about $1.8 bn (or nearly 1 percent of GDP, Ahmed et. al. 2014). While the larger countries in the region predominantly trade outside the region, India is the dominant trading partner for the smallest countries in the region: Bhutan (mainly hydro-electricity), Nepal (textiles, agriculture, tourism) and Afghanistan (for which, Pakistan too is a major trading partner).1

1Several countries run sizable merchandise trade deficits with India, including Nepal, Bhutan, Bangladesh and Sri Lanka. Large imports from India mainly reflect capital goods (in Bhutan, related to hydropower investments), other production-side inputs and food in the smaller landlocked countries. In Bangladesh, for instance, these comprise mainly cotton for the garment sector, food and other consumer goods. 2FDI inflows from Mauritius and Singapore may also, indirectly, originate in India.
BOX 2.5.1 Regional integration and spillovers: South Asia (continued)

FIGURE 2.5.1.3 Financial flows to SAR

Relative to GDP, capital flows to South Asia are smaller than to other major developing regions, excluding MNA. They are dominated by banking sector flows, mainly from the United Kingdom. India receives over 90 percent of FDI inflows. South Asia’s diaspora is the largest among developing regions, with a substantial number located in GCC countries.

Pakistan, industrial production growth is used to proxy real GDP growth.

The estimates suggest that spillovers from a 1 percent negative growth shock in India result in a 0.6 percentage points decline in Bangladesh, and a 0.2 percentage points fall in Sri Lanka. There are no statistically significant spillovers for Pakistan (Figure 2.5.1.4). Other studies find positive, but modest, spillovers from India to Pakistan, Sri Lanka and Bangladesh (World Bank 2013b; IMF 2014e). Using a panel regression framework covering 1961-2009, Ding and Masha (2012) find that growth in India is useful in explaining overall growth in South Asia, but only after 1995, and that a 1 percentage point increase in India’s growth is associated with a 0.37 percentage point increase for South Asian countries.

Estimated within-region growth spillovers are smaller than those from the rest of the world to the region. A 1 percentage point decline in GDP growth in G-7 countries causes growth in India to fall by 1.7 percentage points. This is broadly in line with earlier findings that external spillovers to India are smaller than those in other more open economies in East Asia (Chapter 3, Box 3.5). They are, however, larger than other results in the literature that find that a 1 percentage point decline in U.S. GDP is associated with a 0.12 percent fall below baseline in India’s GDP (IMF 2014e). In Bangladesh and Sri Lanka, growth falls by 1.2 and 0.5 percentage points respectively in response to a 1 percent decline in global growth, and by 2 percentage points in Pakistan (although, as before, the last result is not statistically significant). This is consistent with World Bank (2013b) that finds that a positive impulse
from the US or other advanced economies tends to be associated with a one- to two- quarter initial increase in cyclical real GDP in India and the rest of South Asia. Financial shocks and rising global financial volatility reduce output and depreciate the exchange rate in India (IMF 2014e, 2015j).

Conclusion

Limited global and regional economic integration in South Asia partly reflects business environments that have constrained the ability to do business across borders and policies that have weighed on competitiveness, growth and job creation (Palit and Spittel 2013, De et al. 2012). For instance, an improvement in South Asia’s infrastructure to around 50 percent of East Asia’s could improve intra-regional trade by about 60 percent (Wilson and Ostuki 2005). Although India is major source of spillovers for some economies, poor trade and transport connectivity in South Asia also implies fewer benefits to smaller economies in the region (relative to potential) from stronger growth in India.

While the closed nature of the region (compared with other emerging market regions) has reduced exposure to large global shocks, it also limits the potential of South Asian firms to benefit from the strengthening of demand in the United States and Europe over the medium term. At the same time, the scope for negative spillovers from global financial market volatility may be rising as India increasingly integrates into global capital markets. This was evident during the “taper tantrum” of 2013, although vulnerabilities have since receded.

---

**BOX 2.5.1 Regional integration and spillovers: South Asia (continued)**

Although India’s capital account remains relatively closed, an active offshore derivatives market in the Indian Rupee may be a conduit for volatility in global markets to currency markets.
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