Monitoring and Evaluation Capacity Development for the Western Balkans and Turkey

SMART Justice indicators

Vienna, April 28/29, 2014.

Kristian Turkalj, PhD

Senior Justice Sector Specialist

INTRODUCTION

- PROJECT BENEFICIARIES:
 - Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Kosovo, Macedonia, Monte Negro, Serbia
- BENEFICIARY INSTITUTIONS:
 - MoJ, MEI, Judicial and Prosecutorial Council, Judicial Academy
- DURATION OF EXPERTS TASK
 - 85 working days

PROJECT'S PHASES

- Desk review of strategic documents
- Formulation of SMART INDICATORS proposals
- Consultation mission
- Individual capacity building missions
- Peer learning Regional conference

FORMULATION OF SMART INDICATORS

- Pragmatic approach to make them useful for beneficiaries
- To contribute EU agenda of beneficiary countries
- To improve reporting quality
- To choose those relevant for planning future activities
- To contribute better monitoring and evaluation of implemented activities

FORMULATION OF SMART INDICATORS

- Indicators were formulated based on existing Strategies and recent progress reports
- Intention was to cover all key areas in judiciary with SMART indicators:
 - Independence
 - Impartiality
 - Accountability
 - Professionalism
 - Efficiency
 - Access to justice

FORMULATION OF SMART INDICATORS

- Justice indicator were formulated in line with the EU Justice Scoreboard methodology
- Indicators are covering similar issues like Scoreboard: Efficiency, Quality and Independence

- Independence
 - % of judges and prosecutors appointed and promoted based on objective and transparent criteria
 - Judicial budget
 - Composition of independent judicial body

- Impartiality
 - % of cases assigned through the electronic case allocation system

- Accountability
 - Average time required to resolve complaints against judicial officials
 - % of disciplinary sanctions imposed according to each disciplinary breach category (warning, decrease of the salary...)

- Professionalism
 - % of judges and prosecutors satisfied with the overall annual training program and overall quality of training delivery
 - % uphold judgments by appellate court
 - Judges and prosecutors receiving satisfactory grades on training tests

- Efficiency
 - % of the backlogs older than 15, 10, 7, and/or 3 years
 - dispositions rate
 - "clearance rate"
 - Backlog structure by type of cases (civil, criminal, administrative, etc.)

- Access to justice
 - Increased approval rate of Free Legal Aid requests

Findings

- M&E model is difficult concept for lawyers and judicial officials to understand
- Some countries has shown more affiliation to use of indicators
- Indicators are mainly used by those involve in IPA programing
- Main challenge is data collection
 - Many strategic document are created without sound data
- Some countries are in the process of drafting strategic document
 - This is opportunity to integrate SMART indicators in new strategies and action plans

Conclusions

- This project will achieve success if:
 - Countries will integrate indicators in their future strategic documents
 - Countries will use indicators for reporting and evaluating its activities
 - We enlarge community of professionals which understood the benefits of utilisation of M&E model

THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION!