Developing and Measuring National Learning Standards

Vincent Greaney and Fernando Cartwright 4th READ Global Conference St. Petersburg, Russia May 12-16, 2014

.

Assessing National Achievement Levels in Education

Volume One



Assessing National Achievement Levels in Education

THE WORLD BANK

- Reasons for doing a national assessment
- Key decisions to be made
- Descriptions of
 - national assessments
 - international assessments

Developing Tests and Questionnaires

Volume 2



VOLUME 2

Developing Tests and Questionnaires for a National Assessment of Educational Achievement

Prue Anderson George Morgan

- Item writing
- Pretesting
- Constructing questionnaires
- Developing the administration manual
- Examples: national and international assessments

Implementing a National Assessment of Educational Achievement

Volume 3



VOLUME 3

Implementing a National Assessment of Educational Achievement

Sara Howie, Sylvia Acana, Jean Dumais, Hew Gough, Chris Freeman

- Administration and staffing
- Sampling and weighting
- Tasks following administration
- Managing and cleaning data

Using the Results of a National Assessment of Educational Achievement

Volume 5



VOLUME 5

Using the Results of a National Assessment of Educational Achievement

Thomas Kellaghan Vincent Greaney T. Scott Murray

THE WORLD BANK

- Drafting reports
- Using the results to influence
 - policy/management
 - curriculum
 - teaching
 - public awareness

Analyzing Data from a National Assessment of Educational Achievement

Chapters include

Volume 4

Drafted and reviewed .

To be published later this year

• Basic statistical analysis

 Describing achievement group comparisons, correlation, charts and graphs

• Item and test analysis

 Pilot and final data,IRT, performance levels, rotated booklets monitoring change

Item and Test Analysis (IATA)

- Developed by Fernando Cartwright
- Program has been pilot tested in a range of countries and modified
- Designed to be
 - Technically adequate
 - User friendly

IATA and Pilot Testing

- Introduce IATA
 - review the results of a pilot test
 - set performance levels and cut scores
 - compare assessments results
- Aim of pilot testing
 - to identify an adequate set of good quality items to be included in an assessment
- Note you must have an adequate number of pilot items to test.

Pilot testing

- Critical to ensure the quality of the national assessment
- Inadequate attention given to
 - Selecting good item writers
 - Reviewing curriculum content
 - Reviewing cognitive levels
- Often far too few items pilot tested

Standard Setting : What is it?

- It is a process for defining levels of proficiency or achievement.
- Examples:
 - NCLB: Below basic, Basic, Proficient and Advanced (NCLB)
 - PISA reading: Below Level 1, Level 1, Level 2, Level 3, Level 5, Level 5
 - TIMSS: Low (400), Intermediate (475) High (550) and Advanced (625)
- It is not purely a statistical or methodological process

Rainfall standards

Can be uniform over time

Rain guage

- < 10mm
- 11 20mm
- 21 30mm
- > 31mm



Standards Can Vary



Beijing Olympics qualification standards. Athletes had to meet the specified standard (cut scores)

- 1 min 46 seconds
- 13 min 21.5 seconds
- 2 hours 15 minutes
- 5.70 metres

Standard Setting : Who does it?

• Aim : Ensure the test results will be useful

- Standard setting should involve
 - -policy makers,
 - -test developers
 - -and measurement specialists

Cut scores

- Use cut scores to define levels or standards
- At each level a cut score is the cut off point that determines if a student is performing at a particular level
- Challenge : Determine the score that classifies students who get a lower score into one level and other students into a higher level

Related to Test Development

- Tests should have
 - appropriate content and
 - appropriate level of difficulty
- Part of test development
 - Need good item writers who understand the process of setting cut scores
- The cut scores should be both consistent with the intended educational policy and psychometrically sound (Bejar, 2008)

Approaches to Standard Setting

- Nedelsky's method
- Angoff's method
- Ebel's method
- Modified Angoff's method
- Bookmark method

Item Centered

- Borderline Group method
- Contrasting Groups method

(Zieky, Perie, & Livingston, 2008)

Person Centered

Bookmark Method

- Rank order items ordered by difficulty called Response Probability (RP) in IATA.
- Subject matter experts place a "bookmark" in the list of items to indicate the cutscore. This is the point where the student who is on the boundary would be unlikely to answer the remaining items correctly.
- IATA allows you to make adjustments.

Describe each Proficiency Level

- Subject matter specialists should exam the items at each level and write clear descriptions of students levels of knowledge and understanding at each proficiency level
- "Students know some basic facts about the solar system and show an initial understanding of earth's physical characteristics and resources. .." (TIMSS Intermediate International Benchmark, Science)

Document the rationale and the process

Existing professional standards:

 "The rationale and procedures used for establishing cut scores should be clearly documented"

Comparing Results to the Results of Other Assessments

- Of great interest to national policy makers

 Getting value for money spent on education?
- In particular governments need to know if student achievement levels are
 - Generally increasing
 - Staying more or less the same, or
 - Generally decreasing

Russia : Changes in PISA Mean Reading Achievement Score 2000 -2012



Linking to Comparing Results to the Results of Other Assessments

- Examples
 - Compare tests used in different states (oblasts) that share some common items
 - Use some items from international assessments in national assessment
- Essential:
 - Must be able to use items common to both assessments
 - Must have item statistics for both assessments