

WORLD BANK HNP CIVIL SOCIETY CONSULTATIVE GROUP

Self-analysis, April 2015

STRENGTHS	WEAKNESSES
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diversity of members and insights and links with communities in “client” countries and networks • Consensus of views on health, nutrition and population among members • Action tracker working well • Model for civil society engagement with the Bank • Provided exposure to and relationships with different WB staff in-country, region, other sections to CS views • Focuses on WB global policies and practices • Attract WB attention to bad practice of WB in countries e.g. South Sudan and Malawi on user fees 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of detailed knowledge of Bank processes • Limited time and too busy to commit to background work • Questions about Bank enthusiasm for the Group • No consistent communication with global civil society • Connectivity often excludes Southern participation • Never broken out of the Head Office discussions • Little visibility for what influence we have • Little clarity or processes for country-level engagement
OPPORTUNITIES	THREATS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enthusiasm and skills in new members • Universal Health Coverage needs civil society • New Global Practice may offer new ways in • Learn from and work with other platforms and networks • Some of our organisations are forming stronger bilateral relationships with the Bank • Governance and accountability focus for the Bank needs civil society • Joint M&E and documenting and sharing our input • Community System Strengthening seminar • Post Ebola enthusiasm from WB and donors on funding resilient health systems • Help the Bank to communicate better with civil society • Create position papers and use them • Momentum in changing global development framework and SDGs • Gender Strategy and Private Sector & Health and other strategies and opportunity if the group takes seriously and does its homework. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Possibly not meeting again for a year • Possibility of Group atrophy without regular meetings • Lack of publicity of the work of the Group • New Global Practice may be unclear about the Group • Too interested in membership and processes. • Lack of continuity from WB on group feedback. e.g. what on IFC PS roadmap, user fees research, the Bank commitment to share key research plans/strategic directions/etc. • Group not focussed enough on key WB policies/programs/directions such as private sector promotion.

