



World Bank Group Strategy for Fragility, Conflict and Violence (FCV)

Multiple Stakeholder Consultation Meetings

Feedback Summary

Date: 05/02/2019

Location: Kampala, Uganda

Audience: Meetings with representatives from private sector organizations, UN agencies, and local and international non-governmental/civil society organizations

Overview and Key Issues Discussed: Antony Thompson (Country Manager) and Xavier Devictor (Practice Manager, FCV Operational Support) presented the strategy and participants were then invited to ask questions and to express their views. For purposes of conciseness, the following summary highlights comments and recommendations that were provided during a series of consultation meetings with different stakeholder groups.

Specific Feedback from Stakeholders
<p>1. Fundamental Issues</p> <p>Participants highlighted the need for the FCV strategy to discuss key issues including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How to engage when there is limited political commitment and how to strengthen such commitment? • How can the WBG work more effectively with local stakeholders / at the local level? <p>Participants also encouraged the WBG to take a regional approach and to develop approaches (programming, instruments) to work on cross-border issues.</p> <p>Participants highlighted the trade-off between supporting the most vulnerable and promoting a development / peace agenda (which may require supporting potential entrepreneurs who are typically not among the most vulnerable, etc.) and encouraged the WBG to explicitly recognize and discuss it.</p>
<p>2. Pillars</p> <p>Participants appreciated the proposed structure of the strategy across the four pillars. They especially discussed the prevention and spillovers pillars, which are obviously the most relevant for Uganda.</p> <p>Participants underlined repeatedly that more efforts should be made to capture lessons of Bank experience to inform the FCV strategy.</p> <p>Participants underlined the importance of conflict / political analysis; of looking at cross-cutting issues, including age, gender, disability; and of defining the WBG's comparative advantage (which they saw as a medium-term focus, a capacity to invest in productive activities, and a focus on sustainability).</p>
<p>3. Priority areas</p> <p>Participants highlighted the following priority areas of engagement:</p>

Specific Feedback from Stakeholders
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Economic opportunities and private sector development, especially in the context of a young and rapidly-urbanizing population • Education and skills development • Environment and land management • Social protection • Demographics • Governance (both public finance management and social contract) and the need to build media capacity • Use of technology, and the need to mitigate the risks associated with social media
4. Implementation
<p>Participants highlighted the need for partnership. They were generally aware of the World Bank’s engagement through the ICRC in South Sudan and encouraged the Bank to be explicit about where the “line” exactly is between humanitarian and development. They also encouraged the WBG to work with Governments and mentioned the Comprehensive Refugee Response Framework (CRRF) as a model of a successful government-led effort. In addition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participants encouraged the WBG to strengthen its presence on the ground. • Participants encouraged the WBG to support humanitarian advocacy. • Participants encouraged the WBG to be more flexible in FCV situations.

Prepared by: Xavier Devictor, FCV Practice Manager

List of Participants:

	Name	Organization
1	Joseph Kajumba	Ministry of Education and Sports
2	Isabelle DHaut	ECHO/EU
3	Padmini Iyer	Danish Refugee Council
4	Francis Iwa	Care and Assistance for Forced Migrants (CAFOMI)
5	Stephen Ssenkima	Finn Church Aid
6	Dr. Kedrace Turyagyenda	DES/Ministry of Education and Sports
7	Edward Ssebukyu	PSI/Ministry of Education and Sports
8	Laura Tamran	SNABOL
9	Gloria Laker	Uganda Refugees Online
10	Jean Harabagenzi	Mirror Group

An additional four participants did not give their consent to have their details published.